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#### Abstract

Abstract Cesàro spaces are investigated from the optimal domain and optimal range point of view. There is a big difference between the cases on $[0, \infty)$ and on $[0,1]$, as we can see in Theorem 1. Moreover, we present an improvement of Hardy's inequality on $[0,1]$ which plays an important role in these considerations.
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## 1. Introduction and Basic Definitions

For a Banach ideal space $X$ on $I=[0,1]$ or $I=[0, \infty)$ let us consider, as in [6], the abstract Cesàro space $C X$ on $I$ defined as $C X=\left\{f \in L^{0}(I): C|f| \in X\right\}$ with the norm given by

$$
\|f\|_{C X}=\|C \mid f\|_{X},
$$

where $C$ is the Cesàro operator

$$
C f(x)=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t, x \in I
$$

One may look at these spaces, on one hand, as on generalization of the wellknown Cesàro spaces $C e s_{p}[0,1]$ and $\operatorname{Ces}_{p}[0, \infty)$ which were investigated for example in [1]. On the other hand, $C X$ is the optimal domain of $C$ for $X$ since, just by definition, $C: C X \rightarrow X$ is bounded and $C X$ is the largest ideal space satisfying this relation. Consequently, the abstract Cesàro spaces may be considered also from the optimal domain point of view, as it was done in [3, $9-11]$. In this paper we discuss the Cesàro function spaces on $[0, \infty)$ and on $[0,1]$ from the point of view of optimal domain and optimal range of the Cesàro operator $C$. Such concept was already considered for $X=L^{p(\cdot)}$ on $[0,1]$ in $[10,11]$ and for $X=L^{p(\cdot)}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in [9], although the most interesting situation of $C X$ on $[0,1]$ was omitted there. We develop and complete the

[^0]discussion under some minimal assumptions. In this more interesting case of interval $[0,1]$ a very important role is played by the improvement of Hardy inequality presented in Theorem 2.

We present some basic definitions to understand further description of results. By $L^{0}=L^{0}(I)$ we denote the space of Lebesgue measurable functions (in fact, respective equivalence classes with respect to equality almost everywhere) on $I=[0,1]$ or $I=[0, \infty)$. A Banach space $X \subset L^{0}$ is called a Banach ideal space on $I$ if $g \in X, f \in L^{0}(I),|f| \leq|g|$ a.e. on $I$ implies $f \in X$ and $\|f\| \leq\|g\|$. We will also assume that $\operatorname{supp} X=I$, i.e. there exists $f \in X$ with $f(x)>0$ for each $x \in I$.

For a given Banach ideal space $X$ on $I$ and a function $w \in L^{0}(I)$ such that $w(x)>0$ a.e. on $I$, the weighted Banach ideal space $X(w)$ is defined as $X(w)=\left\{f \in L^{0}(I): f w \in X\right\}$ with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{X(w)}=\|f w\|_{X}
$$

In the whole paper only two concrete weights on $I=[0,1]$ will appear, namely $v$ and $1 / v$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x)=1-x . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will need also a non-increasing majorant $\tilde{f}$ of a given function $f$, which is just

$$
\widetilde{f}(x)=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{t \in I, t \geq x}|f(t)|, x \in I
$$

Moreover, for a given Banach ideal space $X$ on $I$, we define a new Banach ideal space $\widetilde{X}=\widetilde{X}(I)$ as $\widetilde{X}=\left\{f \in L^{0}(I): \widetilde{f} \in X\right\}$ with the norm given by

$$
\|f\|_{\widetilde{X}}=\|\widetilde{f}\|_{X}
$$

By a symmetric function space on $I$ with the Lebesgue measure $m$ (symmetric space in short), we mean a Banach ideal space $X=\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ with the additional property that for any two equimeasurable functions $f \sim g, f, g \in$ $L^{0}(I)$ (that is, they have the same distribution functions $d_{f} \equiv d_{g}$, where $\left.d_{f}(\lambda)=m(\{x \in I:|f(x)|>\lambda\}), \lambda \geq 0\right)$ and $f \in X$ we have $g \in X$ and $\|f\|_{X}=\|g\|_{X}$. In particular, $\|f\|_{X}=\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{X}$, where $f^{*}(t)=\inf \{\lambda>$ $\left.0: d_{f}(\lambda)<t\right\}, t \geq 0$.

