



# A note on homomorphisms between products of algebras

Ivan Chajda, Martin Goldstern and Helmut Länger

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a congruence distributive variety and call an algebra hereditarily directly irreducible (HDI) if every of its subalgebras is directly irreducible. It is shown that every homomorphism from a finite direct product of arbitrary algebras from  $\mathcal{K}$  to an HDI algebra from  $\mathcal{K}$  is essentially unary. Hence, every homomorphism from a finite direct product of algebras  $\mathbf{A}_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) from  $\mathcal{K}$  to an arbitrary direct product of HDI algebras  $\mathbf{C}_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) from  $\mathcal{K}$  can be expressed as a product of homomorphisms from  $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma(j)}$  to  $\mathbf{C}_j$  for a certain mapping  $\sigma$  from  $J$  to  $I$ . A homomorphism from an infinite direct product of elements of  $\mathcal{K}$  to an HDI algebra will in general not be essentially unary, but will always factor through a suitable ultraproduct.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 06B05.

**Keywords.** Direct product of chains, Homomorphism, Essentially unary mapping, Ultrafilter.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{A}_i, i \in I$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_j, j \in J$ , be algebras of the same type and  $f$  a homomorphism from  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$  to  $\prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{B}_j$ . For every  $k \in J$  let  $p_k$  denote the projection from  $\prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{B}_j$  onto  $\mathbf{B}_k$  and  $f_k := p_k \circ f$ . More generally, for any  $J_0 \subseteq J$  we let  $p_{J_0} : \prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{B}_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J_0} \mathbf{B}_j$  be the canonical projection map. It is evident that  $f = (f_j : j \in J)$ . Hence, the task of describing  $f$  is reduced to the task of describing the homomorphisms  $f_k$  from  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$  to  $\mathbf{B}_k$ .

---

Presented by G. Czédli.

I. Chajda and H. Länger gratefully acknowledge support of this research by ÖAD, project CZ 04/2017, as well as by IGA, project PiF 2018 012. M. Goldstern gratefully acknowledges support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project I 3081-N35. H. Länger gratefully acknowledges support of this research by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project I 1923-N25.

In [3] the authors solve this problem for the case that the algebras  $\mathbf{A}_i$  and  $\mathbf{B}_j$  are conservative median algebras and the index sets are finite. More generally, Couceiro et al. [2] considers the case that the  $\mathbf{A}_i$  are median algebras and the  $\mathbf{B}_j$  are tree-median algebras. It turns out that the method developed in [2, 3] can be further generalized to lattices. Let us note that every distributive lattice is a median algebra (but not conversely). We are even able to extend this result to arbitrary lattices  $\mathbf{A}_i$  provided the  $\mathbf{B}_j$  are chains. For lattice concepts used in the rest of the paper the reader is referred to the monographs [1, 5].

We call a mapping  $f: \prod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow C$  *essentially unary* if there exists an  $i_0 \in I$  and a mapping  $g: A_{i_0} \rightarrow C$  with  $g \circ p_{i_0} = f$ . In this case we say that “ $f$  depends only on the  $i_0$ -th coordinate”, or that “ $f$  factors through  $p_{i_0}$ ”.

From  $f = g \circ p_{i_0}$  it easily follows that  $g$  is a homomorphism if and only if  $f$  is.

## 2. Fraser–Horn property and HDI algebras

**Definition 2.1.** A class  $\mathcal{K}$  of algebras has the *Fraser–Horn property* if there are no skew congruences on any product  $\mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_2$  with  $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ , or more explicitly:

For all  $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ , for every congruence  $\theta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_2)$  there are congruences  $\theta_1 \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A}_1), \theta_2 \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A}_2)$  such that  $\theta = \theta_1 \times \theta_2$ , i.e.  $\theta = \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \mid x_1\theta_1y_1, x_2\theta_2y_2\}$ .

