Aequationes Mathematicae



Characterizations of derivations on spaces of smooth functions

Włodzimierz Fechner and Aleksandra Świątczak

Dedicated to Professor Wojciech Kryszewski on the occasion of his 65-th birthday.

Abstract. We provide a list of equivalent conditions under which an additive operator acting on a space of smooth functions on a compact real interval is a multiple of the derivation.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 39B42; Secondary: 39B72, 39B82, 47B47.

Keywords. Derivations, Smooth functions.

1. Introduction

By \mathbb{R} we denote the set of reals, \mathbb{Q} are rationals, \mathbb{Z} are integers, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an interval and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $C^k(I)$ is the space of real-valued functions on I that are k-times continuously differentiable on the interior of I. If k = 0, then we write simply C(I). The space $C^k(I)$ is furnished with the standard pointwise algebraic operations and hence it is a real commutative algebra.

Definition. (e.g. Kuczma [12, page 391]) Assume that Q is a commutative ring and P is a subring of Q. A function $f: P \to Q$ is called derivation if it is additive:

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in P \tag{1}$$

and it satisfies the *Leibniz rule*:

$$f(xy) = xf(y) + yf(x), \quad x, y \in P.$$
 (2)

The following theorem describes derivations over fields of characteristic zero.



Theorem 1. [12, Theorem 14.2.1] Let K be a field of characteristic zero, F be a subfield of K, S be an algebraic base of K over F if it exists, and let $S = \emptyset$ otherwise. If $f: F \to K$ is a derivation, then, for every function $u: S \to K$ there exists a unique derivation $g: K \to K$ such that g = f on F and g = u on S.

From this theorem it follows in particular that nonzero derivations $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ exist. It is well known they are discontinuous and very irregular mappings. For an exhaustive discussion of the notion of derivation and related functional equations the reader is referred to Gselmann [5,6], Gselmann, Kiss, Vincze [7] and the references therein. Recently Ebanks [2,3] studied derivations and derivations of higher order on rings.

The "model" example of a derivation is the operator of derivative on the space $C^k(I)$ for k>0. Indeed, if we define $T\colon C^k(I)\to C(I)$ as T(f)=f' for $f\in C^k(I)$, then clearly $C^k(I)$ is a subring of C(I), T is additive and it satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$T(f \cdot g) = f \cdot T(g) + g \cdot T(f). \tag{3}$$

Crucial results about equation (3) on the space $C^k(I)$ are due to H. König and V. Milman. We refer the reader to their recent monograph [11]. They studied several operator equations and inequalities that are related to derivatives on the spaces of smooth functions. Later on, we will utilize their elegant result [11, Theorem 3.1] regarding (3). Briefly, if I is an open set, then the general solution of (3) for all $f, g \in C^k(I)$ is of the form

$$T(f) = c \cdot f \cdot \ln|f| + d \cdot f', \quad f \in C^k(I)$$
(4)

for some continuous functions $c, d \in C(I)$, if k > 0, and

$$T(f) = c \cdot f \cdot \ln|f|, \quad f \in C^k(I)$$
 (5)

if k = 0 (in formulas (4) and (5) the convention that $0 \cdot \ln 0 = 0$ is adopted). Note that no additivity is assumed.

It is a natural question to characterize real-to-real derivations among additive functions with the aid of a relation which is weaker than (2). In particular, the very first article published in the first volume of *Aequationes Mathematicae* by Nishiyama and Horinouchi [14] addresses this question. The authors studied the following relations, each of which is a direct consequence of (2) alone and together with (1) implies (2):

$$f(x^2) = 2xf(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\tag{6}$$

$$f(x^{-1}) = -x^{-2}f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq 0,$$
 (7)

and

$$f(x^n) = ax^{n-m}f(x^m), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq 0, \tag{8}$$

where $a \neq 1$ and n, m are integers such that $am = n \neq 0$. Further similar results, as well as some generalizations, are due to Jurkat [8], Kannappan and Kurepa [9,10], Kurepa [13], among others. Ebanks [4] generalized and extended these results to arbitrary fields. A recent paper by Amou [1] provides some n-dimensional generalizations of the results of [8–10,13].

This paper provides versions of the above-mentioned results for operators $T: C^k(I) \to C(I)$. Therefore, we seek conditions which are equivalent to (3).