The dilation operators $\sigma_{a}(a>0)$ defined on $L^{0}(I)$ by

$$
\sigma_{a} f(x)=f(x / a) \chi_{I}(x / a)=f(x / a) \chi_{[0, \min (1, a)]}(x), x \in I,
$$

are bounded in any symmetric space $X$ on $I$ and $\left\|\sigma_{a}\right\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq \max (1, a)$ (see [2, p. 148] and [5, pp. 96-98]). They are also bounded in some Banach ideal spaces which are not necessarily symmetric spaces. Furthermore, recall that the Cesàro operator $C$, the Copson operator $C^{*}$ and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M$ are defined, respectively, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
C f(x) & =\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t, x \in I, C^{*} f(x)=\int_{I \cap[x, \infty)} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t, x \in I \\
M f(x) & =\sup _{a, b \in I, 0 \leq a \leq x \leq b} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}|f(t)| d t, x \in I .
\end{aligned}
$$

We refer the reader to [6], where basic facts about the spaces $C X$ and $\widetilde{X}$ were presented with more details. For more references on Banach ideal spaces and symmetric spaces we refer to $[2,4,5,7,8]$.

## 2. Optimal Domain and Optimal Range

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Banach ideal spaces on $I$ and let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded linear or sublinear operator. A Banach ideal space $Z$ on $I$ is called the optimal domain of $T$ for $Y$ within the class of Banach ideal spaces on $I$, if $T: Z \rightarrow Y$ is bounded and for each Banach ideal space $W$ on $I, T: W \rightarrow Y$ is bounded implies that $W \subset Z$. The last implication may be formulated equivalently as: if $Z$ and $W$ are Banach ideal spaces on $I$ and if $Z \subsetneq W$, then $T: W \nrightarrow Y$. Of course in such a case $X \subset W$.

Similarly, we shall say that a Banach ideal space $Z$ on $I$ is the optimal range of $T$ for $X$ within the class of Banach ideal spaces on $I$, if $T: X \rightarrow$ $Z$ is bounded and for each Banach ideal space $W$ on $I, T: X \rightarrow W$ is bounded implies that $Z \subset W$. Once again, the last condition may be replaced by: $W \subsetneq Z$ implies $T: X \nrightarrow W$. Such optimal range satisfies of course $Z \subset Y$.

The following theorem describes the optimal domain and optimal range problem for Cesàro operator within the class of Banach ideal spaces on $I$.

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a Banach ideal space on $I$ such that the maximal operator $M$ is bounded on $X$.
(i) If $I=[0, \infty)$, then $C: C X \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ is bounded. Moreover, the space $C X$ is the optimal domain of $C$ for $X$ and for $\widetilde{X}$ (also for $C X$ if the dilation operator $\sigma_{a}$ is bounded on $X$ for some $0<a<1$ ). The space $\tilde{X}$ is the optimal range of $C$ for $C X, X$ and $\widetilde{X}$. In particular, $C X=C \widetilde{X}$.
(ii) If $I=[0,1]$ and $v$ is from (1.1), then $C: C X \rightarrow \widehat{X(1 / v)(v) \text { is bounded. }}$ The space $C X$ is the optimal domain of $C$ for $X$ and also for $\widetilde{X(1 / v)}(v)$. Moreover, if the maximal operator $M$ is bounded on $X^{\prime}$, then the space $X(1 / v)(v)$ is the optimal range of $C$ for $C X$ and $X(v)$ (cf. Diagram 2). In particular, $C X=C[\widetilde{X(1 / v)}(v)]$.
(iii) If $I=[0,1]$ and the dilation operator $\sigma_{1 / 2}$ is bounded on $X$, then $C$ : $C \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ is bounded. Moreover, the space $C \widetilde{X}$ is the optimal domain of $C$ for $\widetilde{X}$ and the space $\widetilde{X}$ is the optimal range of $C$ for $C \widetilde{X}, X$ and $\widetilde{X}$. One also has $C \widetilde{X}=C X \cap L^{1}$.

Before we prove the theorem, let us comment on the situation. Suppose that the corresponding assumptions in Theorem 1 are satisfied. Of course, boundedness of $M$ on $X$ implies also boundedness of $C$ on $X$, therefore the support of $C X$ is for sure the same as support of $X$ (cf. [6]). Let $I=[0, \infty)$. Then the statement of (i) may be therefore pictured, putting the boundedness of $C$ and respective embeddings, on Diagram 1.


