## LETTER TO THE EDITOR





## Response to: Premature deaths attributed to ambient air pollutants: let us interpret the Robins–Greenland theorem correctly

Marie-Eve Héroux $^1$  · H. Ross Anderson $^{2,9}$  · Richard Atkinson $^2$  · Bert Brunekreef $^{3,4}$  · Aaron Cohen $^5$  · Francesco Forastiere $^6$  · Fintan Hurley $^7$  · Klea Katsouyanni $^{8,9}$  · Daniel Krewski $^{10}$  · Michal Krzyzanowski $^{11}$  · Nino Künzli $^{12,13}$  · Inga Mills $^{14}$  · Xavier Ouerol $^{15}$  · Bart Ostro $^{16}$  · Heather Walton $^{17}$ 

Received: 4 November 2016 / Accepted: 9 November 2016 / Published online: 15 March 2017 © The Author(s) 2017. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

We thank Morfeld and Erren for their continued interest in the WHO Health risks of air pollution in Europe (HRAPIE) report (WHO Regional Office for Europe 2013). The key point of contention seems to be the interpretation of the numbers of 'premature deaths' associated with air pollution (or any other) exposure. In the IJPH article that is at the basis of the two letters written by Morfeld and Erren (Heroux et al. 2015), the limitations of calculating and using numbers of 'premature deaths' were perhaps not

This reply refers to the article available at doi:10.1007/s00038-016-0865-1.

- Marie-Eve Héroux herouxm@who.int
- WHO European Centre for Environment and Health, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Bonn, Germany
- MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Population Health Research Institute, St George's, University of London, London, UK
- <sup>3</sup> Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Universiteit Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- Julius Center for Primary Care and Health Sciences, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- <sup>5</sup> Health Effects Institute, Boston, USA
- Department of Epidemiology, Lazio Regional Health Service, Rome, Italy
- Institute of Occupational Medicine, Riccarton, Edinburgh, UK
- Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece
- Department of Primary Care and Public Health Sciences and Environmental Research Group, King's College London, London, UK

sufficiently explained. We elaborated on this in our first response (Heroux et al. 2016), arguing that the criticized calculation of 'premature deaths' produces a reasonable albeit ambiguous estimate, for which reason calculation of years of life lost is a more preferable approach. We would like to point out that the HRAPIE report really is about identification of concentration–response functions to be further used in health impact assessments, and therefore did not pretend to provide a discussion of estimating etiologic fractions. Morfeld and Erren single out the one numerical example of an impact assessment given in our paper,

- McLaughlin Centre for Population Health Risk Assessment, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada
- Environmental Research Group, King's College London, London, UK
- Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland
- University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
- Public Health England, Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire, UK
- Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDÆA), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Barcelona, Spain
- Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), Research Triangle Park, USA
- MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, and NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, London, UK



and that example was not a result from the HRAPIE work itself but a quote from a report from the European Commission (2013). We never intended to give the impression that these numbers refer to individually identifiable, attributable deaths, however.

The HRAPIE report itself clearly spells out the limitations of calculating 'premature deaths', on pages 15 and 16 (WHO Regional Office for Europe 2013). Indeed, there is a long history in the field of quantifying the mortality burden of air pollution that shows clear awareness of the complexities raised by Morfeld and Erren. For instance, the United Kingdom Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants (COMEAP) report of 2010, to which the HRAPIE report refers, contains a careful discussion on pages 5 and 70-73 of expressing mortality effects of air pollution in terms of years of life lost, and numbers of attributable or premature deaths (COMEAP 2010). Several of us were in COMEAP when it produced this report, which spells out that the mortality burden of air pollution is likely shared by many more than just the numbers of attributed deaths that are being calculated by the usual simple (RR-1)/RR formula also quoted by Morfeld and Erren. Some of us developed a similar line of arguments already back in 2007 (Brunekreef et al. 2007). As pointed out by Morfeld and Erren, Robins and Greenland (1989) showed that the (RR-1)/RR formula can underestimate as well as overestimate the attributable cases, which we have argued before as well, and therefore do not dispute.