2. Main results

Throughout this section let us fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We will study conditions upon an additive operator $T : C^k(I) \to C(I)$ which yield analogues to Eqs. (6), (14) and (8). Therefore, we will focus on the following operator relations:

$$T(f^2) = 2f \cdot T(f), \tag{9}$$

$$T(f) = -f^2 \cdot T\left(\frac{1}{f}\right),\tag{10}$$

$$T(f^n) = nf^{n-1} \cdot T(f). \tag{11}$$

Our first theorem is a simple observation that some reasonings concerning derivations from the real-to-real case can be extended to arbitrary commutative rings without substantial changes. We adopted parts of the proof of [12, Theorem 14.3.1].

Theorem 2. Assume that Q is a commutative ring, P is a subring of Q and $T \colon P \to Q$ is an additive operator. Then, the following conditions are pairwise equivalent:

- (i) T satisfies $T(f^2) = 2f \cdot T(f)$ for all $f \in P$,
- (ii) T satisfies $T(f \cdot g) = f \cdot T(g) + g \cdot T(f)$ for all $f, g \in P$,
- (iii) T satisfies $T(f^n) = nf^{n-1} \cdot T(f)$ for all $f \in P$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Fix arbitrarily $f, g \in P$. By (9) we get

$$T((f+g)^2) = 2(f+g) \cdot T(f+g).$$

Since T is additive,

$$T(f^2) + 2T(f \cdot g) + T(g^2) = 2f \cdot T(f) + 2g \cdot T(f) + 2f \cdot T(g) + 2g \cdot T(g).$$

Using (9) again, after reductions we obtain (3).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If n=1, then (11) reduces to an identity. Assume that (11) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $f \in P$. Then, by (3) and the induction hypothesis we have

$$T(f^{n+1}) = T(f^n \cdot f) = f^n \cdot T(f) + f \cdot T(f^n)$$

= $f^n \cdot T(f) + n f^{n-1+1} \cdot T(f) = (n+1) f^n \cdot T(f)$.

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$$
. Take $n = 2$.

The next corollary will be utilized later on.

Corollary 1. Assume that $T: C^k(I) \to C(I)$ is an additive operator. Then, the following conditions are pairwise equivalent:

- (i) T satisfies $T(f^2) = 2f \cdot T(f)$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$,
- (ii) T satisfies $T(f \cdot g) = f \cdot T(g) + g \cdot T(f)$ for all $f, g \in C^k(I)$,
- (iii) T satisfies $T(f^n) = nf^{n-1} \cdot T(f)$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our next result characterizes the Leibniz rule (3) on a domain restricted to functions separated from zero. Thus, we can consider conditions (10) and (11) for negative n, which involve the function 1/f. The situation is a bit more complicated, but Theorem 3 below has a mainly technical role.

Theorem 3. Assume that $T: C^k(I) \to C(I)$ is an additive operator and $\varepsilon_1 \in (0,1)$, $\varepsilon_2 \in (0,1)$ and $c \in (1,+\infty]$ are constants. Consider the following conditions:

- (i) T satisfies $T(f) = -f^2 \cdot T\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$, $c > f > \varepsilon_1$,
- (ii) T satisfies $T(f^2) = 2f \cdot T(f)$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$, $f > \varepsilon_2$,
- (iii) T satisfies $T(f \cdot g) = f \cdot T(g) + g \cdot T(f)$ for all $f, g \in C^k(I)$, $f > \varepsilon_2$, $g > \varepsilon_2$,
- (iv) T satisfies $T(f^n) = nf^{n-1} \cdot T(f)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $f \in C^k(I)$ such that $\varepsilon_2 < f < 1/\varepsilon_2$, and $f^{n-1} > \varepsilon_2$ if n > 0 and $f^{n+1} > \varepsilon_2$ if n < 0.

Then: (i) with $c = +\infty$ implies (ii) with $\varepsilon_2 > \sqrt{\varepsilon_1}$, (ii) and (iii) are equivalent, (iii) implies (iv), (iv) implies (i) with $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$ and $c = 1/\varepsilon_2$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). First, note that by applying (10) for f=1 and using the rational homogeneity of T we get that T vanishes on each constant function equal to a rational number. Observe that for an arbitrary rational $\delta > 0$ (which will be chosen later) the identity

$$\frac{1}{f^2 - \delta^2} = \frac{1}{2\delta} \left(\frac{1}{f - \delta} - \frac{1}{f + \delta} \right) \tag{12}$$

holds for $f \in C^k(I)$ such that $f > \delta$. Next, if $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ is given and $\varepsilon_2 > \sqrt{\varepsilon_1}$, then we will find some rational $\delta > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_1 + \delta$ and $\varepsilon_2^2 > \varepsilon_1 + \delta^2$. Consequently, if $f \in C^k(I)$ and $f > \varepsilon_2$, then $f \pm \delta > \varepsilon_1$ and $f^2 - \delta^2 > \varepsilon_1$.

