

Erratum to: Ethnic differences in composite indices of femoral neck strength

S. Ishii · J. A. Cauley · G. A. Greendale ·
M. E. Danielson · N. Safaei Nili · A. Karlamangla

Published online: 3 February 2012

© International Osteoporosis Foundation and National Osteoporosis Foundation 2012

Erratum to: Osteoporos Int DOI 10.1007/s00198-011-1723-x

The scale factors provided by Hologic, Inc. to compute femoral neck axis length (FNAL) from the midline endpoint coordinates were off by a factor of 2; thus absolute values reported in Tables 1–4 of the paper for FNAL, bending strength index (BSI), and impact strength index (ISI) were scaled incorrectly. Corrected absolute values for FNAL and ISI are 0.5 times the reported FNAL and ISI values, and corrected absolute values for BSI are 2 times the reported BSI values.

Thus, the FNAL rows in Tables 1 and 3 should be as shown below.

The BSI and ISI cells in Tables 2 and 4 also need to be scaled by 2 and 0.5 respectively. For example, BSI in Caucasians (reference) in Table 2 (model 3) is 0.98; in US-born Chinese (reference) in Table 4 (model 3) is 1.14; and in US-born Japanese (reference) in Table 4 (model 3) is 1.24. Similarly, for example, ISI in Caucasians (reference) in Table 2 (model 3) is 0.18; in US-born Chinese (reference) in Table 4 (model 3) is 0.20; and in US-born Japanese (reference) in Table 4 (model 3) is 0.23.

Note that effect sizes (and confidence intervals) for BSI and ISI in tables 2 and 4 are also similarly scaled.

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00198-011-1723-x>.

S. Ishii (✉)
Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center (GRECC),
VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System,
11301 Wilshire Blvd, Building 220, Room 302,
Los Angeles, CA 90073, USA
e-mail: ishiis-tky@umin.ac.jp

G. A. Greendale · N. Safaei Nili · A. Karlamangla
Department of Medicine/Division of Geriatrics,
David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA,
10933 Le Conte Ave,
Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

J. A. Cauley · M. E. Danielson
Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health,
University of Pittsburgh,
130 DeSoto Street, A524 Crabtree,
Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants by ethnicity

Characteristics	All (<i>n</i> =1940)	Caucasian (<i>n</i> =968)	African-American (<i>n</i> =512)	Chinese (<i>n</i> =221)	Japanese (<i>n</i> =239)	P
FNAL(cm)	8.95(0.5)	9.05(0.5)	9.00(0.55)	8.70(0.45) ^a	8.70(0.45) ^a	<0.001

Table 3 Characteristics of Chinese and Japanese participants by birth place

Characteristics	Chinese			Japanese		
	US born (<i>n</i> =68)	Foreign born (<i>n</i> =152)	P ^{ab}	US born (<i>n</i> =124)	Foreign born (<i>n</i> =110)	P ^{ab}
FNAL(cm)	8.80(0.45)	8.65(0.40)	0.34	8.65(0.45)	8.75(0.45)	1.0