



ERRATUM

Erratum to: A characterization of elementary abelian 2-groups

MARIUS TĂRNĂUCEANU

Erratum to: Arch. Math. 102 (2014), 11–14
DOI 10.1007/s00013-013-0581-5

In this short note a correction to our previous paper (Tărnăuceanu in Arch Math 102:11–14, 2014) is made.

1. Introduction. The main result of [2] is Theorem 1.1 which gives a characterization of elementary abelian 2-groups in terms of their maximal (by inclusion) sum-free subsets. Unfortunately, as pointed out by Anabanti [1], it is not true without an additional condition. A correct version is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite group. Then the set of maximal sum-free subsets coincides with the set of complements of maximal subgroups if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ for some $n \leq 3$.*

According to this correction, Corollary 1.2 of [2] will be rewritten in the following manner.

Corollary 1.2. *The elementary abelian 2-group \mathbb{Z}_2^n has $2^n - 1$ maximal sum-free subsets for $n \leq 3$, and at least 2^n maximal sum-free subsets for $n \geq 4$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We can easily check that for $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $n \leq 3$, the maximal sum-free subsets coincide with the complements of maximal subgroups.

Conversely, let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k be the maximal subgroups of G and assume that $G \setminus M_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are the maximal sum-free subsets of G . Then $[G : M_i] = 2$, for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, by Lemma 2.1 of [2]. We infer that G is a

nilpotent group, more precisely a 2-group. Since every non-trivial element of G is contained in a maximal sum-free subset of G , we have

$$G \setminus 1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G \setminus M_i = G \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^k M_i = G \setminus \Phi(G),$$

that is, $\Phi(G) = 1$. Consequently, G is an elementary abelian 2-group, say $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$.

Next we will prove that $n \leq 3$. Assume that $n \geq 4$ and denote by e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n the canonical basis of G over \mathbb{Z}_2 . It is clear that $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4\}$ is a sum-free subset of G . If there is a maximal subgroup M such that $A \subseteq G \setminus M$, then M must be of index 2, which implies that the sum of any two elements of A belongs to M . Therefore $e_1 + e_2, e_3 + e_4 \in M$ and so $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 \in M$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] C. ANABANTI, A characterization of elementary abelian 3-groups, [arXiv:1611.06546](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06546).
- [2] M. TĂRNĂUCEANU, A characterization of elementary abelian 2-groups, Arch. Math. **102** (2014), 11–14.

MARIUS TĂRNĂUCEANU
 Faculty of Mathematics,
 “Al.I. Cuza” University,
 Iași,
 Romania
 e-mail: tarnauc@uaic.ro