Using (i) three times together with (12) and the additivity of T we obtain

$$T(f^2) = T(f^2 - \delta^2) = -(f^2 - \delta^2)^2 T\left(\frac{1}{f^2 - \delta^2}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\delta}(f^2 - \delta^2)^2 T\left(\frac{1}{f - \delta} - \frac{1}{f + \delta}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\delta}(f + \delta)^2 (f - \delta)^2 \left[T\left(\frac{1}{f - \delta}\right) - T\left(\frac{1}{f + \delta}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\delta}\left[(f + \delta)^2 T(f - \delta) - (f - \delta)^2 T(f + \delta)\right] = 2fT(f).$$

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). Analogously as in Theorem 2 for $f>\varepsilon_2$ and $g>\varepsilon_2$. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). If n=1, then (11) is trivially satisfied. Assume that $f,\ n$ and ε_2 satisfy the assumptions of (iv). For n>1 we proceed like in Theorem 2. If n=0, then (iv) reduces to T(1)=0, which follows from (iii). If n=-1, then for $1/\varepsilon_2>f>\varepsilon_2$ we have

$$0 = T(1) = T\left(f \cdot \frac{1}{f}\right) = \frac{1}{f} \cdot T(f) + f \cdot T\left(\frac{1}{f}\right).$$

Assume that n < -1. By downward induction, one can check that for $f^{n+1} > \varepsilon_2$ we have from (3)

$$T(f^n) = T\left(f^{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{f}\right) = f^{n+1} \cdot T\left(\frac{1}{f}\right) + \frac{1}{f} \cdot T\left(f^{n+1}\right)$$
$$= -f^{n+1} \cdot f^{-2}T(f) + \frac{n+1}{f} \cdot f^n \cdot T\left(f\right) = nf^{n-1}T(f).$$

$$(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$$
. Take $n = -1$.

If we assume additionally that interval I is compact, then the situation clarifies considerably.

Theorem 4. Assume that I is compact and $T: C^k(I) \to C(I)$ is an additive operator. Then, the following conditions are pairwise equivalent:

- (i) T satisfies $T(f \cdot g) = f \cdot T(g) + g \cdot T(f)$ for all $f, g \in C^k(I)$,
- (ii) T satisfies $T(f \cdot g) = f \cdot T(g) + g \cdot T(f)$ for all $f, g \in C^k(I), f > 0, g > 0$,
- (iii) T satisfies $T(f^2) = 2f \cdot T(f)$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$,
- (iv) T satisfies $T(f^2) = 2f \cdot T(f)$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$, f > 0,
- (v) T satisfies $T(f) = -f^2 \cdot T\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$, f > 0,
- $\text{(vi) } T \text{ satisfies } T(f^n) = nf^{n-1} \cdot T(f) \text{ for all } f \in C^k(I) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N},$
- (vii) T satisfies $T(f^n) = nf^{n-1} \cdot T(f)$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$, f > 0 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. This statement is a consequence of Corollary 1 and Theorem 3. Since I is compact, f attains its global extrema. Thus, we will find some rational $r, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that 1/2 < rf + q < 2. Moreover, as it was already observed in the proof of Theorem 3, each of the conditions of Theorem 4 implies that

T(1) = 0 and then T vanishes on constant functions equal to a rational number. Consequently, we have T(rf + q) = rT(f) + T(q) = rT(f) and therefore Theorem 3 applies to the conditions (ii), (iv), (v) and (vii) with appropriately chosen ε_1 and ε_2 . The remaining conditions are equivalent by Corollary 1. Therefore, we are done if we prove for example the implication (iv) \Rightarrow (iii).

Fix $f \in C^k(I)$ arbitrarily and choose $r, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that 1/2 < rf + q < 2. By (iv) we get

$$T((rf + q)^2) = 2(rf + q)T(rf + q).$$

Then using additivity we obtain

$$r^2T(f^2) + 2rqT(f) + T(q^2) = 2r^2fT(f) + 2rqT(f)$$

and after reduction

$$T(f^2) + 0 = 2fT(f)$$

i.e. condition (iii).

