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## 1 Introduction

The CMS and ATLAS experimental programmes are focusing efforts on the measurement of the properties of the Higgs boson discovered in 2012 [1-3], which has a mass of about 125 GeV [4-6]. All measurements to date are consistent with the expectations for a standard model (SM) Higgs boson within the experimental uncertainties.

Additional Higgs bosons are predicted in several extensions of the SM. Examples of these extensions are the two-Higgs-doublet model (2HDM) [7], whose phenomenology is based on the presence of an additional scalar Higgs doublet, and the minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM (MSSM) [8], which is a particular realisation of the 2HDM. The two Higgs doublets entail the presence of five physical states: two neutral and CP-even bosons (h and H ); a neutral and CP-odd boson (A); and two charged scalar bosons ( $\mathrm{H}^{ \pm}$). Under particular theoretical assumptions [7], the model is often described by the following parameters: the mass of the CP-even boson $\mathrm{H}, m_{\mathrm{H}}$; the mass of the pseudoscalar A, $m_{\mathrm{A}}$; the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two doublets, $\tan \beta$; the mixing angle $\alpha$ between the two CP-even bosons; and the soft-breaking term, $m_{12}^{2}$.

Different couplings of the two doublets to right-handed quarks and charged leptons are predicted in various formulations of the 2 HDM : in the Type-I formulation, all fermions couple to only one Higgs doublet; in the Type-II formulation, the up-type quarks couple to a different doublet than the down-type quarks and leptons; in the "lepton-specific" formulation, the quarks couple to one of the Higgs doublets and the leptons couple to the other; and in the "flipped" formulation, the up-type quarks and leptons couple to one of the Higgs doublets, while the down-type quarks couple to the other.

Different models and assumptions also alter the mass hierarchies, as shown in figure 1. There, and in the rest of the paper, h is identified with the observed Higgs boson. Two scenarios are possible. In the conventional scenario, the pseudoscalar is degenerate in mass with the charged scalars and is heavier than the scalar H , thus allowing for the $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH}$ process; while in the twisted [9] scenario, the scalar H is degenerate in mass with the charged scalars and is heavier than the pseudoscalar, thus allowing for the $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZA}$ process. Moreover, in the parameter space region where $\cos (\beta-\alpha)$ approaches 0 , the CPeven $h$ has properties indistinguishable from a SM Higgs boson with the same mass. In this region, known as the alignment limit, the branching fraction of the heavy scalar H to a Z boson and a lighter pseudoscalar A is the largest. The branching fractions for several decay channels of the H and A bosons for $m_{\mathrm{H}}=300 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ are shown in figure 2 as functions of $\cos (\beta-\alpha)$ (left) and $\tan \beta$ (right).

This paper reports on a search for a new CP-even (odd) neutral Higgs boson decaying into Z and a lighter CP-odd (even) neutral Higgs boson, where the Z decays into an opposite-sign electron or muon pair, and the light Higgs boson into a b quark pair. The analysis is performed under the assumption of the twisted mass hierarchy scenario, and subsequently extended to the conventional scenario by interchanging the masses of the two bosons. While this is not crucial when setting model independent upper limits, it appears particularly interesting for theoretical interpretation of the results in the context of the 2 HDM , since the theoretical cross sections differ in the two scenarios. The search is based on LHC proton-proton ( pp ) collision data at a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ collected by the CMS experiment during 2016, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $35.9 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The analysis exploits the invariant mass distributions of the $\ell \ell \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{b}}$, with $\ell$ being an electron or muon, and $b \bar{b}$ systems to search for a resonant-like excess of events compatible with the H and A masses.

Searches for $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ ZA production in the same final state have been performed at $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}[10]$ by the ATLAS Collaboration and at $\sqrt{s}=8 \mathrm{TeV}[11]$ by the CMS Collaboration. The search for $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zh}$, where h is the observed CP-even boson with mass of about 125 GeV , has been also performed by the ATLAS Collaboration at $8 \mathrm{TeV}[12]$ and 13 TeV [13], and by the CMS Collaboration at 8 TeV [14] and 13 TeV [15].

## 2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T . Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity $(\eta)$ coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionisation chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A two-level trigger system [16] is used to reduce the rate of recorded events to a level suitable for data acquisition and storage. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in ref. [17].

Figure 1. Possible 2HDM mass hierarchies: conventional, where A is degenerate in mass with the charged scalars; and twisted [9], where H is degenerate in mass with the charged scalars. In both scenarios, the lighter of the A and H bosons can be either heavier or lighter than the observed Higgs boson h(125).


Figure 2. The H and A branching fractions as a function of $\cos (\beta-\alpha)$ in Type-II 2 HDM for the following set of parameters: $\tan \beta=1.5, m_{\mathrm{H}}=300 \mathrm{GeV}, m_{\mathrm{A}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ (left). The H and A branching fractions as a function of $\tan \beta$ in Type-II 2HDM for the following set of parameters: $\cos (\beta-\alpha)=0.01, m_{\mathrm{H}}=300 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ (right).

## 3 Event simulation and background predictions

Background samples for this search are produced for Z boson production through the DrellYan (DY) process, top quark pair production ( $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}}$ ), single top quark, diboson, triboson, $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Z}), \mathrm{W}+$ jets, and SM Higgs boson production. They are generated at next-to-leading order (NLO) precision in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD). In particular, the $\mathrm{DY}, \mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W}+$ jets, triboson, and part of the diboson background samples are produced with MadGraph5_amc@nlo versions 2.2.2 [18] with the $\operatorname{FxFx}$ [19] procedure for NLO jet merging and MadSpin [20] to properly propagate spin information in the matrix element of the process. The $t \overline{\mathrm{t}}$, single top quark, SM Higgs boson production, and the remaining diboson background samples are produced with POWHEG version 2 [21-25]. The event generators are interfaced with PYTHIA 8.212 [26] for parton shower and hadronisation. The underlying event tune is CUEPT8M1 [27], derived from the MONASH tune [28]. The NNPDF 3.0 [29] LO and NLO parton distribution functions (PDF) are used.

Signal samples of 207 different mass hypotheses are produced for the process $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{ZA} \rightarrow \ell \ell \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{b}}$, with $m_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}$ ranging from 120 to 1000 GeV and from 30 to 1000 GeV , respectively. The choice of the mass hypotheses is strongly motivated by the need of achieving a complete coverage of the parameter space. The spacing between two adjacent mass hypotheses is chosen so as to take into account the worsening of the signal resolution as the mass increases, such that the signal shape can be interpolated with good accuracy over the whole search region. These samples are produced using MadGraph5_amc@nlo version 2.3.2 [18] interfaced with PYTHIA 8.212 [26] for simulation of the parton shower, hadronisation, and underlying event using the CUEPT8M1 tune [27]. The PDF set used is NNPDF 3.0 [29] at leading order (LO) in the four-flavour scheme, and the factorisation and renormalisation scales are estimated dynamically. The total widths assumed for the H and A resonances are computed with 2HDMC [30]. Finally, the signal simulation does not account for production of the scalar H in association with b jets.

For all processes, the detector response is simulated using a detailed description of the CMS apparatus, based on the Geant4 package [31]. Additional pp interactions in the same and or neighbouring bunch crossings (pileup) are generated with PYTHIA 8.212 [26], and overlapped with the simulated events of interest in order to reproduce the pileup measured in data.

All background processes are normalised to their most accurate theoretical cross sections. The $t \overline{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{DY}$, single top quark, $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{W}+$ jets samples are normalised to next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) precision in QCD [32-35], while the remaining diboson, triboson and $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{V}$ processes are normalised to NLO precision in QCD [18, 36]. The SM Higgs boson production cross section is computed at NNLO QCD precision and NLO electroweak precision [37]. We indicate the SM Higgs boson, the $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{V}$, and the $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{jets}$ backgrounds with Other in the figures.

## 4 Event reconstruction and selection

Events considered for this search are selected by a trigger based on the dilepton signature. The leading and subleading transverse momentum $\left(p_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ thresholds applied by the triggers
are channel dependent, and vary from 17 to 23 GeV ( 8 to 12 GeV ) for the leading (subleading) lepton. Trigger efficiencies are measured with a "tag-and-probe" method [38] as a function of lepton $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\eta$ in a data control region consisting of $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \ell \ell$ events. Events with two oppositely charged leptons $\left(\mathrm{e}^{ \pm} \mathrm{e}^{\mp}, \mu^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}\right)$ are selected using asymmetric $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ requirements, chosen to be above the corresponding trigger thresholds, for the leading and subleading leptons. These requirements are 25 and 15 GeV , respectively, for $\mathrm{e}^{ \pm} \mathrm{e}^{\mp}$ events; and 20 and 10 GeV , respectively, for $\mu^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ events. Electrons in the range $|\eta|<2.5$ and muons in the range $|\eta|<2.4$ are considered. Events with different-flavour leptons ( $\mathrm{e}^{ \pm} \mu^{\mp}$ ) are also selected. The $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ requirement for the leading lepton is 25 and $15(10) \mathrm{GeV}$ for the subleading electron (muon). These events mostly arise from $t \bar{t}$ production, and this region is used in the final template fit described in section 7 to obtain an estimate of the normalisation of the non-resonant background processes ( $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}}$, single top quark, diboson, and triboson) and of the shape of the $t \bar{t}$ process only. For simplicity, we will refer to events with two electrons, muons, and mixed-flavour leptons as ee, $\mu \mu$, and $\mathrm{e} \mu$, respectively, throughout this paper.