The following lemma is known from [4].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a congruence distributive (CD) variety. Then  $\mathcal{K}$  has the Fraser–Horn property.*

For the rest of the paper we fix a variety  $\mathcal{K}$  with the Fraser–Horn property.

We call an algebra non-trivial if its universe contains at least two elements.

**Definition 2.3.** We call an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  *hereditarily directly irreducible* (HDI) if every subalgebra  $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$  is directly irreducible, i.e., is not isomorphic to a direct product of two non-trivial factors.

**Fact 2.4.** (1) The variety of lattices is congruence distributive.

(2) A lattice is HDI if and only if it is a chain.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a variety with the Fraser–Horn property. If  $n$  is a positive integer,  $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n$  are in  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$  is HDI, then every homomorphism  $f$  from  $\mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n$  to  $\mathbf{C}$  is essentially unary, i.e., factors through one of the projections  $p_i$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta = \ker(f)$ . By a straightforward generalization of the Fraser–Horn property we know that  $\theta = \theta_1 \times \dots \times \theta_n$ , where each  $\theta_i$  is a congruence on  $\mathbf{A}_i$ .

The homomorphism theorem tells us that  $\mathbf{B}' := f(\mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n)$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $(\mathbf{A}_1/\theta_1) \times \dots \times (\mathbf{A}_n/\theta_n)$ . By our assumption,  $\mathbf{B}'$  is directly irreducible, so at most one of these factors can be non-trivial,

so there is at most one  $i$  such that  $\mathbf{A}_i/\theta_i$  has more than one element. So  $f$  depends only on the  $i$ -th coordinate.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** If  $f: \mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is not constant, then there is at most one  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $f$  factors through  $p_i$ .

As a consequence of the above theorem we obtain the following statement.

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $n$  is a positive integer,  $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  has the Fraser–Horn property,  $(\mathbf{C}_j; j \in J)$  is a non-empty family of HDI algebras in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and  $f$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n$  to  $\prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{C}_j$  then there exists a mapping  $\sigma: J \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  and for every  $j \in J$  a homomorphism  $g_j$  from  $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma(j)}$  to  $\mathbf{C}_j$  such that*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (g_j(x_{\sigma(j)}); j \in J)$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n$ .

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 2.5 to the mappings  $f_j := p_j \circ f, j \in J$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a variety with the Fraser–Horn property. Let  $n, k$  be positive integers and let  $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{C}_1, \dots, \mathbf{C}_k$  be non-trivial HDI algebras in  $\mathcal{K}$  and assume  $\mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n \cong \mathbf{C}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{C}_k$ . Then  $n = k$  and there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  such that  $\mathbf{C}_i \cong \mathbf{A}_{\sigma(i)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  denote an isomorphism from  $\mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n$  to  $\mathbf{C}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{C}_k$ . According to Theorem 2.7, there exist mappings  $\sigma$  from  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\tau$  from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ , for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  a homomorphism  $g_j$  from  $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma(j)}$  to  $\mathbf{C}_j$  and for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  a homomorphism  $h_i$  from  $\mathbf{C}_{\tau(i)}$  to  $\mathbf{A}_i$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (g_1(x_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, g_k(x_{\sigma(k)}))$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n$  and

$$f^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_k) = (h_1(y_{\tau(1)}), \dots, h_n(y_{\tau(n)}))$$

for all  $(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbf{C}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{C}_k$ . The injectivity of  $f$  implies  $k \geq n$  and the injectivity of  $f^{-1}$  implies  $n \geq k$ . This shows  $n = k$ . Moreover, again since  $f$  is injective we have  $\sigma \in S_n$ . Finally, the injectivity of  $f$  implies the injectivity of  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  and the surjectivity of  $f$  implies the surjectivity of  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ . This shows that  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  are isomorphisms, i.e.  $\mathbf{C}_i \cong \mathbf{A}_{\sigma(i)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *If an algebra in  $\mathcal{K}$  is isomorphic to a finite product of non-trivial HDI algebras, then these factors are uniquely determined up to order and isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