Appreciating the limitations of death counts as a metric for quantifying disease burden due to exposure to air pollution and other risk factors, the widely cited global burden of disease exercises have since their inception quantified such burdens both in terms of the number of deaths in a given year attributable to past exposure and in terms of lost years of healthy life, or DALYs (Murray and Lopez 1999; Murray et al. 2004; Lim et al. 2012; GBD 2013 Risk Factor Collaborators 2015; WHO 2016a, b). The work of Greenland and Robins, cited by Morfeld and Erren, informed this approach.

We appreciate the opportunity for clarification of the HRAPIE report, article, and first response and agree with Morfeld and Erren that estimated burdens of disease attributed to air pollution need to be correctly interpreted in the context as explained in more detail above and in the quoted references.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.



**Open Access** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution IGO License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo/legalcode), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. In any reproduction of this article there should not be any suggestion that WHO or this article endorse any specific organization or products. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. This notice should be preserved along with the article's original URL.

## References

- Brunekreef B, Miller BG, Hurley F (2007) The brave new world of lives sacrificed and saved, and deaths, attributed to and avoided. Epidemiology 18:785–788
- COMEAP (2010) The mortality effects of long-term exposure to particulate air pollution in the United Kingdom. A report by the Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants. Chilton, Health Protection Agency. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/comeap-mortality-effects-of-long-term-exposure-to-particulate-air-pollution-in-the-uk. Accessed 16 Sept 2016
- European Commission (2013) Commission staff working document: impact assessment accompanying the documents. Brussels, European Commission. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/air/pdf/Impact\_assessment\_en.pdf. Accessed 16 Sept 2016
- GBD (2013) Risk Factor Collaborators (2015) Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2015(386):2287–2323. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00128-2
- Heroux ME et al (2015) Quantifying the health impacts of ambient air pollutants: recommendations of a WHO/Europe project. Int J Public Health 60:619–6272. doi:10.1007/s00038-015-0690-y
- Heroux ME et al (2016) Response to "Quantifying the health impacts of ambient air pollutants: methodological errors must be avoided". Int J Public Health. doi:10.1007/s00038-016-0808-x
- Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H, Amann M et al (2012) A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. Lancet 380(9859):2224– 2260. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8
- Murray CJL, Lopez AD (1999) On the comparable quantification of health risks: lessons from the Global Burden of Disease Study. Epidemiology 10:594–605
- Murray et al (2004) Comparative quantification of health risks: conceptual framework and methodological issues; in: WHO (2004) Comparative Quantification of Health Risks. Geneva, World Health Organization. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/42792/1/9241580348\_eng\_Volume1.pdf. Accessed 15 Sept 2016
- Robins JM, Greenland S (1989) Estimability and estimation of excess and etiologic fractions. Stat Med 8:845–859
- WHO (2016a) Preventing disease through healthy environments: a global assessment of the burden of disease from environmental risks. Geneva, World Health Organization. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/204585/1/9789241565196\_eng.pdf?ua=1. Accessed 12 Oct 2016
- WHO (2016b) Ambient air pollution: A global assessment of exposure and burden of disease. Geneva, World Health Organization. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstr

eam/10665/250141/1/9789241511353-eng.pdf. Accessed 12 Oct 2016

WHO Regional Office for Europe (2013) Health risks of air pollution in Europe—HRAPIE project: recommendations for concentration-response functions for cost-benefit analysis of particulate matter, ozone and nitrogen dioxide. Copenhagen, WHO

Regional Office for Europe. Available at http://www.euro.who.int/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0006/238956/Health-risks-of-air-pollution-in-Europe-HRAPIE-project,-Recommendations-for-concentrationresponse-functions-for-costbenefit-analysis-of-particulatematter,-ozone-and-nitrogen-dioxide.pdf?ua=1. Accessed 16 Sept 2016