A particle-flow (PF) algorithm [39] aims at reconstructing all particles (PF candidates) in an event by combining information from all subdetectors. The PF candidates include photons, electrons, muons, neutral hadrons, and charged hadrons. The candidate vertex with the largest value of summed physics-object $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}$ is taken to be the primary pp interaction vertex. The physics objects used in this determination are the jets, clustered using the jet finding algorithm [40, 41] with the tracks assigned to candidate vertices as inputs, and the associated missing transverse momentum, taken as the negative vector sum of the $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ of those jets. Electrons, reconstructed by associating tracks with ECAL clusters, are identified by a sequential selection using information on the cluster shape in the ECAL, track quality, and the matching between the track and the ECAL cluster. Additionally, electrons from photon conversions are rejected [42]. Muons are reconstructed from tracks found in the muon system, associated with tracks in the silicon tracking detectors. They are identified based on the quality of the track fit and the number of associated hits in the various tracking detectors [43]. The Rochester correction [44] is applied to the muon momenta to correct for misalignments of the detector or uncertainties in the magnetic field. The lepton isolation, defined as the scalar $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ sum of all PF candidates in a cone of radius $\Delta R=0.4$ around the lepton, excluding the lepton itself and corrected for contributions from particles not coming from the primary vertex, divided by the lepton $p_{\mathrm{T}}$, is required to be $<0.06$ for electrons and $<0.15$ for muons. Here, $\Delta R$ is defined in terms of the track separation in $\eta$ and azimuthal angle ( $\phi$, in radians) as $\Delta R=\sqrt{(\Delta \phi)^{2}+(\Delta \eta)^{2}}$. Moreover, the lepton tracks are required to be connected to the primary vertex. Lepton identification and isolation efficiencies in the simulation are corrected for residual differences with respect to data. These corrections are measured in a data sample enriched in $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \ell \ell$ events, using the "tag-and-probe" method, and are parameterised as a function of lepton $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\eta$.

Jet reconstruction is performed by clustering the PF candidates to form jets using the anti- $k_{\mathrm{T}}$ clustering algorithm [40] with a distance parameter of 0.4 , implemented in the FastJet package [41]. Jet energies are corrected for residual nonuniformity and nonlinearity of the detector response [45]. Jets are required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}}>20 \mathrm{GeV},|\eta|<2.4$, and be
separated from identified leptons by a distance $\Delta R>0.3$. The missing transverse momentum vector, defined as the projection onto the transverse plane relative to the beam axis, of the negative vector sum of the momenta of all PF candidates, is referred to as $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}[46,47]$. Its magnitude is denoted by $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$. Corrections to the jet energies are propagated to $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$.

The DeepCSV algorithm [48] is used to identify jets originating from $b$ quarks. Jets are considered as b tagged if they have $p_{\mathrm{T}}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$ and they pass the medium working point of the algorithm, which provides around $70 \%$ efficiency with a mistag rate of less than $1 \%$, while the mistag rate for c jets is around $10 \%$. Correction factors are applied in the simulation to the selected jets to account for the different response of the DeepCSV algorithm between data and simulation [48]. Among all possible dijet combinations fulfilling the previous criteria, we select the two jets with the highest DeepCSV algorithm outputs.

The final object selection consists of two opposite-sign leptons and two b-tagged jets, after which a requirement of $70<m_{\ell \ell}<110 \mathrm{GeV}$ is applied to enhance the presence of $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \ell \ell$ events. In addition, the events are required to have a $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}<80 \mathrm{GeV}$ in order to reduce the background contributions from processes with large $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$, such as t $\overline{\mathrm{t}}$ production. Both requirements have negligible impacts on the signal efficiency.

The main background processes, in decreasing order of importance, are DY in association with b quarks and $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}}$ production where both top quarks decay leptonically (fully leptonic $t \bar{t})$. The contribution from QCD multijet events with jets misidentified as leptons constitutes a negligible background after requiring a pair of well-identified leptons, as described in section 4.

## 5 Signal extraction

We search for the process $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZA} \rightarrow \ell \ell \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{b}}$ by fully reconstructing its final-state objects and applying selection requirements in order to remove as many background events as possible, as explained in section 4 . From the reconstructed objects, we search for resonances in the invariant masses. Specifically, the invariant mass of the A can be reconstructed from the $b$ jet pair; and that of the $H$ from the $b$ jet pair and the lepton pair. Two categories are defined based on the lepton flavours considered: ee and $\mu \mu$. The Z mass, reconstructed from two opposite-sign leptons, is used in the selection criteria described in section 4 since it is common to all signals studied in this paper. The masses of the other two particles, $H$ and A, vary according to the signal scenarios considered. Therefore, a simple and effective model independent approach to isolate the signal is to search for an excess of events in the reconstructed $m_{\mathrm{jj}}$ and $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{jj}}$ distributions centered around the H and A candidate mass for each signal hypothesis. These distributions for $\mu \mu+$ ee events are shown in figure 3 , where the background shapes and normalisations are obtained from simulation.

Since the $m_{\mathrm{jj}}$ and $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{jj}}$ distributions are inherently positively correlated under a particular signal hypothesis, an elliptical signal region is chosen in order to optimize the sensitivity of the search. Figure 4 (left) shows the reconstructed mass distributions for three different signals in the $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{jj}}$ vs. $m_{\mathrm{jj}}$ plane along with their defined elliptical signal regions. Because the shape of the signal is driven by the energy resolution of the final-state objects, ellipses take different sizes and tilt angles, depending on the masses being considered. A


Figure 3. The $m_{\mathrm{jj}}$ (left) and $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{jj}}$ (right) distributions in data and background events after requiring all the analysis selections, for $\mu \mu+$ ee events. The background shapes and normalisations are obtained from simulation. The various signal hypotheses displayed have been scaled to a cross section of 2 pb for illustrative purposes. Error bars indicate statistical uncertainties, while shaded bands show systematic uncertainties prior to the fit (introduced in section 6).
parametrisation is therefore performed in order to guarantee a good description of the signal shape for each signal hypothesis. For each ellipse, it provides the center, the major and minor semi-axes, and the tilt angle. Since each ellipse must be well-centered around the maximum of the two-dimensional (2D) mass distribution, the reconstructed center is extracted from a one-dimensional Gaussian fit in both $m_{\mathrm{jj}}$ and $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}}$. The diagonalisation of the covariance matrix of the 2 D distribution provides the axes of the ellipse and its tilt angle.

Since the shape of the signal is not exactly Gaussian, concentric elliptically shaped regions are defined in the parameter space using a parameter called $\rho$. Specifically, an ellipse with $\rho=i$ contains roughly the fraction of signal events expected within $i$ standard deviations in a 2D distribution. Selected events in the $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{jj}}$ vs. $m_{\mathrm{jj}}$ plane are classified in six regions around the center of the ellipse defined for each signal point. The regions are built in $\rho$ steps of 0.5 , from 0 to 3 , as illustrated in figure 4 (right), and lead to a template containing six bins used to perform the statistical analysis. By construction, the bulk of the signal is located at small values of $\rho$. The yield in data and the expected yields in simulation are reported in table 1 for each elliptical bin under the mass hypothesis $m_{\mathrm{H}}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$. The ee and $\mu \mu$ categories are summed.

## 6 Systematic uncertainties

We consider different sources of systematic uncertainties that may affect the statistical interpretation of the results, through their modification of both the normalisation and the shape of the distributions for the signal and background processes.

| Process | Yield |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0 \leq \rho<0.5$ | $0.5 \leq \rho<1$ | $1 \leq \rho<1.5$ | $1.5 \leq \rho<2$ | $2 \leq \rho<2.5$ | $2.5 \leq \rho<3$ |
| DY | $181 \pm 14$ | $438 \pm 22$ | $607 \pm 27$ | $987 \pm 34$ | $1440 \pm 42$ | $2273 \pm 53$ |
| $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}}$ | $166 \pm 2$ | $420 \pm 4$ | $603 \pm 5$ | $826 \pm 5$ | $1165 \pm 6$ | $1597 \pm 8$ |
| Single top quark | $2.2 \pm 0.5$ | $6.2 \pm 0.8$ | $9 \pm 1$ | $17 \pm 1$ | $25.5 \pm 1.7$ | $38 \pm 2$ |
| VV(V) | $0.6 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.2$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ | $3.9 \pm 0.5$ | $5.2 \pm 0.4$ | $9.1 \pm 0.4$ |
| Other | $0.9 \pm 0.2$ | $3.7 \pm 0.3$ | $5.1 \pm 0.3$ | $8.4 \pm 0.4$ | $11.7 \pm 0.5$ | $18.1 \pm 0.6$ |
| Total bkg. | $351 \pm 14$ | $870 \pm 22$ | $1227 \pm 27$ | $1842 \pm 34$ | $2647 \pm 42$ | $3935 \pm 54$ |
| Data | 365 | 854 | 1231 | 1834 | 2608 | 3906 |
| Signal | $71.5 \pm 1.3$ | $122.7 \pm 1.7$ | $86.1 \pm 1.4$ | $48.0 \pm 1.0$ | $26.6 \pm 0.8$ | $17.5 \pm 0.6$ |

Table 1. Expected and observed event yields prior to the fit in the signal region with $m_{\mathrm{H}}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ for each elliptical bin. The signal is normalised to its theoretical cross section for the Type-II 2HDM benchmark $\tan \beta=1.5$ and $\cos (\beta-\alpha)=0.01$. The ee and $\mu \mu$ categories are summed. The reported uncertainties are statistical only.



