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#### Abstract

Using some results on convex and almost convex functions defined on a locally compact Abelian group, we prove a theorem showing a "measurability implies continuity" effect for non-negative solutions of the difference equation $\varphi(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} \varphi\left(x+a_{i}\right)$, where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in(0, \infty)$ and non-zero elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ of the group are given.
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## Introduction

Given an Abelian group $G$, non-zero elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in G$ and positive numbers $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ we are interested in non-negative solutions $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} \varphi\left(x+a_{i}\right) \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when $G=\mathbb{R}$ all non-negative Lebesgue measurable solutions of (E) were determined by Laczkovich [12]. Later, another proof was given by the present author (see [5, Th. 3.1]), and then by Grinč [2] when $G=\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

The main step in the reasoning presented there (cf. [5, Prop. 3.3]) is an improvement of regularity of non-negative solutions of (E) provided the subgroup generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ is dense in $G$. Such a "measurability implies continuity" effect is well-known in the theory of functional equations in several variables (cf. for instance, the book [4] by A. Járai; also [1] by J. Aczél and [11] by M. Kuczma) but for equations in a single variable it is rather unexpected.

In the present paper we show how to improve regularity of non-negative solutions of ( E ) in the case when $G$ is a locally compact Abelian group. Some arguments presented here take the pattern of those used in [5] in the case $G=\mathbb{R}$.

In the whole paper measurability of a function defined on $G$ means $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda^{-}}$ measurability, where $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ stands for the completion of the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(G)$ of Borel subsets of $G$ with respect to the Haar measure $\lambda$. Equivalently this is measurability in the sense of Carathéodory, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}=\left\{A \subset G: \lambda^{*}(Z) \geq \lambda^{*}(Z \cap A)+\lambda^{*}(Z \backslash A) \text { for every } Z \subset G\right\}
$$

where $\lambda^{*}: 2^{G} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is the outer measure generated by $\lambda$ :

$$
\lambda^{*}(A)=\inf \{\lambda(B): A \subset B \in \mathcal{B}(G)\}
$$

for every $A \subset G$. Measurability of a function defined on $G^{2}$ is meant with respect to the $\lambda^{2}$-completion $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda^{2}}$ of the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}\left(G^{2}\right)$, where $\lambda^{2}$ is the product measure built with two copies of $\lambda$.

The main result of the paper reads as follows.
Theorem. Let $G$ be an Abelian 2-divisible group, $\sigma$-compact and locally compact, with Haar measure $\lambda$. Assume that the subgroup generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ is dense in $G$.

If $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative measurable solution of equation ( E ), then either $\varphi=0 \lambda$-a.e., or there is a positive continuous geometrically convex solution $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\varphi=\psi \lambda$-a.e.

Geometric convexity of $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ means here that

$$
\psi(x)^{2} \leq \psi(x+h) \psi(x-h)
$$

for all $x, h \in G$.
The proof of the Theorem is split into some lemmas presented in Sect. 2. Moreover, the following remarks will be recalled in Sect. 1 while proving some auxiliary facts.

Remark 0.1. It is well-known (cf. [3, (15.8) and (11.34)]) that the Haar measure on any Abelian locally compact group $G$ is regular, i.e.

$$
\lambda(B)=\inf \{\lambda(U): B \subset U \subset G \text { and } U \text { is open }\}
$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ and

$$
\lambda(B)=\sup \{\lambda(C): C \subset B \text { and } C \text { is compact }\}
$$

for every set $B \subset G$ which is open or of finite measure. Moreover, $\lambda$ takes finite values on compacts. So, if $K \subset G$ is compact, then for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ and $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ there exist a set $U$, open in $K$, and a compact set $C$ such that

$$
C \subset B \subset U \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda(U \backslash C)<\varepsilon
$$

The next two remarks concern folk-theorems.

Remark 0.2. Repeating the proof of [14, Th. 8.2] step by step we come to the following version of the classical Lusin's theorem.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces, the second one with a countable base, and let $\mu$ be a measure defined on a $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ of subsets of $X$ containing all Borel sets. Assume that for all $B \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ there exist an open set $U \subset X$ and a closed set $F \subset X$ such that

$$
F \subset B \subset U \quad \text { and } \quad \mu(U \backslash F)<\varepsilon
$$

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an $\mathcal{M}$-measurable function, then for every $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ there exists a closed set $F \subset X$ such that

$$
\mu(X \backslash F)<\varepsilon \quad \text { and the function }\left.f\right|_{F} \text { is continuous. }
$$

Remark 0.3. The standard argument, proving that in a metric setting any continuous function defined on a compact set is uniformly continuous, allows to obtain the following group version of this fact.