Diagram 1. The case of $I=[0, \infty)$


DiAgram 2. The case of $I=[0,1]$

Moreover, point (i) says that, in fact, $C X$ is the optimal domain of $C$ for $\widetilde{X}$, since $C X=C \widetilde{X}$. Even more can be said when the dilation operator $\sigma_{a}$ is bounded on $X$ for a certain $0<a<1$. Then $C X$ is the optimal domain of $C$ even for $C X$ since, by Lemma 6 in [6], it follows that $C C X=C X$. On the other hand, we will see that $\widetilde{X}$ is the optimal range of $C$ for $\widetilde{X}$, which by Diagram 1 means that also for $X$ and for $C X$.

Much more interesting and delicate is the case of interval $[0,1]$. Suppose that $C: X \rightarrow X$ is bounded and all assumptions of (ii) and (iii) are satisfied. Then $C: C X \rightarrow X$ is bounded, where $C X$ is by definition the optimal domain of $C$ for $X$. The case (ii) says that the optimal range of $C$ for $C X$ is then $\widetilde{X(1 / v)}(v)$. It is however interesting that one may look at the situation also in another way. Let's start once again with $C: X \rightarrow X$ and find first the optimal range. It appears to be just $\widetilde{X}$ (cf. [10, Theorem 8.2], [11, Theorem $3.16]$ and [9, Theorem 4.1]) which is much smaller than $\widetilde{X(1 / v)}(v)$. If we now find optimal domain of $C$ for $\widetilde{X}$ it is then just $C X \cap L^{1}=C(\widetilde{X})$. The diagram describing this dichotomy is now more complicated (see Diagram 2).

In general, there is no inclusion relation between $X(v)$ and $C \tilde{X}$. For example, if $X$ is a symmetric space on $I=[0,1]$, we have for $f(x):=\frac{1}{1-x}$ that $f \in X(v)$ while $f \notin C \widetilde{X}$ because $C f(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow 1^{-}$and so $\widetilde{C f}$ is not defined (or just $\infty$ everywhere). Therefore, $X(v) \not \subset C \widetilde{X}$. This means also that $C$ does not act from $X(v)$ into $\widetilde{X}$. On the other hand, let $X=L^{2}$ and put $f(x)=\left|\frac{1}{2}-x\right|^{-1 / 2}$. Then $f \notin L^{2}$, but $C f \in L^{\infty}$ and so $\widetilde{C f} \in L^{\infty} \subset L^{2}$. This
gives $C \widetilde{X} \not \subset X(v)$. For general symmetric space $X$ on $I$ such that $C: X \rightarrow X$ is bounded, one could take $f \in L^{1}$ in such a way that $f-f \chi_{[1 / 2-\epsilon, 1 / 2+\epsilon]} \in L^{\infty}$ for each $0<\epsilon<1 / 2$ but $f \notin X$, to achieve the same effect.

Proof of Theorem 1. (ii). Let $0 \leq f \in C X$. Suppose first that $0 \leq y \leq t \leq$ $2 y \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C f(t)=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \geq \frac{1}{2 y} \int_{0}^{y} f(s) d s=\frac{1}{2} C f(y) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If now $0 \leq x \leq y$ and $y \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then applying (2.1) one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
M C f(x) & \geq \frac{1}{2 y-x} \int_{x}^{2 y} C f(t) d t \geq \frac{1}{2 y} \int_{y}^{2 y} C f(t) d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 y} \int_{y}^{2 y} \frac{C f(y)}{2} d t=\frac{1}{4} C f(y) \geq \frac{1-y}{4(1-x)} C f(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose now that $\frac{1}{2} \leq y \leq t \leq 1$. Then, similarly as in (2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C f(t)=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \geq \int_{0}^{y} f(s) d s \geq \frac{1}{2} C f(y) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In consequence, when $0 \leq x \leq y$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq y \leq 1$, applying (2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M C f(x) & \geq \frac{1}{1-x} \int_{x}^{1} C f(t) d t \geq \frac{1}{1-x} \int_{y}^{1} C f(t) d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1-x} \int_{y}^{1} \frac{C f(y)}{2} d t=\frac{1-y}{2(1-x)} C f(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M C f(x) \geq \frac{1}{4(1-x)} \text { ess } \sup _{0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1}(1-y) C f(y)=\frac{1}{4(1-x)} \widetilde{[v C f]}(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M$ is bounded on $X$, by our assumption, it follows that

$$
\|C f\|_{\widetilde{X(1 / v)(v)}}=\|\widetilde{[v C f]} / v\|_{X} \leq 4\|M\|_{X \rightarrow X}\|C f\|_{X}=4\|M\|_{X \rightarrow X}\|f\|_{C X}
$$