Figure 4. The $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{jj}}$ vs. $m_{\mathrm{jj}}$ plane for signal samples under three different mass hypotheses, on which the parametrised ellipses are shown (left). A signal hypothesis with $m_{\mathrm{H}}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=300 \mathrm{GeV}$ is shown in the $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{jj}}$ vs. $m_{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}}$ plane (right). The different ellipses show the variation of the $\rho$ parameter in steps of 0.5 , from 0 to 3 . The intensity of the colour in each hexagonal bin is proportional to the number of events in it.

Theoretical uncertainties in the cross sections of the background processes estimated using simulation are considered as systematic uncertainties in the yield predictions. The uncertainty in the total integrated luminosity is determined to be $2.5 \%$ [49].

The signal region contains events that have at least two b-tagged jets. A control region is built by requiring events to pass the selection, as described in section 4 , but with no b tag requirement for the jets. In that region, a discrepancy between data and simulation of up to $10 \%$ is observed in the shape of the mass distributions, which hints at a mismodeling
of the DY + heavy-flavour jets background in some specific regions of the reconstructed mass plane. To account for this mismodeling, given the similar precision of the $\mathrm{DY}+$ lightflavour and DY + heavy-flavour jets background samples at simulation level, the observed data-MC discrepancy is fitted with a polynomial function, which is used to reweight each DY + heavy-flavour jets simulated event in the signal region, and a shape uncertainty equal to $100 \%$ of the correction is applied. In order to avoid assigning only one shape uncertainty to regions characterised by very different values of the above-mentioned correction, this uncertainty is considered independently in 42 regions of approximately $150 \times 150 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ in the $m_{\ell \ell \mathrm{jj}}$ vs. $m_{\mathrm{jj}}$ plane. This procedure ensures enough degrees of freedom in the maximum likelihood fit (used to extract the best fit signal cross section, as explained in section 7) to properly account for the mismodeling of the DY + heavy-flavour jets background shape.

The following sources of systematic uncertainties that affect the normalisation and shape of the templates used in the statistical evaluation are considered:

- Trigger efficiency, lepton identification and isolation: uncertainties in the measurement of trigger efficiencies, as well as electron and muon isolation and identification efficiencies, are considered. These are evaluated as a function of lepton $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\eta$, and their effect on the analysis is estimated by varying the corrections to the efficiencies by $\pm 1$ standard deviation.
- Jet energy scale and resolution: uncertainties in the jet energy scale are of the order of a few percent and are estimated as a function of jet $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\eta$ [45]. A difference in the jet energy resolution of about $10 \%$ between data and simulation is accounted for by worsening the jet energy resolution in simulation by $\eta$-dependent factors. The uncertainty due to these corrections is estimated by a variation of the factors applied by $\pm 1$ standard deviation. Variations of jet energies are propagated to $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$.
- b tagging: b tagging efficiency and light-flavour mistag rate corrections and associated uncertainties are determined as a function of the jet $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ [48]. Their effect on the analysis is estimated by varying these corrections by $\pm 1$ standard deviation.
- Pileup: the measured total inelastic cross section is varied by $\pm 4.6 \%$ [50] to produce different expected pileup distributions.
- Renormalisation and factorisation scale uncertainty: this uncertainty is estimated by varying the renormalisation $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ and the factorisation $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ scales used during the generation of the simulated samples independently by factors of $0.5,1$, or 2 . Cases where the two scales are at opposite extremes, are not considered. An envelope is built from the 6 possible combinations by keeping maximum and minimum variations for each bin of the distributions, and is used as an estimate of the scale uncertainties for all the background and signal samples.
- PDF uncertainty: the magnitudes of the uncertainties related to the PDFs and the variation of the strong coupling constant for each simulated background and signal process are obtained using variations of the NNPDF 3.0 set [29], following the PDF4LHC prescriptions [34].

| Source | Background yield variation | Signal yield variation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electron identification and isolation | 2.7\% | 2.6\% |
| Integrated luminosity | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Jet energy scale | 2.1-2.4\% | 0.1-0.3\% |
| b tagging (heavy-flavour jets) | 2.3\% | 2.0\% |
| PDFs | 1.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Pileup | 0.3-0.9\% | 0.7-1.3\% |
| b tagging (light-flavour jets) | 0.7-0.8\% | $<0.1 \%$ |
| Muon identification and isolation | 0.5\% | 0.4\% |
| Trigger efficiency | 0.1-0.3\% | 0.1-0.3\% |
| Jet energy resolution | 0.2\% | 0.2\% |
| Affecting only t $\overline{\mathrm{t}}$ ( $31.8 \%$ of the total bkg.) |  |  |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ scales | 12.2-12.3\% |  |
| $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}}$ cross section | 5.3\% |  |
| Affecting only Drell-Yan (64.5\% of the total bkg.) |  |  |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ scales | 9.6\% |  |
| Drell-Yan cross section | 4.9\% |  |
| Drell-Yan additional uncertainty | 2.1-2.2\% |  |
| Simulated sample size | 0.5-1.3\% |  |
| Affecting only VV (1.1\% of the total bkg.) |  |  |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ scales | $4.3-4.8 \%$ |  |
| Affecting only signal |  |  |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ scales |  | 1.8\% |

Table 2. Summary of the systematic uncertainties prior to the fit and the variation, in percentages, that they induce on the total event yields for the dominant background and signal processes, under a particular signal hypothesis with $m_{\mathrm{H}}=379 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=172 \mathrm{GeV}$.

- Drell-Yan additional uncertainty: additional shape uncertainties are applied to DY events to correct for mismodeling of this background as explained above. Their values range up to $10 \%$, depending on the region of the reconstructed mass plane.
- Simulated sample size: the finite nature of simulated samples is considered as an additional source of systematic uncertainty. For each bin of the distributions, one additional uncertainty is added, where only the considered bin is altered by $\pm 1$ standard deviation, keeping the others at their nominal value.

The variations that these uncertainties induce on the total event yields in the analysis selection are summarised in table 2 for a specific signal hypothesis, where the ee and $\mu \mu$ categories are combined together, yielding, for some uncertainties, a range of variations.

## 7 Methodology and results

A binned maximum likelihood fit is performed in order to extract best fit signal cross sections. The fit is performed using the six binned templates mentioned above in the ee and $\mu \mu$ channels. An additional six-bin template is included in the fit containing the e $\mu$ selection to further constrain the $t \bar{t}$ process, which is the major background component in this region, together with the minor non-resonant background processes. The systematic uncertainties are introduced as nuisance parameters in the fit. For each systematic uncertainty affecting the shape (normalisation) of the templates, a nuisance parameter is constrained with a Gaussian (log-normal) prior. The best fit values for all the nuisance parameters, as well as the corresponding uncertainties, are extracted by performing a binned maximum likelihood fit to the data.

Figure 5 shows final distributions of events after a background-only fit in bins of $\rho$ under two different mass hypotheses for the $\mu \mu+$ ee and e $\mu$ categories with all the nuisance parameters set to their best fit values. The corresponding signals are also displayed and normalised to 1 pb for illustrative purposes.

No significant deviations from the SM expectations are observed. The highest asymptotic local significance observed corresponds to 3.9 standard deviations for the signal hypothesis with $m_{\mathrm{H}}=627 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=162 \mathrm{GeV}$, which globally becomes 1.3 standard deviations once accounting for the look elsewhere effect [51], evaluated with the method described in ref. [52]. The local p-value in the $m_{\mathrm{H}}$ vs. $m_{\mathrm{A}}$ plane is displayed in figure 6 .

Figure 7 shows expected (left) and observed (right) model independent upper limits at $95 \%$ confidence level (CL), $\sigma_{95 \%}$, on the product of the production cross section and branching fraction $(\sigma \mathcal{B})$ for $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ZA}(\mathrm{H}) \rightarrow \ell \ell \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{b}}$, evaluated using the $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{s}}$ criterion [53, 54] in the asymptotic approximation [55] as a function of the H and A and mass hypotheses. Model dependent exclusion regions at $95 \%$ CL in the $m_{\mathrm{H}}$ vs. $m_{\mathrm{A}}$ plane can be obtained by comparing $\sigma_{95 \%}$ to the theoretical cross section predicted by a particular model. Figure 8 shows the expected and observed $95 \%$ CL exclusion regions for the Type-II 2HDM benchmark scenario $\tan \beta=1.5$ and $\cos (\beta-\alpha)=0.01$, while figure 9 shows the $95 \%$ CL exclusion region in the $\tan \beta$ vs. $\cos (\beta-\alpha)$ plane for $m_{\mathrm{H}}=379 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=172 \mathrm{GeV}$.

## 8 Summary

This paper reports on a search for a new CP-even (odd) neutral Higgs boson, decaying into a Z boson and a lighter CP-odd (even) neutral Higgs boson, where the Z decays into an electron or muon pair, and the light Higgs boson into a b quark pair. The search is based on LHC proton-proton collision data at a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ collected by the CMS experiment during 2016, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $35.9 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. We consider decays such as $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZA} \rightarrow \ell \ell \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{b}}$, where H and A are the additional CP-even and -odd Higgs bosons above-mentioned, respectively, in the context of the two-Higgs-doublet model (2HDM). They are searched for in the mass range from 120 to 1000 GeV for H and 30 to 1000 GeV for A . The search is subsequently extended to the $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH} \rightarrow \ell \ell \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{b}}$ process via interchanging the two mass parameters.


Figure 5. Post-fit $\rho$ distributions from a background-only fit for the same-flavour lepton (left) and mixed-flavour lepton (right) events corresponding to a signal hypothesis with $m_{\mathrm{H}}=261 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=150 \mathrm{GeV}$ (upper) and $m_{\mathrm{H}}=442 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=193 \mathrm{GeV}$ (lower). The signal is normalised to 1 pb . Error bars indicate statistical uncertainties, while shaded bands show systematic uncertainties after the fit.