Any continuous function $f$, mapping a compact subset $C$ of an Abelian topological group $G$ into an Abelian topological group $H$, is uniformly continuous: for every neighbourhood $W \subset H$ of 0 there exists a neighbourhood $V \subset G$ of 0 such that

$$
\bigwedge_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in C}\left(x_{1}-x_{2} \in V \Rightarrow f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right) \in W\right) .
$$

## 1. Auxiliary results

We start with two general facts, not immediately connected with the problem of solutions of equation (E). The first one is a simple purely topological observation.

Lemma 1.1. Let $G$ be an Abelian $\sigma$-compact and locally compact group. Then there exists a sequence $\left(K_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compacts and a neighbourhood $U$ of 0 such that $\mathrm{cl} U$ is compact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}+U \subset K_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The group $G$, being $\sigma$-compact, is the union of a sequence $\left(C_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compacts. Take any neighbourhood $U$ of 0 such that $\mathrm{cl} U$ is compact. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$
K_{n}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}+[n] \mathrm{cl} U
$$

where $[n] A$ stands for the sum $A+\cdots+A$ of $n$ copies of $A$. Clearly the sets $K_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are compact. Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
C_{n} \subset K_{n} \subset K_{n}+U=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}+[n] \mathrm{cl} U+U \subset K_{n+1}
$$

and the desired properties (1.1) and (1.2) follow.
The next result is an extension of [12, Lemma 2] to a group setting (see also [4, Theorems 19.3 and 19.5]).

Lemma 1.2. Let $G$ be an Abelian $\sigma$-compact and locally compact group with Haar measure $\lambda$ and let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Then, for every $y_{0} \in G$ and for every sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $G$ converging to $y_{0}$, there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\left(m_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(x+y_{m_{n}}\right)=\varphi\left(x+y_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } \lambda-\text { a.a. } x \in G .
$$

Proof. Since $\lambda$ is translation invariant, we may additionally assume that $y_{0}=0$. Define functions $\varphi_{n}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$
\varphi_{n}(x)=\varphi\left(x+y_{n}\right)
$$

By virtue of Lemma 1.1 we find a sequence $\left(K_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compacts in $G$ and a neighbourhood $U \subset G$ of 0 satisfying (1.1) and (1.2). We prove that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\left(\left.\varphi_{n}\right|_{K_{i}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in measure to the function $\left.\varphi\right|_{K_{i}}$.

Fix any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive number $\varepsilon$. Following Remarks 0.1 and 0.2 we find a closed subset $F$ of $K_{i+1}$ such that

$$
\lambda\left(K_{i+1} \backslash F\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text { and the function }\left.\varphi\right|_{F} \text { is continuous. }
$$

Since the set $F$ is compact, $\left.\varphi\right|_{F}$ is actually uniformly continuous (cf. Remark 0.3). Thus we can find a neighbourhood $V \subset U$ of 0 such that $\left|\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in F$ satisfying $x_{1}-x_{2} \in V$.

Define a sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of $G$ by

$$
A_{n}=\left\{x \in K_{i}:\left|\varphi_{n}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Since $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 , there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $y_{n} \in V$ for every $n \geq n_{0}$. Take any integer $n \geq n_{0}$ and point $x \in A_{n}$. Suppose that $x \in F \cap\left(F-y_{n}\right)$. Then $x, x+y_{n} \in F$ and $\left(x+y_{n}\right)-x=y_{n} \in V$, and thus

$$
\left|\varphi_{n}(x)-\varphi(x)\right|=\left|\varphi\left(x+y_{n}\right)-\varphi(x)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

which is impossible. This shows that

$$
A_{n} \subset\left(K_{i} \backslash F\right) \cup\left[\left(\left(K_{i}+V\right) \backslash F\right)-y_{n}\right] \subset\left(K_{i} \backslash F\right) \cup\left[\left(K_{i+1} \backslash F\right)-y_{n}\right]
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(A_{n}\right) & \leq \lambda\left(K_{i} \backslash F\right)+\lambda\left(\left(K_{i+1} \backslash F\right)-y_{n}\right) \\
& =\lambda\left(K_{i} \backslash F\right)+\lambda\left(K_{i+1} \backslash F\right) \leq 2 \lambda\left(K_{i+1} \backslash F\right)<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that the sequence $\left(\left.\varphi_{n}\right|_{K_{i}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in measure to $\left.\varphi\right|_{K_{i}}$.
Consequently, every subsequence of $\left(\left.\varphi_{n}\right|_{K_{i}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence converging to $\left.\varphi\right|_{K_{i}}$. Using induction and a standard diagonal method we complete the proof.