One can join Corollary 1 and Theorem 4 with the mentioned result of H. König and V. Milman to obtain a corollary.

Corollary 2. Under the assumptions of Corollary 1 or Theorem 4, if k > 0, then each of the conditions listed there is equivalent to the following one:

(x) there exists some $d \in C(I)$ such that $T(f) = d \cdot f'$ for all $f \in C^k(I)$ and if k = 0, then T = 0 is the only additive operator that fulfils any of the equivalent conditions.

Proof. Consider f(x) = x on I and denote $d := T(f) \in C(I)$. Next, note that by [11, Theorem 3.1] the formulas (4) and (5), respectively hold on the interior of I with some $c, d \in C(\text{int }I)$. The additivity of T implies that c = 0. Therefore \tilde{d} is a continuous extension of d to the whole interval I.

3. Final remarks

Remark. The inequalities between f, g and constants ε_1 and ε_2 in Theorem 3 are not optimal. This however was not our goal since the role of this result is auxiliary only. Similarly, the inequality f > 0 in some of the conditions of Theorem 4 can be equivalently replaced by an estimate from above or from below by any other fixed constant.

Moreover, in the proof of Theorem 4 we showed more than is stated. Namely, it is equivalently enough to assume, instead of f > 0, that f is bilaterally bounded by two rational numbers, like 1/2 and 2. However, since this generalization is only apparent and easy, we do not include it in the formulation of the theorem.

Example 1. Assume that $\varphi:(1,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a smooth mapping that satisfies the equation

$$\varphi(2x) = 2\varphi(x), \quad x \in (1, \infty). \tag{13}$$

Such mappings exist in abundance. In fact, every map φ_0 defined on (1,2] can be uniquely extended to a solution of (13). Next, let $d:(e,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$d(x) = x \cdot \varphi(\ln x), \quad x \in (e, \infty).$$

It is easy to see that

$$d(x^2) = 2xd(x), \quad x \in (e, \infty)$$

and

$$d(xy) \neq xd(y) + yd(x)$$

in general, unless φ is additive. Define $T: C^1((e,\infty)) \to C((e,\infty))$ as follows:

$$T(f) = d \circ f, \quad f \in C^1((e, \infty)).$$

One can see that T satisfies (9) for all $f, g \in C((e, \infty))$, but fails to satisfy the Leibniz rule (3). Thus, the assumption of additivity in all our results is essential. Observe also that T has the property that it vanishes on constant functions equal to a rational. This fact, as a consequence of additivity, was frequently used in the proofs of our Theorems 3 and 4. Therefore, the additivity assumption cannot be relaxed to this property.

Example 2. Assume that I is an interval and T is given by the formula

$$T(f) = f'' - \frac{(f')^2}{f}, \quad f \in C^2(I), f > 0.$$

Then T satisfies (3) for all $f, g \in C^2(I)$ such that f > 0 and g > 0. This observation is a particular case of the second part of [11, Corollary 3.4]. Clearly, T is not additive. Moreover, T cannot be extended in such a way that it satisfies (3) on the whole space $C^2(I)$.

The following examples show that if the domain of operator T is changed, then the conditions discussed in our results are no longer equivalent and various situations are possible.

Example 3. Let S be the space of all functions $f \in C^1((0,\infty))$ which satisfy the functional equation

$$f(x+1) = 2f(x), \quad x \in (0,\infty).$$
 (14)

Note that S is not closed under multiplication. Moreover, each function f_0 : $(0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ can be uniquely extended to a solution of (14). Therefore, S

is an infinite-dimensional subspace of $C^1((0,\infty))$. Define $T: C^1((0,\infty)) \to C^1((0,\infty))$ by the formula

$$T(f)(x) = f(x+1), \quad f \in C^1((0,\infty)), x \in (0,\infty).$$

It is easy to check that T is additive and satisfies (3) for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus, there are more solutions of (3) if the domain of T is restricted to a particular subspace of $C^k(I)$.

Example 4. Let P[x] be the space of all real polynomials of variable x. By $\deg(f)$ we denote the degree of a polynomial $f \in P[x]$. Define $T \colon P[x] \to P[x]$ by

$$T(f) = \deg(f) \cdot f, \quad f \in P[x].$$

Then T is not additive, it satisfies (3) and there exists no extension of T to the whole space $C^k(\mathbb{R})$ which is a solution of (3).