No significant deviations from the standard model expectations are observed. Model independent upper limits on the product of cross section and branching fraction are set. Limits are also set on the parameters of the 2 HDM , assuming the Type-II formulation. Under the specific benchmark scenario corresponding to $\tan \beta=1.5$ and $\cos (\beta-\alpha)=$ 0.01 , regions with $m_{\mathrm{H}}$ in the range $150-700 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}$ in the range $30-295 \mathrm{GeV}$ with $m_{\mathrm{H}}>m_{\mathrm{A}}$, or alternatively for $m_{\mathrm{H}}$ in the range $30-280 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}$ in the range 150700 GeV with $m_{\mathrm{H}}<m_{\mathrm{A}}$ are excluded at $95 \%$ confidence level. Results are also interpreted in the scenario where $m_{\mathrm{H}}=379 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=172 \mathrm{GeV}$. In this context, the region with $\cos (\beta-\alpha)$ in the range $-0.9-0.3$ and $\tan \beta$ in the range $0.5-7.0$ is excluded at $95 \%$ confidence level. With respect to previous searches, a larger region of the Type-II 2HDM parameter space is excluded.


Figure 6. Distribution of the local p-value in the $m_{\mathrm{H}}$ vs. $m_{\mathrm{A}}$ plane.


Figure 7. Expected (left) and observed (right) $95 \%$ CL upper limits on the product of the production cross section and branching fraction $\sigma \mathcal{B}$ for $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ZA}(\mathrm{H}) \rightarrow \ell \ell \mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{b}}$ as a function of $m_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $m_{\mathrm{H}}$. The limits are computed using the asymptotic $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{s}}$ method, combining the ee and $\mu \mu$ channels.

## Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our


Figure 8. Expected and observed 95\% CL exclusion contours for the Type-II 2HDM benchmark $\tan \beta=1.5$ and $\cos (\beta-\alpha)=0.01$ as a function of $m_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $m_{\mathrm{H}}$. The inner (green) band and the outer (yellow) band indicate the regions containing 68 and $95 \%$, respectively, of the distribution of the exclusion contours expected under the background-only hypothesis. The limits are computed using the asymptotic $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{s}}$ method, combining the ee and $\mu \mu$ channels.
analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFIA (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (U.S.A.).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 752730, and 765710 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von


Figure 9. Expected and observed $95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ exclusion contours for $m_{\mathrm{H}}=379 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{A}}=172 \mathrm{GeV}$ as a function of $\tan \beta$ and $\cos (\beta-\alpha$ ). The inner (green) band and the outer (yellow) band indicate the regions containing 68 and $95 \%$, respectively, of the distribution of the exclusion contours expected under the background-only hypothesis. The limits are computed using the asymptotic $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{s}}$ method, combining the ee and $\mu \mu$ channels.

Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science - EOS" - be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science \& Technology Commission, No. Z181100004218003; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Lendület ("Momentum") Programme and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFIA research grants 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850, 125105, 128713, 128786, and 129058 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS programme of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus programme of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonatabis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Ministry of Science and Education, grant no. 3.2989.2017 (Russia); the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalis and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF;
the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Nvidia Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (U.S.A.).

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

[1] ATLAS collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1 [arXiv:1207.7214] [inSPIRE].
[2] CMS collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 30 [arXiv:1207.7235] [InSPIRE].
[3] CMS collaboration, Observation of a new boson with mass near 125 GeV in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ and 8 TeV , JHEP 06 (2013) 081 [arXiv:1303.4571] [inSPIRE].
[4] ATLAS, CMS collaboration, Combined measurement of the Higgs boson mass in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ and 8 TeV with the ATLAS and CMS experiments, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 191803 [arXiv:1503.07589] [INSPIRE].
[5] CMS collaboration, Measurements of properties of the Higgs boson decaying into the four-lepton final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV, JHEP 11 (2017) 047 [arXiv:1706.09936] [INSPIRE].
[6] ATLAS collaboration, Measurement of the Higgs boson mass in the $H \rightarrow Z Z^{*} \rightarrow 4 \ell$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ channels with $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV pp collisions using the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B 784 (2018) 345 [arXiv:1806.00242] [INSPIRE].
[7] G.C. Branco et al., Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models, Phys. Rept. 516 (2012) 1 [arXiv:1106.0034] [INSPIRE].
[8] C. Csáki, The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM), Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11 (1996) 599 [hep-ph/9606414] [INSPIRE].
[9] J.M. Gerard and M. Herquet, A twisted custodial symmetry in the two-Higgs-doublet model, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 251802 [hep-ph/0703051] [INSPIRE].
[10] ATLAS collaboration, Search for a heavy Higgs boson decaying into a $Z$ boson and another heavy Higgs boson in the llbb final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B 783 (2018) 392 [arXiv:1804.01126] [inSPIRE].
[11] CMS collaboration, Search for neutral resonances decaying into a $Z$ boson and a pair of $b$ jets or $\tau$ leptons, Phys. Lett. B 759 (2016) 369 [arXiv:1603.02991] [InSPIRE].
[12] ATLAS collaboration, Search for a CP-odd Higgs boson decaying to $Z h$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B 744 (2015) 163 [arXiv:1502.04478] [inSPIRE].
[13] ATLAS collaboration, Search for heavy resonances decaying into a $W$ or $Z$ boson and $a$ Higgs boson in final states with leptons and b-jets in $36 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ pp collisions with the ATLAS detector, JHEP 03 (2018) 174 [Erratum ibid. 11 (2018) 051] [arXiv:1712.06518] [INSPIRE].
[14] CMS collaboration, Search for a pseudoscalar boson decaying into a $Z$ boson and the 125 GeV Higgs boson in $\ell^{+} \ell^{-} b \bar{b}$ final states, Phys. Lett. B 748 (2015) 221 [arXiv:1504.04710] [INSPIRE].
[15] CMS collaboration, Search for a heavy pseudoscalar boson decaying to a $Z$ and a Higgs boson at $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 564 [arXiv:1903.00941] [inSPIRE].
[16] CMS collaboration, The CMS trigger system, 2017 JINST 12 P01020 [arXiv:1609.02366] [inSPIRE].
[17] CMS collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, 2008 JINST 3 S08004.
[18] J. Alwall et al., The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections and their matching to parton shower simulations, JHEP 07 (2014) 079 [arXiv:1405.0301] [inSPIRE].
[19] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, Merging meets matching in MC@NLO, JHEP 12 (2012) 061 [arXiv:1209.6215] [INSPIRE].
[20] P. Artoisenet, R. Frederix, O. Mattelaer and R. Rietkerk, Automatic spin-entangled decays of heavy resonances in Monte Carlo simulations, JHEP 03 (2013) 015 [arXiv:1212.3460] [inSPIRE].
[21] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, JHEP 11 (2004) 040 [hep-ph/0409146] [inSPIRE].
[22] S. Frixione, P. Nason and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method, JHEP 11 (2007) 070 [arXiv:0709.2092] [InSPIRE].
[23] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, JHEP 06 (2010) 043 [arXiv:1002.2581] [INSPIRE].
[24] S. Alioli, S.-O. Moch and P. Uwer, Hadronic top-quark pair-production with one jet and parton showering, JHEP 01 (2012) 137 [arXiv:1110.5251] [inSPIRE].
[25] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions, JHEP 09 (2009) 111 [Erratum ibid. 02 (2010) 011] [arXiv:0907.4076] [INSPIRE].
[26] T. Sjöstrand et al., An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159 [arXiv:1410.3012] [inSPIRE].
[27] CMS collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 155 [arXiv:1512.00815] [InSPIRE].
[28] P. Skands, S. Carrazza and J. Rojo, Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: the Monash 2013 Tune, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3024 [arXiv:1404.5630] [INSPIRE].
[29] NNPDF collaboration, Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, JHEP 04 (2015) 040 [arXiv:1410.8849] [InSPIRE].
[30] D. Eriksson, J. Rathsman and O. Stal, 2HDMC: two-Higgs-doublet model calculator physics and manual, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010) 189 [arXiv:0902.0851] [inSPIRE].
[31] GEANT4 collaboration, GEANT4 - a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 506 (2003) 250 [INSPIRE].
[32] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, Top++: a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014) 2930 [arXiv:1112.5675] [InSPIRE].
[33] Y. Li and F. Petriello, Combining QCD and electroweak corrections to dilepton production in FEWZ, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 094034 [arXiv:1208.5967] [INSPIRE].
[34] J. Butterworth et al., PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, J. Phys. G 43 (2016) 023001 [arXiv:1510.03865] [INSPIRE].
[35] T. Gehrmann et al., $W^{+} W^{-}$production at hadron colliders in next to next to leading order QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 212001 [arXiv:1408.5243] [inSPIRE].
[36] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis and C. Williams, Vector boson pair production at the LHC, JHEP 07 (2011) 018 [arXiv:1105.0020] [InSPIRE].
[37] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group collaboration, Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector, arXiv:1610.07922 [InSPIRE].
[38] CMS collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive $W$ and $Z$ production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7 \mathrm{Te}$, JHEP 10 (2011) 132 [arXiv:1107.4789] [INSPIRE].
[39] CMS collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, 2017 JINST 12 P10003 [arXiv:1706.04965] [INSPIRE].
[40] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, The anti-k jet clustering algorithm, JHEP 04 (2008) 063 [arXiv:0802.1189] [INSPIRE].
[41] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1896 [arXiv:1111.6097] [inSPIRE].
[42] CMS collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV, 2015 JINST 10 P06005 [arXiv: 1502.02701] [INSPIRE].
[43] CMS collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}, 2018$ JINST 13 P06015 [arXiv:1804.04528] [INSPIRE].
[44] A. Bodek et al., Extracting muon momentum scale corrections for hadron collider experiments, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2194 [arXiv:1208.3710] [inSPIRE].
[45] CMS collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at $8 \mathrm{TeV}, 2017$ JINST 12 P02014 [arXiv:1607.03663] [INSPIRE].
[46] CMS collaboration, Performance of the CMS missing transverse momentum reconstruction in pp data at $\sqrt{s}=8 \mathrm{TeV}$, 2015 JINST 10 P02006 [arXiv:1411.0511] [INSPIRE].
[47] CMS collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV using the CMS detector, 2019 JINST 14 P07004 [arXiv: 1903.06078] [INSPIRE].
[48] CMS collaboration, Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at $13 \mathrm{TeV}, 2018$ JINST 13 P05011 [arXiv:1712.07158] [INSPIRE].
[49] CMS collaboration, CMS luminosity measurements for the 2016 data taking period, CMS-PAS-LUM-17-001 (2017).
[50] CMS collaboration, Measurement of the inelastic proton-proton cross section at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV, JHEP 07 (2018) 161 [arXiv:1802.02613] [InSPIRE].
[51] E. Gross and O. Vitells, Trial factors for the look elsewhere effect in high energy physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 525 [arXiv:1005.1891] [INSPIRE].
[52] O. Vitells and E. Gross, Estimating the significance of a signal in a multi-dimensional search, Astropart. Phys. 35 (2011) 230 [arXiv:1105.4355] [inSPIRE].
[53] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 434 (1999) 435 [hep-ex/9902006] [inSPIRE].
[54] A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the $C L_{s}$ technique, J. Phys. G 28 (2002) 2693 [INSPIRE].
[55] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1554 [Erratum ibid. C 73 (2013) 2501] [arXiv:1007.1727] [INSPIRE].