Now we remark that the group addition and substraction are transformations preserving measurability.
Lemma 1.3. Let $G$ be an Abelian locally compact group with Haar measure $\lambda$. Then

$$
\phi_{+}^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{-}^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^{2}}, \quad A \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}
$$

where $\phi_{+}: G^{2} \rightarrow G$ and $\phi_{-}: G^{2} \rightarrow G$ are given by $\phi_{+}(x, y)=x+y$ and $\phi_{-}(x, y)=x-y$, respectively.

Proof. Take any $A \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$. Then $A=B \cup M$, where $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ and $M \subset N \in$ $\mathcal{B}(G)$ with $\lambda(N)=0$. Clearly,

$$
\phi_{+}^{-1}(A)=\phi_{+}^{-1}(B \cup M)=\phi_{+}^{-1}(B) \cup \phi_{+}^{-1}(M)
$$

and $\phi_{+}^{-1}(M) \subset \phi_{+}^{-1}(N)$. Since $\phi_{+}$is continuous, we have $\phi_{+}^{-1}(B), \phi_{+}^{-1}(N) \in$ $\mathcal{B}\left(G^{2}\right)$. Moreover, for any $x \in G$ the $x$-section $\left(\phi_{+}^{-1}(N)\right)_{x}$ of $\phi_{+}^{-1}(N)$ is

$$
\left(\phi_{+}^{-1}(N)\right)_{x}=\left\{y \in G: \phi_{+}(x, y) \in N\right\}=\{y \in G: x+y \in N\}=N-x
$$

and thus, by Fubini's Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{2}\left(\phi_{+}^{-1}(N)\right) & =\int_{G} \lambda\left(\left(\phi_{+}^{-1}(N)\right)_{x}\right) d \lambda(x) \\
& =\int_{G} \lambda(N-x) d \lambda(x)=\int_{G} \lambda(N) d \lambda(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\phi_{+}^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^{2}}$. Similarly one can prove that $\phi_{-}^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^{2}}$.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

The first of the lemmas, dealing with solutions of (E), is purely algebraic: no topology in the group $G$ is assumed. However, the non-negativity of a solution turns out to be crucial for the assertion.

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be an Abelian group. If $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative solution of equation (E), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)^{2} \leq \varphi(x+h) \varphi(x-h) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in G$ and all $h$ 's running through the subgroup of $G$ generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$.

Proof. Take any $x \in G$ and define $c: \mathbb{Z}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
c(n)=\varphi\left(x+n_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+n_{k} a_{k}\right)
$$

[here $\left.n=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right]$. One can check that, by $(\mathrm{E}), c$ is a non-negative solution of the recurrent equation

$$
c(n)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} c\left(n+e_{i}\right)
$$

where $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right)$ stands for the canonical zero-one basis of the space $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. It follows from [5, Th. 1.1] that $c$ is geometrically convex, that is

$$
c(m)^{2} \leq c(m+n) c(m-n), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}
$$

Putting here $m=(0, \ldots, 0)$ we see that

$$
\varphi(x)^{2} \leq \varphi\left(x+n_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+n_{k} a_{k}\right) \varphi\left(x-n_{1} a_{1}-\cdots-n_{k} a_{k}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}
$$

which was to be proved.
The next result shows that, under suitable assumptions on the group $G$ and the function $\varphi$, if inequality (2.1) holds on a set which is large in a certain topological sense, then it is satisfied on a set of full measure.

Lemma 2.2. Let $G$ be an Abelian $\sigma$-compact and locally compact group with Haar measure $\lambda$. Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. If inequality (2.1) holds for every $x \in G$ and $h$ 's running through a dense subset of $G$, then (2.1) is satisfied for all $\lambda^{2}$-a.a. $(x, h) \in G^{2}$.
Proof. According to Lemma 1.3 the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left\{(x, h) \in G^{2}: \varphi(x)^{2}>\varphi(x+h) \varphi(x-h)\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is measurable. Fix an $h \in G$ and a sequence $\left(h_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $G$ converging to $h$ and satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)^{2} \leq \varphi\left(x+h_{n}\right) \varphi\left(x-h_{n}\right), \quad x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On account of Lemma 1.2 there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\left(m_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(x+h_{m_{n}}\right)=\varphi(x+h), \quad x \in G \backslash E(h)
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(x-h_{m_{n}}\right)=\varphi(x-h), \quad x \in G \backslash E(h)
$$

Thus, by (2.3), we have

$$
\varphi(x)^{2} \leq \varphi(x+h) \varphi(x-h), \quad x \in G \backslash E(h)
$$

This means that

$$
\left\{x \in G: \varphi(x)^{2}>\varphi(x+h) \varphi(x-h)\right\} \subset E(h), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and, consequently, all $h$-sections of the set $T$ are null sets. By Fubini's Theorem we infer that also $T$ is a null set.