This means that $C: C X \rightarrow \widetilde{X(1 / v)}(v)$ is bounded and the first statement of (ii) is proved. It remains to show that the space $\widetilde{X(1 / v)}(v)$ is the optimal range of $C$ for $C X$ (in fact, even for $X(v)$ ). Suppose that there is a Banach ideal space $Z$ on $I$ such that

$$
Z \subsetneq Y \text { but } C: C X \rightarrow Z \text { is bounded. }
$$

Let $0 \leq f \in Y \backslash Z$. Define

$$
g(x)=\frac{1}{(1-x)} \widetilde{[v f]}(x), x \in I .
$$

Then $f \leq g$ and $g \in \widetilde{X(1 / v)}(v) \subset X$ because $\frac{1}{1-x} \widetilde{[v g]}(x)=\frac{1}{1-x} \widetilde{[v f]}(x)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(g / v)(x) & =\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\widetilde{[v g]}(t)}{(1-t)^{2}} d t \geq \frac{\widetilde{[v f]}(x)}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2}} d t \\
& =\frac{\widetilde{[v f]}(x)}{x} \frac{x}{(1-x)} \geq f(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $C(g / v) \notin Z$. However, $g \in X$ and so $g / v \in X(v)$. Also, by Theorem 2 below, $X(v) \subset C X$ and therefore $g / v \in C X$ which means that $C: C X \nrightarrow Z$. Note that we have already shown $C: X(v) \nrightarrow Z$, which by inclusion $X(v) \subset C X$ means that $\widetilde{X(1 / v)}(v)$ is the optimal range also for $X(v)$.
(iii). The argument is analogous to the one from statement (5.1) in [10]. However, we need to modify it because in [10] the maximal operator is defined on a larger interval than $[0,1]$. Let $0 \leq f \in C X \cap L^{1}[0,1]$. We shall understand that $f(x)=0$ for $x>1$. Of course, inequality from (2.1) remains true in this case, since $f \in C X$. Suppose that $0<x \leq y \leq 1$ and consider two cases. If $y / 2 \leq x$, then

$$
M \sigma_{1 / 2} C f(x) \geq \frac{2}{y} \int_{y / 2}^{y} \sigma_{1 / 2} C f(u) d u .
$$

If $x \leq y / 2$, then

$$
M \sigma_{1 / 2} C f(x) \geq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} \sigma_{1 / 2} C f(u) d u \geq \frac{1}{y} \int_{y / 2}^{y} \sigma_{1 / 2} C f(u) d u
$$

Altogether we get

$$
M \sigma_{1 / 2} C f(x) \geq \frac{1}{y} \int_{y / 2}^{y} \sigma_{1 / 2} C f(u) d u=\frac{1}{2 y} \int_{y}^{2 y} C f(t) d t \geq \frac{1}{4} C f(y) .
$$

Therefore, similarly as before,

$$
M \sigma_{1 / 2} C f(x) \geq \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{ess} \sup _{x \leq y} C f(y)=\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{C f}(x)
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{C \widetilde{X}} & =\|\widetilde{C f}\|_{X} \leq 4\left\|M \sigma_{1 / 2} C f\right\|_{X} \leq 4\|M\|_{X \rightarrow X}\left\|\sigma_{1 / 2}\right\|_{X \rightarrow X}\|C f\|_{X} \\
& =4\|M\|_{X \rightarrow X}\left\|\sigma_{1 / 2}\right\|_{X \rightarrow X}\|f\|_{C X} \leq 4\|M\|_{X \rightarrow X}\left\|\sigma_{1 / 2}\right\|_{X \rightarrow X}\|f\|_{C X \cap L^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $0 \leq f \in C \widetilde{X}$, then

$$
\|f\|_{L^{1}}=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t \frac{\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{X}}{\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{X}}=\frac{\left\|\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t\right) \chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{X}}{\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{X}} \leq \frac{\|\widetilde{C f}\|_{X}}{\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{X}}
$$