## The CMS collaboration

## Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A.M. Sirunyan ${ }^{\dagger}$, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria
W. Adam, F. Ambrogi, T. Bergauer, J. Brandstetter, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, A. Escalante Del Valle, M. Flechl, R. Frühwirth ${ }^{1}$, M. Jeitler ${ }^{1}$, N. Krammer, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Madlener, I. Mikulec, N. Rad, J. Schieck ${ }^{1}$, R. Schöfbeck, M. Spanring, D. Spitzbart, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz ${ }^{1}$, M. Zarucki

## Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

V. Drugakov, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium
M.R. Darwish, E.A. De Wolf, D. Di Croce, X. Janssen, A. Lelek, M. Pieters, H. Rejeb Sfar, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, S. Van Putte, N. Van Remortel

## Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, E.S. Bols, S.S. Chhibra, J. D'Hondt, J. De Clercq, D. Lontkovskyi, S. Lowette, I. Marchesini, S. Moortgat, Q. Python, K. Skovpen, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders

## Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, H. Brun, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, B. Dorney,
L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, A. Popov, N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, I. Khvastunov ${ }^{2}$, M. Niedziela, C. Roskas, D. Trocino, M. Tytgat, W. Verbeke, B. Vermassen, M. Vit, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
O. Bondu, G. Bruno, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaitre, A. Magitteri, J. Prisciandaro, A. Saggio, M. Vidal Marono, P. Vischia, J. Zobec

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, G. Correia Silva, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, P. Rebello Teles

## Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato ${ }^{3}$, E. Coelho, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira ${ }^{4}$, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson, J. Martins ${ }^{5}$, D. Matos Figueiredo, M. Medina Jaime ${ }^{6}$, M. Melo De Almeida, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, L.J. Sanchez Rosas, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, E.J. Tonelli Manganote ${ }^{3}$, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista ${ }^{a}$, Universidade Federal do $\mathrm{ABC}^{b}$, São Paulo, Brazil
C.A. Bernardes ${ }^{a}$, L. Calligaris ${ }^{a}$, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei ${ }^{a}$, E.M. Gregores ${ }^{b}$, D.S. Lemos, P.G. Mercadante ${ }^{b}$, S.F. Novaes ${ }^{a}$, SandraS. Padula ${ }^{a}$

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
M. Bonchev, A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Beihang University, Beijing, China
W. $\mathrm{Fang}^{7}$, X. Gao ${ }^{7}$, L. Yuan

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China
M. Ahmad, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z. Liu, S.M. Shaheen ${ }^{8}$, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, E. Yazgan, H. Zhang, S. Zhang ${ }^{8}$, J. Zhao

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
A. Agapitos, Y. Ban, G. Chen, A. Levin, J. Li, L. Li, Q. Li, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Q. Wang

Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
Z. Hu, Y. Wang

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China
M. Xiao

## Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, C.F. González Hernández, M.A. Segura Delgado

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia
J. Mejia Guisao, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, C.A. Salazar González, N. Vanegas Arbelaez

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia
D. Giljanović, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, T. Sculac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia
Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia
V. Brigljevic, S. Ceci, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, B. Mesic, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov ${ }^{9}$, T. Susa

## University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M.W. Ather, A. Attikis, E. Erodotou, A. Ioannou, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, D. Tsiakkouri

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
M. Finger ${ }^{10}$, M. Finger Jr. ${ }^{10}$, A. Kveton, J. Tomsa

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador
E. Ayala

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador
E. Carrera Jarrin

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt H. Abdalla ${ }^{11}$, S. Elgammal ${ }^{12}$

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia S. Bhowmik, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, C. Veelken

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
P. Eerola, L. Forthomme, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen

## Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, J.K. Heikkilä, T. Järvinen, V. Karimäki, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Laurila, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

## Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, C. Leloup, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro ${ }^{13}$, M. Titov

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris
S. Ahuja, C. Amendola, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, C. Charlot, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, R. Granier de Cassagnac, I. Kucher, A. Lobanov, C. Martin Perez, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France
J.-L. Agram ${ }^{14}$, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte ${ }^{14}$, J.-C. Fontaine ${ }^{14}$, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, M. Jansová, A.-C. Le Bihan, N. Tonon, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France
S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France
S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C. Camen, A. Carle, N. Chanon, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, Sa. Jain, F. Lagarde, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaud, A. Lesauvage, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, L. Torterotot, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret

## Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

A. Khvedelidze ${ }^{10}$

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
Z. Tsamalaidze ${ }^{10}$

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany
C. Autermann, L. Feld, M.K. Kiesel, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, M. Preuten, M.P. Rauch, C. Schomakers, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde, B. Wittmer

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
A. Albert, M. Erdmann, B. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, G. Mocellin, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, T. Quast, M. Radziej, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, J. Roemer, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany
G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad ${ }^{15}$, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, T. Müller, A. Nehrkorn, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl ${ }^{16}$

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
M. Aldaya Martin, P. Asmuss, I. Babounikau, H. Bakhshiansohi, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, A. Bermúdez Martínez, D. Bertsche, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borras ${ }^{17}$, V. Botta, A. Campbell,
A. Cardini, P. Connor, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, C. Contreras-Campana, V. Danilov, A. De Wit, M.M. Defranchis, C. Diez Pardos, D. Domínguez Damiani, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, A. Elwood, E. Eren, E. Gallo ${ }^{18}$, A. Geiser, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, M. Haranko, A. Harb, A. Jafari, N.Z. Jomhari, H. Jung, A. Kasem ${ }^{17}$, M. Kasemann, H. Kaveh, J. Keaveney, C. Kleinwort, J. Knolle, D. Krücker, W. Lange, T. Lenz, J. Leonard, J. Lidrych, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann ${ }^{19}$, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, M. Missiroli, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, V. Myronenko, D. Pérez Adán, S.K. Pflitsch, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, V. Scheurer, P. Schütze, C. Schwanenberger, R. Shevchenko, A. Singh, H. Tholen, O. Turkot, A. Vagnerini, M. Van De Klundert, R. Walsh, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, C. Wissing, O. Zenaiev, R. Zlebcik

## University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

R. Aggleton, S. Bein, L. Benato, A. Benecke, V. Blobel, T. Dreyer, A. Ebrahimi, F. Feindt,
A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, P. Gunnellini, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann,
A. Karavdina, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, S. Kurz, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Malara, J. Multhaup, C.E.N. Niemeyer, A. Perieanu, A. Reimers, O. Rieger, C. Scharf, P. Schleper, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, F.M. Stober, M. Stöver, B. Vormwald, I. Zoi

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany
M. Akbiyik, C. Barth, M. Baselga, S. Baur, T. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, P. Goldenzweig, A. Gottmann, M.A. Harrendorf, F. Hartmann ${ }^{16}$, U. Husemann, S. Kudella, S. Mitra, M.U. Mozer, D. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Musich, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, M. Wassmer, M. Weber, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece
G. Anagnostou, P. Asenov, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, G. Paspalaki

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
M. Diamantopoulou, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, A. Manousakis-katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, A. Stakia, K. Theofilatos, K. Vellidis, E. Vourliotis

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece
G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis

## University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Gianneios, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, S. Mallios, K. Manitara, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Strologas, F.A. Triantis, D. Tsitsonis

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
M. Bartók ${ }^{20}$, R. Chudasama, M. Csanad, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, M.I. Nagy, G. Pasztor, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath ${ }^{21}$, F. Sikler, T.Á. Vámi, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi ${ }^{\dagger}$