We can generalize this to infinite direct products as follows. Recall that an ultrafilter on a set  $I$  is a family  $U$  of subsets of  $I$  which is upwards closed and also closed under intersections such that for all  $I_0 \subseteq I$  exactly one of  $I_0, I \setminus I_0$

$I \setminus I_0$  is in  $U$ . For any family  $(A_i : i \in I)$  of sets and any ultrafilter  $U$  on  $I$  we define the equivalence relation  $\sim_U$  on  $\prod_i A_i$  by

$$(x_i : i \in I) \sim_U (y_i : i \in I) \Leftrightarrow \{i \in I \mid x_i = y_i\} \in U,$$

and we write  $\prod_i A_i/U$  for the set of equivalence classes, the “ultraproduct of the  $A_i$  modulo  $U$ ”. The canonical map from  $\prod_i A_i$  to  $\prod_i A_i/U$  is denoted by  $\kappa_U$ . If  $(\mathbf{A}_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of algebras of the same type, then the relation  $\sim_U$  is a congruence relation on the product  $\prod_i \mathbf{A}_i$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a variety with the Fraser–Horn property. Let  $I$  be a non-empty set, and for each  $i \in I$  let  $\mathbf{A}_i$  be an algebra in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be an HDI algebra in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and let  $h : \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a homomorphism which is not constant. Then there is a unique ultrafilter  $U$  on  $I$  such that  $h$  factors through  $\kappa_U$ , i.e., there is a homomorphism  $h' : \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i/\sim_U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that  $h = h' \circ \kappa_U$ .*

*In particular: If there is no  $i \in I$  such that  $h$  factors through  $p_i$ , then  $U$  will be a non-principal ultrafilter.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be defined as the set of all  $M \subseteq I$  such that  $h$  factors through  $p_M$ , i.e., such that there exists  $f_M : \prod_{i \in M} \mathbf{A}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  with  $h = f_M \circ p_M$ .

It is clear that  $U$  is upwards closed, and from Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.6 we get: If  $M_1 \subseteq I$  and  $M_2 := I \setminus M_1$ , then  $M_1 \in U$  and  $M_2 \notin U$  or conversely. As  $h$  is not constant, we have  $\emptyset \notin U$ .

We now show that  $U$  is closed under intersections: Given  $M_1, M_2 \in U$ , then we can write  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$  as the direct product of four factors:

$$B_{11} = \prod_{i \in M_1 \cap M_2} \mathbf{A}_i, B_{10} = \prod_{i \in M_1 \setminus M_2} \mathbf{A}_i, B_{01} = \prod_{i \in M_2 \setminus M_1} \mathbf{A}_i, B_{00} = \prod_{i \notin M_1 \cup M_2} \mathbf{A}_i,$$

with corresponding projections  $p_{11}, p_{10}, p_{01}, p_{00}$ .

Since none of the sets  $M_1 \setminus M_2, M_2 \setminus M_1$ , and  $I \setminus (M_1 \cup M_2)$  are in  $U$ ,  $h$  cannot factor through any of  $p_{10}, p_{01}$ , or  $p_{00}$ . Hence (by Theorem 2.5),  $h$  must factor through  $p_{11}$ , so  $M_1 \cap M_2 \in U$ . So we have shown that  $U$  is a filter, and even an ultrafilter.

We now check that  $h$  factors through the canonical map  $\kappa_U : \prod_i \mathbf{A}_i \rightarrow \prod_i \mathbf{A}_i/U$ . All we have to show is that for all  $x \sim_U y \in \prod_i \mathbf{A}_i$  we have  $h(x) = h(y)$ . Now  $x \sim_U y$  implies that the set  $M := \{i \mid x(i) = y(i)\}$  is in  $U$ ; by definition of  $U$ , there is some  $f_M$  with  $h = f_M \circ p_M$ , so we get  $h(x) = f(p_M(x)) = f(p_M(y)) = h(y)$ .

