Appl Math Optim (2011) 64:467–468
DOI 10.1007/s00245-011-9149-6

ERRATUM

Erratum to: The Controllability of the Gurtin-Pipkin Equation: A Cosine Operator Approach

Luciano Pandolfi

Published online: 20 September 2011

Erratum to: Appl Math Optim (2005) 52: 143–165 DOI 10.1007/s00245-005-0819-0

Lemma 18 states that $A^{-1}[\mathcal{R}_\infty]^\perp \subseteq [\mathcal{R}_\infty]^\perp$. Its proof is based on Lemma 17 which is not correct since an integral in the (sketched) computations does not cancel out. A proof of Lemma 18 which does not use Lemma 17 is as follows.

Using formula (7), the Laplace transform of $\theta(t)$ with $\theta(0) = 0$ is

$$\hat{\theta}(\lambda) = -A\left(\frac{\lambda}{\hat{b}(\lambda)}I - A\right)^{-1} D\hat{u}(\lambda). \tag{1}$$

Let $u(t) = u_0 e^{-t}$. For every λ (in a right half-plane) and $\xi \perp R_\infty$ we have

$$0 = -\langle \xi, \hat{\theta}(\lambda)\rangle = \frac{1}{1+\bar{\lambda}}\left\langle \xi, A\left(\frac{\lambda}{\hat{b}(\lambda)}I - A\right)^{-1} Du_0\right\rangle, \quad \forall u_0 \in U.$$

The assumptions on $b(t)$ imply that this equality can be extended by continuity to $\lambda = 0$ and for $\lambda = 0$ we have $\langle \xi, Du_0\rangle = 0$ for every $u_0 \in U$. Hence, if $\xi \perp R_\infty$ then $\xi \perp \operatorname{im} D$.

Now we use (1). We use $A = A^*$ and we get

$$-\langle A^{-1}\xi, \hat{\theta}(\lambda)\rangle = -\langle \xi, A^{-1}\hat{\theta}(\lambda)\rangle = \left\langle \xi, A\left\{A^{-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\hat{b}(\lambda)}I - A\right)^{-1}\right\} D\hat{u}(\lambda)\right\rangle$$

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s00245-005-0819-0.

L. Pandolfi (✉)
Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy
e-mail: luciano.pandolfi@polito.it

$$= \overline{\left[\frac{\hat{b}(\lambda)}{\lambda}\right]} \left\{ \langle \xi, D\hat{u}(\lambda) \rangle + \left\langle \xi, A \left(\frac{\lambda}{\hat{b}(\lambda)} I - A \right)^{-1} D\hat{u}(\lambda) \right\rangle \right\}$$

When $\xi \perp R_\infty$, the first addendum is zero since we proved $\xi \perp \operatorname{im} D$. The second addendum is $\langle \xi, \hat{\theta}(\lambda) \rangle = 0$. So, $\langle A^{-1}\xi, \hat{\theta}(\lambda) \rangle = 0$, i.e. $\langle A^{-1}\xi, \theta(t) \rangle = 0$ for every t and every control $u(t)$, as wanted.