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi ${ }^{20}$, A. Makovec, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
P. Raics, D. Teyssier, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Eszterhazy Karoly University, Karoly Robert Campus, Gyongyos, Hungary
T. Csorgo, W.J. Metzger, F. Nemes, T. Novak

Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India
S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, P.C. Tiwari

## National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India <br> S. Bahinipati ${ }^{23}$, C. Kar, G. Kole, P. Mal, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu, A. Nayak ${ }^{24}$, D.K. Sahoo ${ }^{23}$, S.K. Swain

## Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, S. Chauhan, R. Chawla, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Lohan, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, S. Sharma, J.B. Singh, A.K. Virdi, G. Walia

## University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, M. Gola, S. Keshri, Ashok Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, Aashaq Shah, R. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India
R. Bhardwaj ${ }^{25}$, M. Bharti ${ }^{25}$, R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, U. Bhawandeep ${ }^{25}$, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, M. Maity ${ }^{26}$, K. Mondal, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, P.K. Rout, G. Saha, S. Sarkar, T. Sarkar ${ }^{26}$, M. Sharan, B. Singh ${ }^{25}$, S. Thakur ${ }^{25}$

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India
P.K. Behera, P. Kalbhor, A. Muhammad, P.R. Pujahari, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India
D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, D.K. Mishra, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India
T. Aziz, M.A. Bhat, S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty, N. Sur, RavindraKumar Verma

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India
S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, P. Das, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, N. Sahoo, S. Sawant

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India
S. Chauhan, S. Dube, V. Hegde, B. Kansal, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran
S. Chenarani ${ }^{27}$, E. Eskandari Tadavani, S.M. Etesami ${ }^{27}$, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari ${ }^{a}$, Università di Bari ${ }^{b}$, Politecnico di Bari ${ }^{c}$, Bari, Italy M. Abbrescia ${ }^{a, b}$, R. Aly ${ }^{a, b, 28}$, C. Calabria ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Colaleo ${ }^{a}$, D. Creanza ${ }^{a, c}$, L. Cristella ${ }^{a, b}$, N. De Filippis ${ }^{a, c}$, M. De Palma ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Di Florio ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Fiore ${ }^{a}$, A. Gelmi ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Iaselli ${ }^{a, c}$, M. Ince ${ }^{a, b}$, S. Lezki ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Maggi ${ }^{a, c}$, M. Maggi ${ }^{a}$, G. Miniello ${ }^{a, b}$, S. My ${ }^{a, b}$, S. Nuzzo ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Pompili ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Pugliese ${ }^{a, c}$, R. Radogna ${ }^{a}$, A. Ranieri ${ }^{a}$, G. Selvaggi ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Silvestris ${ }^{a}$, R. Venditti ${ }^{a}$, P. Verwilligen ${ }^{a}$

INFN Sezione di Bologna ${ }^{a}$, Università di Bologna ${ }^{b}$, Bologna, Italy
G. Abbiendi ${ }^{a}$, C. Battilana ${ }^{a, b}$, D. Bonacorsi ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Borgonovi ${ }^{a, b}$, S. Braibant-Giacomelli ${ }^{a, b}$,
R. Campanini ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Capiluppi ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Castro ${ }^{a, b}$, F.R. Cavallo ${ }^{a}$, C. Ciocca ${ }^{a}$, G. Codispoti ${ }^{a, b}$,
M. Cuffiani ${ }^{a, b}$, G.M. Dallavalle ${ }^{a}$, F. Fabbri ${ }^{a}$, A. Fanfani ${ }^{a, b}$, E. Fontanesi ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Giacomelli ${ }^{a}$,
C. Grandi ${ }^{a}$, L. Guiducci ${ }^{a, b}$, F. Iemmi ${ }^{a, b}$, S. Lo Meo ${ }^{a, 29}$, S. Marcellini ${ }^{a}$, G. Masetti ${ }^{a}$, F.L. Navarria ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Perrotta ${ }^{a}$, F. Primavera ${ }^{a, b}$, A.M. Rossi ${ }^{a, b}$, T. Rovelli ${ }^{a, b}$, G.P. Siroli ${ }^{a, b}$, N. Tosi ${ }^{a}$

INFN Sezione di Catania ${ }^{a}$, Università di Catania ${ }^{b}$, Catania, Italy
S. Albergo ${ }^{a, b, 30}$, S. Costa $^{a, b}$, A. Di Mattia ${ }^{a}$, R. Potenza ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Tricomi ${ }^{a, b, 30}$, C. Tuve ${ }^{a, b}$

INFN Sezione di Firenze ${ }^{a}$, Università di Firenze ${ }^{b}$, Firenze, Italy
G. Barbagli ${ }^{a}$, A. Cassese, R. Ceccarelli, K. Chatterjee ${ }^{a, b}$, V. Ciulli ${ }^{a, b}$, C. Civinini ${ }^{a}$, R. D'Alessandro ${ }^{a, b}$, E. Focardi ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Latino ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Lenzi ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Meschini ${ }^{a}$, S. Paoletti ${ }^{a}$, G. Sguazzoni ${ }^{a}$, L. Viliani ${ }^{a}$

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
L. Benussi, S. Bianco, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova ${ }^{a}$, Università di Genova ${ }^{b}$, Genova, Italy M. Bozzo ${ }^{a, b}$, F. Ferro ${ }^{a}$, R. Mulargia ${ }^{a, b}$, E. Robutti ${ }^{a}$, S. Tosi ${ }^{a, b}$

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ${ }^{a}$, Università di Milano-Bicocca ${ }^{b}$, Milano, Italy A. Benaglia ${ }^{a}$, A. Beschi ${ }^{a, b}$, F. Brivio ${ }^{a, b}$, V. Ciriolo ${ }^{a, b, 16}$, S. Di Guida ${ }^{a, b, 16}$, M.E. Dinardo ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Dini ${ }^{a}$, S. Gennai ${ }^{a}$, A. Ghezzi $^{a, b}$, P. Govoni ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Guzzi ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Malberti ${ }^{a}$, S. Malvezzi ${ }^{a}$, D. Menasce ${ }^{a}$, F. Monti ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Moroni ${ }^{a}$, M. Paganoni ${ }^{a, b}$, D. Pedrini ${ }^{a}$, S. Ragazzi ${ }^{a, b}$, T. Tabarelli de Fatis ${ }^{a, b}$, D. Zuolo ${ }^{a, b}$

INFN Sezione di Napoli ${ }^{a}$, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Napoli, Italy, Università della Basilicata ${ }^{c}$, Potenza, Italy, Università G. Marconi ${ }^{d}$, Roma, Italy
S. Buontempo ${ }^{a}$, N. Cavallo ${ }^{a, c}$, A. De Iorio ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Di Crescenzo ${ }^{a, b}$, F. Fabozzi ${ }^{a, c}$, F. Fienga ${ }^{a}$, G. Galati ${ }^{a}$, A.O.M. Iorio ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Lista ${ }^{a, b}$, S. Meola ${ }^{a, d, 16}$, P. Paolucci ${ }^{a, 16}$, B. Rossi ${ }^{a}$, C. Sciacca ${ }^{a, b}$, E. Voevodina ${ }^{a, b}$

INFN Sezione di Padova ${ }^{a}$, Università di Padova ${ }^{b}$, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento ${ }^{c}$, Trento, Italy
P. $\mathrm{Azzi}^{a}$, N. $\mathrm{Bacchetta}^{a}$, D. Bisello ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Boletti ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Bragagnolo ${ }^{a, b}$, R. Carlin ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Checchia ${ }^{a}$, P. De Castro Manzano ${ }^{a}$, T. Dorigo ${ }^{a}$, U. Dosselli ${ }^{a}$, F. Gasparini ${ }^{a}{ }^{a, b}$, U. Gasparini ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Gozzelino ${ }^{a}$, S.Y. Hoh $^{a, b}$, P. Lujan ${ }^{a}$, M. Margoni ${ }^{a, b}$, A.T. Meneguzzo ${ }^{a, b}$, J. Pazzini ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Presilla ${ }^{b}$, P. Ronchese ${ }^{a, b}$, R. Rossin ${ }^{a, b}$, F. Simonetto ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Tiko ${ }^{a}$, M. Tosi ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Zanetti ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Zotto ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Zumerle ${ }^{a, b}$

INFN Sezione di Pavia ${ }^{a}$, Università di Pavia ${ }^{b}$, Pavia, Italy
A. Braghieri ${ }^{a}$, D. Fiorina ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Montagna ${ }^{a, b}$, S.P. Ratti ${ }^{a, b}$, V. Re $^{a}$, M. Ressegotti ${ }^{a, b}$, C. Riccardi ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Salvini ${ }^{a}$, I. Vai ${ }^{a}$, P. Vitulo ${ }^{a, b}$

INFN Sezione di Perugia ${ }^{a}$, Università di Perugia ${ }^{b}$, Perugia, Italy
M. Biasini ${ }^{a, b}$, G.M. Bilei ${ }^{a}$, D. Ciangottini ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Fanò ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Lariccia ${ }^{a, b}$, R. Leonardi ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Mantovani ${ }^{a, b}$, V. Mariani ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Menichelli ${ }^{a}$, A. Rossi ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Santocchia ${ }^{a, b}$, D. Spiga ${ }^{a}$