Finally, we show that  $U$  is unique. So let  $U'$  be an ultrafilter such that  $h$  factors through  $\kappa_{U'}$ . It is enough to show  $U' \subseteq U$ :

Let  $M \in U'$ , and let  $U' \upharpoonright M := \{N \cap M \mid N \in U'\}$  be the restriction of  $U'$  to  $M$ . The map  $\kappa_{U'}$  can be written as  $\kappa_{U'} = \kappa_{U' \upharpoonright M} \circ p_M$ ; as  $h$  factors through  $\kappa_{U'}$ ,  $h$  also factors through  $p_M$ , so  $M \in U$ . □

**Remark 2.11.** Theorem 2.5 was used in the proof of Theorem 2.10; but we can also view Theorem 2.5 as a special case of Theorem 2.10, as any ultrafilter on a finite index set must be principal.

### 3. Lattices

Theorem 2.10 is in some sense best possible, in the sense that homomorphisms from an infinite product  $\prod_i \mathbf{A}_i$  into an HDI algebra will in general not factor through any single projection  $p_j$ , as the following example shows.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $U$  be an ultrafilter on the infinite index set  $I$ , and for each  $i \in I$  let  $\mathbf{A}_i$  be the 2-element lattice  $\{0, 1\}$ . Then the ultraproduct  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i/U$  is again the 2-element lattice.

Identifying  $\prod_i \mathbf{A}_i$  with the power set lattice  $(P(I), \cup, \cap)$ , the canonical map  $\kappa_U: P(I) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  maps each element of  $U$  to 1 and everything else to 0. If  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter, then  $h_U$  does not factor through any projection.

This example can be generalized to any Fraser–Horn variety where the class of HDI algebras is described by a set of first order formulas: If  $\prod_i \mathbf{A}_i$  is a product of algebras, and  $(h_i : i \in I)$  is a family of homomorphisms  $h_i: \mathbf{A}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_i$ , where each  $\mathbf{C}_i$  is HDI, then the family  $(h_i : i \in I)$  naturally defines a homomorphism  $h: \prod_i \mathbf{A}_i \rightarrow \prod_i \mathbf{C}_i$ .

If  $U$  is an ultrafilter on  $I$ , then the algebra  $\mathbf{C} := \prod_i \mathbf{C}_i/U$  is again HDI (as  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies all first order statements that are true in each  $\mathbf{C}_i$ ). Let  $\kappa_U^C: \prod_i \mathbf{C}_i \rightarrow \prod_i \mathbf{C}_i/U$  and  $\kappa_U^A: \prod_i \mathbf{A}_i \rightarrow \prod_i \mathbf{A}_i/U$  be the canonical maps. Then the map  $\bar{h} := \kappa_U^C \circ h: \prod_i \mathbf{A}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  trivially factors through  $\kappa_U^A$ , i.e., there is  $h': \prod_i \mathbf{A}_i/U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  with  $\bar{h} = h' \circ \kappa_U^A$ . By the uniqueness claim in Theorem 2.10, we see that  $U$  is the set of all  $M \subseteq I$  such that  $\bar{h}$  factors through  $p_M$ . So if  $U$  is non-principal, then  $\bar{h}$  does not factor through any  $p_i$ .

**Fact 3.2.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a lattice. Then the following are equivalent:

- There is a non-constant homomorphism from  $\mathbf{A}$  into a chain.
- There is a non-constant homomorphism from  $\mathbf{A}$  into the 2-element chain.
- The lattice  $\mathbf{A}$  has a prime ideal.

The following corollary can be seen as a weak version of Theorem 2.5.

**Corollary 3.3.** *The class of lattices without a prime ideal is closed under finite direct products.*

The following example shows that even this weak version cannot be generalized to infinite products, not even if all factors are equal.