The final lemma below completes the proof of the Theorem.
Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be an Abelian 2-divisible group, locally compact, with Haar measure $\lambda$. Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative measurable function satisfying inequality (2.1) for $\lambda^{2}$-a.a. $(x, h) \in G^{2}$. Then either $\varphi=0 \lambda$-a.e., or there is a positive continuous geometrically convex function $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi=\psi \lambda$-a.e.
Proof. The set $Z=\{x \in G: \varphi(x)=0\}$ is measurable. If $\lambda(G \backslash Z)=0$, then $\varphi(x)=0$ for $\lambda$-a.a. $x \in G$. Now assume that $\lambda(G \backslash Z)>0$. Since the set $T$ defined by (2.2) is of measure $\lambda^{2}$ zero, Fubini's Theorem allows to find a null set $N \subset G$ such that

$$
\lambda(\{h \in G:(x, h) \in T\})=0, \quad x \in G \backslash N
$$

As $\lambda(G \backslash(Z \cup N))>0$ we can take an $x_{0} \in G \backslash(Z \cup N)$. Then $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ and $\lambda\left(\left\{h \in G:\left(x_{0}, h\right) \in T\right\}\right)=0$ which means that

$$
0<\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)^{2} \leq \varphi\left(x_{0}+h\right) \varphi\left(x_{0}-h\right) \quad \text { for } \lambda-\text { a.a. } h \in G .
$$

Thus $\varphi\left(x_{0}+h\right)>0$ for $\lambda-a . a . h \in G$, whence $\varphi$ is positive a.e., that is $Z$ is a null set.

Define the function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\log \varphi(x), & \text { if } x \in G \backslash Z, \\ 0, & \text { if } x \in Z\end{cases}
$$

Since $\lambda^{2}(T)=0$, where $T_{0}=T \cup(Z \times G)$, we have

$$
0<\varphi(x)^{2} \leq \varphi(x+h) \varphi(x-h), \quad(x, h) \in G^{2} \backslash T_{0}
$$

whence

$$
2 f(x) \leq f(x+h)+f(x-h) \quad \text { for } \lambda^{2}-a . a .(x, h) \in G^{2}
$$

In other words, the function $f$ is almost convex, and thus, by [9, Th. 1] (see also [7]), there exists a convex function $g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
2 g(x) \leq g(x+h)+g(x-h), \quad(x, h) \in G^{2}
$$

such that $g=f \lambda$-a.e. In particular, $g$ is measurable. Making use of the extended version of the Blumberg-Sierpiński theorem [8, Th. 4.1] we infer that $g$ is continuous. Now it is enough to observe that the function $\psi=\exp \circ g$ is positive, continuous, geometrically convex, and $\psi=\varphi \lambda$-a.e.

## 3. Concluding remarks and an open problem

The main tools used here have topological counterparts. The topological version of Lusin's Theorem can be easily proved in topological spaces (cf. [14, Th. 8.1]). An analog of Lemma 1.2 for Baire measurable functions defined on an arbitrary linear topological space was given by M. Grinč (see [2, Lemma 2]); however, the argument used by him works for topological groups, too. Theorem 1 from [9], stating that every almost convex function is $\lambda$-a.e. equal to a convex function and used in the proof of Lemma 2.3, is a generalization of the Kuczma theorem [11, Th. 17.8.2] (cf. also [10]). Its topological version for functions defined on groups was proved in [6] (see also [7]). Finally, also the Blumberg-Sierpiński theorem has a topological version in a group setting which can be found in [8] (see also [7]). Making use of these results one can obtain a suitable counterpart of the Theorem where solutions of Eq. (E) are assumed to be Baire measurable. In the case $G=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such a result was proved by Grinč in [2].

Using some versions of the Kuczma theorem one can prove also results improving the regularity of non-negative solutions of the following extension of Eq. (E), called the integrated Cauchy functional equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\int_{G} \varphi(x+y) d \mu(y) \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\mu$ is a regular Borel measure on the group $G$. Its locally $\lambda$-integrable solutions were determined in [13] by Ka-Sing Lau and Wei-Bin Zeng.

Improvement of regularity of non-negative solutions of (E) is a crucial step in determining them in the cases $G=\mathbb{R}$ (see [5, Prop. 3.3]) and $G=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (see $[2$, Theorem $]$ ). It seems that the Theorem could play an analogous role while looking for the form of solutions defined on groups. Also determining all non-negative measurable solutions of (I) might run in a similar way.

Open problem. The assumption of 2-divisibility of the group $G$ in the Theorem is caused by the same condition imposed on $G$ in [9, Th.1]. The authors of [9] still do not know if this assumption is essential there. However, the following question is natural: is the 2-divisibility of $G$ essential for the validity of the Theorem?
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