INFN Sezione di Pisa ${ }^{a}$, Università di Pisa ${ }^{b}$, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa ${ }^{c}$, Pisa, Italy
K. Androsov ${ }^{a}$, P. Azzurri ${ }^{a}$, G. Bagliesi ${ }^{a}$, V. Bertacchi ${ }^{a, c}$, L. Bianchini ${ }^{a}$, T. Boccali ${ }^{a}$, R. Castaldi ${ }^{a}$, M.A. Ciocci ${ }^{a, b}$, R. Dell'Orso ${ }^{a}$, G. Fedi ${ }^{a}$, L. Giannini ${ }^{a, c}$, A. Giassi ${ }^{a}$, M.T. Grippo ${ }^{a}$, F. Ligabue ${ }^{a, c}$, E. Manca ${ }^{a, c}$, G. Mandorli ${ }^{a, c}$, A. Messineo ${ }^{a, b}$, F. Palla ${ }^{a}$,
A. Rizzi ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Rolandi ${ }^{31}$, S. Roy Chowdhury, A. Scribano ${ }^{a}$, P. Spagnolo ${ }^{a}$, R. Tenchini ${ }^{a}$, G. Tonelli ${ }^{a, b}$, N. Turini, A. Venturi ${ }^{a}$, P.G. Verdini ${ }^{a}$

INFN Sezione di Roma ${ }^{a}$, Sapienza Università di Roma ${ }^{b}$, Rome, Italy
F. Cavallari ${ }^{a}$, M. Cipriani ${ }^{a, b}$, D. Del Re ${ }^{a, b}$, E. Di Marco ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Diemoz ${ }^{a}$, E. Longo ${ }^{a, b}$, B. Marzocchi ${ }^{a, b}$, P. Meridiani ${ }^{a}$, G. Organtini ${ }^{a, b}$, F. Pandolfi ${ }^{a}$, R. Paramatti ${ }^{a, b}$, C. Quaranta ${ }^{a, b}$, S. Rahatlou ${ }^{a, b}$, C. Rovelli ${ }^{a}$, F. Santanastasio ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Soffi ${ }^{a, b}$

INFN Sezione di Torino ${ }^{a}$, Università di Torino ${ }^{b}$, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale ${ }^{c}$, Novara, Italy
N. Amapane ${ }^{a, b}$, R. Arcidiacono ${ }^{a, c}$, S. Argiro ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Arneodo ${ }^{a, c}$, N. Bartosik ${ }^{a}$, R. Bellan ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Bellora, C. Biino $^{a}$, A. Cappati ${ }^{a, b}$, N. Cartiglia ${ }^{a}$, S. Cometti ${ }^{a}$, M. Costa ${ }^{a, b}$, R. Covarelli ${ }^{a, b}$, N. Demaria ${ }^{a}$, B. Kiani $^{a, b}$, C. Mariotti ${ }^{a}$, S. Maselli ${ }^{a}$, E. Migliore ${ }^{a, b}$, V. Monaco ${ }^{a, b}$, E. Monteil ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Monteno ${ }^{a}$, M.M. Obertino ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Ortona ${ }^{a, b}$, L. Pacher ${ }^{a, b}$, N. Pastrone ${ }^{a}$, M. Pelliccioni ${ }^{a}$, G.L. Pinna Angioni ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Romero ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Ruspa ${ }^{a, c}$, R. Salvatico ${ }^{a, b}$, V. Sola ${ }^{a}$, A. Solano ${ }^{a, b}$, D. Soldi ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Staiano ${ }^{a}$

INFN Sezione di Trieste ${ }^{a}$, Università di Trieste ${ }^{b}$, Trieste, Italy
S. Belforte ${ }^{a}$, V. Candelise ${ }^{a, b}$, M. Casarsa ${ }^{a}$, F. Cossutti ${ }^{a}$, A. Da Rold ${ }^{a, b}$, G. Della Ricca ${ }^{a, b}$, F. Vazzoler ${ }^{a, b}$, A. Zanetti ${ }^{a}$

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea
B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea
H. Kim, D.H. Moon, G. Oh

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea
B. Francois, T.J. Kim, J. Park

## Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, D. Gyun, S. Ha, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park, S.K. Park, Y. Roh, J. Yoo

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics
J. Goh

## Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H.S. Kim

## Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, H. Lee, K. Lee, S. Lee, K. Nam, M. Oh, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, I. Yoon, G.B. Yu

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea
D. Jeon, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, I.J Watson

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea
Y. Choi, C. Hwang, Y. Jeong, J. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia V. Veckalns ${ }^{32}$

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania
V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, G. Tamulaitis, J. Vaitkus

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
Z.A. Ibrahim, F. Mohamad Idris ${ }^{33}$, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico
J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, J.A. Murillo Quijada, L. Valencia Palomo

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz ${ }^{34}$, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico
S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, M. Ramirez-Garcia, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico
J. Eysermans, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico
A. Morelos Pineda

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro
J. Mijuskovic, N. Raicevic

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand
S. Bheesette, P.H. Butler

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland
V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk ${ }^{35}$, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal
M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, B. Galinhas, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, J. Seixas, K. Shchelina, G. Strong, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
V. Alexakhin, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavine, I. Kashunin, V. Korenkov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev ${ }^{36,37}$, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, N. Voytishin, B.S. Yuldashev ${ }^{38}$, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia
L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtcov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim ${ }^{39}$, E. Kuznetsova ${ }^{40}$, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, A. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia
V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, A. Nikitenko ${ }^{41}$, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, A. Stepennov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia
T. Aushev

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
O. Bychkova, R. Chistov ${ }^{42}$, M. Danilov ${ }^{42}$, S. Polikarpov ${ }^{42}$, E. Tarkovskii
P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov

## Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin ${ }^{43}$, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia
A. Barnyakov ${ }^{44}$, V. Blinov ${ }^{44}$, T. Dimova ${ }^{44}$, L. Kardapoltsev ${ }^{44}$, Y. Skovpen ${ }^{44}$

Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia
I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, P. Mandrik, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, S. Slabospitskii, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

## National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia

A. Babaev, A. Iuzhakov, V. Okhotnikov

Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
V. Borchsh, V. Ivanchenko, E. Tcherniaev

University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences
P. Adzic ${ }^{45}$, P. Cirkovic, D. Devetak, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic, J. Milosevic, M. Stojanovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain
M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Álvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, D. Moran, Á. Navarro Tobar, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, M.S. Soares, A. Triossi, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, R. Reyes-Almanza

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain
B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, V. Rodríguez Bouza, S. Sanchez Cruz

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain
I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, B. Chazin Quero, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, P.J. Fernández Manteca, A. García Alonso, G. Gomez, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Russo ${ }^{46}$, L. Scodellaro, N. Trevisani, I. Vila, J.M. Vizan Garcia

## University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

K. Malagalage

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka
W.G.D. Dharmaratna, N. Wickramage

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
D. Abbaneo, B. Akgun, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball,
D. Barney, J. Bendavid, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, P. Bortignon, E. Bossini, C. Botta,
E. Brondolin, T. Camporesi, A. Caratelli, G. Cerminara, E. Chapon, G. Cucciati, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, N. Daci, V. Daponte, A. David, O. Davignon, A. De Roeck, N. Deelen, M. Deile, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita ${ }^{47}$, D. Fasanella, S. Fiorendi, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, S. Giani,
D. Gigi, A. Gilbert, K. Gill, F. Glege, M. Gruchala, M. Guilbaud, D. Gulhan, J. Hegeman, C. Heidegger, Y. Iiyama, V. Innocente, P. Janot, O. Karacheban ${ }^{19}$, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler,
M. Krammer ${ }^{1}$, N. Kratochwil, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli,
A. Massironi, F. Meijers, J.A. Merlin, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, J. Ngadiuba, J. Niedziela, S. Nourbakhsh, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo ${ }^{16}$, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, F.M. Pitters, D. Rabady, A. Racz, M. Rieger, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, W. Snoeys, P. Sphicas ${ }^{48}$, J. Steggemann, S. Summers, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, A. Tsirou, G.P. Van Onsem, A. Vartak, M. Verzetti, W.D. Zeuner

## Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

L. Caminada ${ }^{49}$, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe, S.A. Wiederkehr

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland
M. Backhaus, P. Berger, N. Chernyavskaya, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, T. Klijnsma, W. Lustermann, R.A. Manzoni, M. Marionneau, M.T. Meinhard, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pauss, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, M. Schönenberger, L. Shchutska, M.L. Vesterbacka Olsson, R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu

## Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad, C. Amsler ${ }^{50}$, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, R. Del Burgo, S. Donato, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, V.M. Mikuni, I. Neutelings, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, D. Salerno, K. Schweiger, C. Seitz, Y. Takahashi, S. Wertz, A. Zucchetta

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan
T.H. Doan, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Roy, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan
P. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis,
A. Psallidas, A. Steen

## Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok,

 ThailandB. Asavapibhop, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey
A. Bat, F. Boran, A. Celik ${ }^{51}$, S. Cerci $^{52}$, S. Damarseckin ${ }^{53}$, Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, G. Gokbulut, EmineGurpinar Guler ${ }^{54}$, Y. Guler, I. Hos ${ }^{55}$, C. Isik, E.E. Kangal ${ }^{56}$, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, M. Oglakci, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir ${ }^{57}$, S. Ozturk ${ }^{58}$, A.E. Simsek, D. Sunar Cerci ${ }^{52}$, U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey B. Isildak ${ }^{59}$, G. Karapinar ${ }^{60}$, M. Yalvac

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey
I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya ${ }^{61}$, O. Kaya ${ }^{62}$, Ö. Özçelik, S. Tekten, E.A. Yetkin ${ }^{63}$

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
A. Cakir, K. Cankocak, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen ${ }^{64}$

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey
B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