Example 5. Let

$$\mathcal{S} := \{ f \colon (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} : f(x) = x^k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x \in (0, \infty) \}.$$

Note that S is closed under multiplication but it is not a linear space. Next, let a double sequence φ on \mathbb{Z} of natural numbers be defined as follows: $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(k)$ is arbitrary but $\neq k$ if k is odd, and if $k = 2^n \cdot m$ with some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and odd $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\varphi(k) := 2^{\frac{n^2 - n}{2}} \cdot m^n \cdot \varphi(m).$$

Note that we have

$$\varphi(2k) = \varphi(2^{n+1} \cdot m) = 2^{\frac{n^2 + n}{2}} \cdot m^{n+1} \cdot \varphi(m)$$
$$= 2^n \cdot m \cdot 2^{\frac{n^2 - n}{2}} \cdot m^n \cdot \varphi(m) = k \cdot \varphi(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(15)

Define $T: \mathcal{S} \to C((0, \infty))$ by

$$T(f)(x) := k \cdot x^{\varphi(k)}, \quad x \in (0, \infty)$$
(16)

if $f(x) = x^k$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$. One can see that if f is of this form, then by (15)

$$T(f^2)(x) = 2k \cdot x^{\varphi(2k)} = 2k \cdot x^{k \cdot \varphi(k)} = 2f(x)T(f)(x)$$

for all $x \in (0, \infty)$, i.e. T satisfies (9).

Moreover, one can see that (10) is equivalent to the equality

$$\varphi(k) - \varphi(-k) = 2k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \neq 0.$$

Therefore, we can construct a sequence φ such that T defined by (16) satisfies (10) as well as another sequence φ' for which T does not satisfy (10). Finally, (3) is not true on S. Indeed, note that if (3) is satisfied by T given by (16),then:

$$\varphi(k+l) = \varphi(k) + l = \varphi(l) + k, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0, l \neq 0,$$

which does not hold.

Author contributions Both authors contributed equally to the manuscript and accept its final form.

Funding This research received no external funding.

Availability of data and materials Any data for the methods used in the present paper are included in the paper and its references and all are available online.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding this publication.

Ethical approval Not applicable.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Amou, M.: Multiadditive functions satisfying certain functional equations. Aequ. Math. $93(2),\ 345-350\ (2019)$
- [2] Ebanks, B.: Derivations and Leibniz differences on rings. Aequ. Math. 93(3), 629–640 (2019)
- [3] Ebanks, B.: Derivations and Leibniz differences on rings: II. Aequ. Math. 93(6), 1127–1138 (2019)
- [4] Ebanks, B.: Functional equations characterizing derivations and homomorphisms on fields. Results Math. 74(4), 1–12 (2019)
- [5] Gselmann, E.: Notes on the characterization of derivations. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 78(1–2), 137–145 (2012)
- [6] Gselmann, E.: Characterizations of derivations. Diss. Math. 539, 65 (2019)
- [7] Gselmann, E., Kiss, G., Vincze, C.: On functional equations characterizing derivations: methods and examples. Results Math. **73**(2), 1–27 (2018)
- [8] Jurkat, W.B.: On Cauchy's functional equation. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 16, 683–686 (1965)

- [9] Kannappan, P., Kurepa, S.: Some relations between additive functions. I. Aequ. Math. 4, 163–175 (1970)
- [10] Kannappan, P., Kurepa, S.: Some relations between additive functions. II. Aequ. Math. 6, 46–58 (1971)
- [11] König, H., Milman, V.: Operator Relations Characterizing Derivatives. Birkhäuser, Cham (2018)
- [12] Kuczma, M.: An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities, 2nd edn. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel. Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality; Edited and with a preface by Attila Gilányi (2009)
- [13] Kurepa, S.: The Cauchy functional equation and scalar product in vector spaces. Glasnik Mat.-Fiz. Astronom. Društvo Mat. Fiz. Hrvatske Ser. II 19, 23–36 (1964). (English, with Serbo-Croatian summary)
- [14] Nishiyama, A., Horinouchi, S.: On a system of functional equations. Aequ. Math. 1, 1–5 (1968)

Włodzimierz Fechner and Aleksandra Świątczak Institute of Mathematics
Lodz University of Technology
al. Politechniki 8
93-590 Łódź
Poland
e-mail: włodzimierz.fechner@p.lodz.pl

Aleksandra Świątczak e-mail: aleswi97@gmail.com

Received: October 17, 2022 Revised: November 29, 2022 Accepted: December 15, 2022