- Example 3.4.** (a) There are non-trivial lattices  $\mathbf{M}$  such that no (finite or infinite) direct power of  $\mathbf{M}$  has a prime ideal.  
 (b) On the other hand, there are lattices  $\mathbf{A}$  without a prime ideal such that any infinite direct power  $\mathbf{A}^I$  will contain a prime ideal.

*Proof of (a).* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the class of all lattices of height 3 with at least 5 elements, i.e., the class of all bounded lattices  $\mathbf{M}$  in which all elements except for  $\sup \mathbf{M}$  and  $\inf \mathbf{M}$  are incomparable. It is clear that no lattice in  $\mathcal{M}$  has a prime ideal. The class  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under ultraproducts, since the property of being in  $\mathcal{M}$  can be expressed by a first order statement.

If  $\mathbf{M} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{M}_i$  is an arbitrary direct product with factors  $\mathbf{M}_i \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $h: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a homomorphism into a chain, then  $h$  factors through an ultraproduct  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{M}_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{M}_i/U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $h = h' \circ \kappa_U$ . The map  $h'$  and therefore also  $h$  must be constant.  $\square$

*Proof of (b).* Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the lattice obtained from  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  by replacing each odd number  $2k + 1$  by a 3-element antichain  $a_k, b_k, c_k$ , and each even number  $2k$  by a new element  $d_k$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{A}$  has no prime ideal.

We will show that every infinite power  $\mathbf{A}^I$  contains a prime ideal. Clearly it is enough to show this for the case of countable  $I$ , say  $I = \mathbb{N}$ .

For any ultrafilter  $U$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  the following set  $J_U$  is an ideal on  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$ :

$$J_U := \{(x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \mid \exists k \exists C \in U \forall n \in C : x_n \leq d_k\}$$

We now show that  $J_U$  is a prime ideal. If

$$\bar{x} = (x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}), \bar{y} = (y_i : i \in \mathbb{N}), \bar{z} = (z_i : i \in \mathbb{N}), \quad \bar{x} \wedge \bar{y} = \bar{z} \in J_U,$$

then there is some set  $C \in U$  and some natural number  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $z_n \leq d_k$  holds for all  $n \in C$ . Now the two sets

$$\{n : x_n \geq d_{k+1}\}, \{n : y_n \geq d_{k+1}\}$$

cannot both belong to  $U$ , as their intersection  $D$  is disjoint to  $C$ . (Since  $n \in D$  implies  $x_n \wedge y_n \geq d_{k+1}$ .)

Without loss of generality we have  $\{n : x_n \leq d_{k+1}\} \in U$ , so  $\bar{x} \in J_U$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

Open access funding provided by TU Wien (TUW). We are grateful to the referee of a previous version of this paper for alerting us to [4], and to Gábor Czédli for suggesting the definition of HDI algebras.

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- [1] Birkhoff, G.: Lattice Theory. Corr. Repr. of the 1967 3rd Edn. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 25. American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence (1979)
- [2] Couceiro, M., Foldes, S., Meletiou, G.C.: On homomorphisms between products of median algebras. *Algebra Universalis* **78**, 545–553 (2017)
- [3] Couceiro, M., Marichal, J.-L., Teheux, B.: Conservative median algebras and semilattices. *Order* **33**, 121–132 (2016)

[4] Fraser, G.A., Horn, A.: Congruence relations in direct products. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **26**, 390–394 (1970)

[5] Grätzer, G.: Lattice Theory: Foundation. Birkhäuser, Basel (2011)

Ivan Chajda and Helmut Länger  
Department of Algebra and Geometry  
Palacký University Olomouc  
17. listopadu 12  
771 46 Olomouc  
Czech Republic  
e-mail: ivan.chajda@upol.cz

Martin Goldstern and Helmut Länger  
Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry  
TU Wien  
Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10  
1040 Vienna  
Austria  
e-mail [M. Goldstern]: martin.goldstern@tuwien.ac.at  
e-mail [H. Länger]: helmut.laenger@tuwien.ac.at

Received: 3 April 2017.

Accepted: 15 January 2018.