## B. Grynyov

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine
L. Levchuk

## University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

F. Ball, E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns ${ }^{65}$, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, S. Paramesvaran, B. Penning, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, J. Taylor, A. Titterton

## Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev ${ }^{66}$, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D. Cieri, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams, W.J. Womersley

## Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, GurpreetSingh CHAHAL ${ }^{67}$, D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, R. Di Maria, P. Everaerts, G. Hall, G. Iles, T. James, M. Komm, C. Laner, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, V. Milosevic, J. Nash ${ }^{68}$, V. Palladino, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond,
A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, M. Stoye, T. Strebler, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee ${ }^{16}$, N. Wardle, D. Winterbottom, J. Wright, A.G. Zecchinelli, S.C. Zenz

## Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, C.K. Mackay, A. Morton, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid

## Baylor University, Waco, U.S.A.

K. Call, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, C. Madrid, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, C. Smith

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, U.S.A.
R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, U.S.A.
A. Buccilli, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

## Boston University, Boston, U.S.A.

D. Arcaro, Z. Demiragli, D. Gastler, D. Pinna, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, I. Suarez, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Brown University, Providence, U.S.A.
G. Benelli, B. Burkle, X. Coubez ${ }^{17}$, D. Cutts, Y.t. Duh, M. Hadley, J. Hakala, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan ${ }^{69}$, K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, J. Lee, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Sagir ${ }^{70}$, R. Syarif, E. Usai, D. Yu, W. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, U.S.A.
R. Band, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, F. Jensen, W. Ko, O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, M. Shi, D. Taylor, K. Tos, M. Tripathi, Z. Wang, F. Zhang

## University of California, Los Angeles, U.S.A.

M. Bachtis, C. Bravo, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, N. Mccoll, W.A. Nash, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, C. Schnaible, B. Stone, V. Valuev

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, U.S.A.
K. Burt, Y. Chen, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, E. Kennedy, O.R. Long, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, W. Si, L. Wang, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates, Y. Zhang

## University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, U.S.A.

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, M. Derdzinski, R. Gerosa, D. Gilbert, B. Hashemi, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, S. May, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, U.S.A.
N. Amin, R. Bhandari, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, V. Dutta, M. Franco Sevilla, L. Gouskos, J. Incandela, B. Marsh, H. Mei, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, J. Richman, U. Sarica, D. Stuart, S. Wang

## California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, U.S.A.

D. Anderson, A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, I. Dutta, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, J. Pata, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, U.S.A.
M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, M. Sun, I. Vorobiev, M. Weinberg

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, U.S.A.
J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Johnson, E. MacDonald, T. Mulholland, R. Patel, A. Perloff, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, U.S.A.
J. Alexander, J. Chaves, Y. Cheng, J. Chu, A. Datta, A. Frankenthal, K. Mcdermott, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, A. Rinkevicius ${ }^{71}$, A. Ryd, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, U.S.A.
S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, M. Cremonesi, J. Duarte, V.D. Elvira, J. Freeman, Z. Gecse, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, AllisonReinsvold Hall, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, R. Heller, J. Hirschauer, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, M.J. Kortelainen, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Lewis, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, M. Liu, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O’Dell, V. Papadimitriou, K. Pedro, C. Pena, G. Rakness, F. Ravera, L. Ristori, B. Schneider, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber

University of Florida, Gainesville, U.S.A.
D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, A. Brinkerhoff, L. Cadamuro, A. Carnes, V. Cherepanov,
D. Curry, F. Errico, R.D. Field, S.V. Gleyzer, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, P. Ma, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Wang, S. Wang, X. Zuo

Florida International University, Miami, U.S.A.
Y.R. Joshi

## Florida State University, Tallahassee, U.S.A.

T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, T. Perry, H. Prosper, C. Schiber, R. Yohay, J. Zhang

## Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, U.S.A.

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, M. Saunders, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, U.S.A.
M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, R. Cavanaugh, X. Chen, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, K. Jung, C. Mills, T. Roy, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, J. Viinikainen, H. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wu

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, U.S.A.
M. Alhusseini, B. Bilki $^{54}$, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz ${ }^{72}$, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili ${ }^{73}$, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul ${ }^{74}$, Y. Onel, F. Ozok ${ }^{75}$, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel

## Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, U.S.A.

B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, D. Fehling, L. Feng, A.V. Gritsan, W.T. Hung, P. Maksimovic, J. Roskes, M. Swartz

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, U.S.A.
C. Baldenegro Barrera, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, J. Bowen, A. Bylinkin, T. Isidori, S. Khalil, J. King, G. Krintiras, A. Kropivnitskaya, C. Lindsey, D. Majumder, W. Mcbrayer, N. Minafra, M. Murray, C. Rogan, C. Royon, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang, J. Williams, G. Wilson

Kansas State University, Manhattan, U.S.A.
S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, D.R. Mendis, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, A. Mohammadi

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, U.S.A.
F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, U.S.A.
A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, Y. Feng, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, G.Y. Jeng, R.G. Kellogg, J. Kunkle, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, F. Ricci-Tam, M. Seidel, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, S.C. Tonwar, K. Wong

## Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, U.S.A.

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, A. Baty, R. Bi, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. D'Alfonso,
G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hsu, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.-J. Lee, P.D. Luckey, B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. Mcginn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, D. Velicanu, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch

## University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.

A.C. Benvenuti ${ }^{\dagger}$, R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, S. Guts, P. Hansen, J. Hiltbrand, Sh. Jain, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, R. Rusack, M.A. Wadud

University of Mississippi, Oxford, U.S.A.
J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

## University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, U.S.A.

K. Bloom, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, R. Gonzalez Suarez, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow ${ }^{\dagger}$, B. Stieger, W. Tabb

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, U.S.A.
G. Agarwal, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, A. Parker, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

## Northeastern University, Boston, U.S.A.

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, C. Freer, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, G. Madigan, D.M. Morse,
T. Orimoto, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

Northwestern University, Evanston, U.S.A.
S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco

## University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, U.S.A.

R. Bucci, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, K. Lannon, W. Li, N. Loukas, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, F. Meng, C. Mueller, Y. Musienko ${ }^{36}$, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

## The Ohio State University, Columbus, U.S.A.

J. Alimena, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, B. Francis, C. Hill, W. Ji, A. Lefeld, T.Y. Ling, B.L. Winer

## Princeton University, Princeton, U.S.A.

S. Cooperstein, G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham,

## University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, U.S.A.

S. Malik, S. Norberg

## Purdue University, West Lafayette, U.S.A.

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, A. Khatiwada, B. Mahakud, D.H. Miller, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, C.C. Peng, S. Piperov, H. Qiu, J.F. Schulte, J. Sun, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, U.S.A.
T. Cheng, J. Dolen, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, U.S.A.
U. Behrens, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Kilpatrick, Arun Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leiton, Z. Tu, A. Zhang

## University of Rochester, Rochester, U.S.A.

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, P. Tan, R. Taus

## Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, U.S.A.

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, A. Gandrakota, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, S. Kyriacou, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, H. Saka, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas
University of Tennessee, Knoxville, U.S.A.
H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, G. Riley, S. Spanier

## Texas A\&M University, College Station, U.S.A.

O. Bouhali ${ }^{76}$, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore,
T. Huang, T. Kamon ${ }^{77}$, S. Luo, D. Marley, R. Mueller, D. Overton, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

## Texas Tech University, Lubbock, U.S.A.

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, F. De Guio, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, U.S.A.
S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, M. Verweij

## University of Virginia, Charlottesville, U.S.A.

M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, G. Cummings, R. Hirosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, C. Neu, B. Tannenwald, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

Wayne State University, Detroit, U.S.A.
R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, N. Poudyal, J. Sturdy, P. Thapa

## University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, U.S.A.

T. Bose, J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, D. Carlsmith, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, L. Dodd, F. Fiori, C. Galloni, B. Gomber ${ }^{78}$, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, P. Klabbers,
A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger, K. Long, R. Loveless, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, D. Teague, S. Trembath-reichert, N. Woods

[^0]9: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia
10: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
11: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
12: Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
13: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, U.S.A.
14: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
15: Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
16: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
17: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
18: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
19: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
20: Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary, Debrecen, Hungary
21: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
22: Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, Budapest, Hungary
23: Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India, Bhubaneswar, India
24: Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
25: Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India
26: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
27: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
28: Now at INFN Sezione di Bari ${ }^{a}$, Università di Bari ${ }^{b}$, Politecnico di Bari ${ }^{c}$, Bari, Italy
29: Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
30: Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
31: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
32: Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia, Riga, Latvia
33: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia
34: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
35: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland
36: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
37: Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
38: Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
39: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
40: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, U.S.A.
41: Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
42: Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
43: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, U.S.A.
44: Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
45: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
46: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
47: Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia ${ }^{a}$, Università di Pavia ${ }^{b}$, Pavia, Italy, Pavia, Italy
48: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
49: Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
50: Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria, Vienna, Austria
51: Also at Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, BURDUR, Turkey

52: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
53: Also at Şırnak University, Sirnak, Turkey
54: Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey, Istanbul, Turkey
55: Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey
56: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
57: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey
58: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
59: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
60: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
61: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
62: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
63: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey
64: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
65: Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
66: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
67: Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
68: Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
69: Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, U.S.A., St. Paul, U.S.A.
70: Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
71: Also at Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania
72: Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
73: Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
74: Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
75: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
76: Also at Texas A\&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
77: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea, Daegu, Korea
78: Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India


[^0]:    $\dagger$ : Deceased
    1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
    2: Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
    3: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
    4: Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
    5: Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil
    6: Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil
    7: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
    8: Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