Thus also

$$
\|f\|_{C X \cap L^{1}} \leq \max \left\{1, \frac{1}{\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{X}}\right\}\|\widetilde{C f}\|_{X}
$$

which means that $C \widetilde{X}=C X \cap L^{1}$. For the sake of completeness we present the argument that $\widetilde{X}$ is the optimal range of $C$ for $C \widetilde{X}$, although it works just like in [10, Theorem 8.2]. Let $Z$ be a Banach ideal space on $I$ and suppose that $0 \leq f \in \tilde{X} \backslash Z$. Then also $\tilde{f} \in \widetilde{X} \backslash Z$ and $C \tilde{f} \geq \widetilde{f}$. However $\widetilde{f} \notin Z$, which means that $C \tilde{f} \notin Z$ and $C: C \tilde{X} \nrightarrow Z$.
(i) This case is easier and may be deduced directly from [9]. Since for $0<y$ also $2 y \in I$ it is enough to follow (2.1) and after that to get for $y \geq x \geq 0$

$$
M C f(x) \geq \frac{1}{2 y-x} \int_{x}^{2 y} C f(t) d t \geq \frac{1}{4} C f(y)
$$

Then
$\|C f\|_{\widetilde{X}}=\|\widetilde{C f}\|_{X} \leq 4\|M C f\|_{X} \leq 4\|M\|_{X \rightarrow X}\|C f\|_{X}=4\|M\|_{X \rightarrow X}\|f\|_{C X}$, which means that $C: C X \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ is bounded and $C X=C \tilde{X}$. The optimal range of $C$ for $\widetilde{X}, X, C X$ is once again $\widetilde{X}$ and the proof is the same as in (iii) (see also [10, Theorem 8.2], [11, Theorem 3.16] and [9, Theorem 4.1]).

## 3. Hardy Inequality

We present an improvement of the Hardy inequality which appears for spaces on $I=[0,1]$.

Theorem 2. If $C$ is bounded on a Banach ideal space $X$ on $I=[0,1]$ and the maximal operator $M$ is bounded on $X^{\prime}$, then

$$
C: X(v) \rightarrow X
$$

is also bounded, where $v$ is from (1.1).
Proof. Let $0 \leq f \in X$. We have for $0<x \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$
C(f / v)(x)=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(s)}{1-s} d s \leq \frac{2}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(s) d s
$$

and for $\frac{1}{2}<x \leq 1$

$$
C(f / v)(x)=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(s)}{1-s} d s \leq 2 \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(s)}{1-s} d s
$$

If we define the operator $T$ as $T f(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(s)}{1-s} d s$, then

$$
C(f / v) \leq 2(C f+T f)
$$

Therefore, we need to show that $T$ is bounded on $X$. Consider an involution operator $\tau: f(x) \mapsto f(1-x)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(s)}{1-s} d s=\int_{1-x}^{1} \frac{f(1-s)}{s} d s=\tau C^{*} \tau f(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the space

$$
X^{-}=\{f: \tau f \in X\}
$$

with its natural norm $\|f\|_{X^{-}}=\|\tau f\|_{X}$ is also a Banach ideal space on $I$ and so $\left(X^{-}\right)^{-}$. Just by definition $\sigma: X \rightarrow X^{-}, \tau: X^{-} \rightarrow X$ are bounded and $\tau \tau=i d$. Thus $T$ is bounded on $X$ if and only if $C^{*}$ is bounded on $X^{-}$. We will prove the last equivalence. Notice that simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
M f(1-x)=\sup _{a \neq b, 0 \leq a \leq 1-x \leq b \leq 1} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(s) d s \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sup _{a \neq b, 0 \leq 1-b \leq x \leq 1-a \leq 1} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{1-b}^{1-a} f(1-s) d s=(M \tau f)(x) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $M \tau f=\tau M f$ which means that for any Banach ideal space $Y, M$ is bounded on $Y$ if and only if M is bounded on $Y^{-}$, which by our assumption gives that $M$ is bounded on $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{-}$. Thus also $C$ is bounded on $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{-}$and by duality $C^{*}$ is bounded on $\left[\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{-}\right]^{\prime}$. However, it is evident that for any Banach ideal space $Y$ there holds $\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{-}=\left(Y^{-}\right)^{\prime}$. Then $\left[\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{-}\right]^{\prime}=\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-}=X^{-}$and so $C^{*}$ is bounded on $X^{-}$.

Remark 1. If $X$ is a symmetric space, then evidently $X=X^{-}$and we get Lemma 10 from [6], whose proof was a generalization of the AstashkinMaligranda result from [1]. Moreover, our Theorem 2 includes Theorem 9 in [6] for the weighted $L^{p}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ spaces when $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $-1 / p<\alpha<1-1 / p$.
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