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#### Abstract

We study the nature of flavor changing neutral couplings of the top quark with the Higgs boson and the up/charm quark in the $t \bar{t}$ production at linear colliders. There are previous bounds on such $t q H$ couplings at both, linear and hadronic colliders, with the assumption that the top couples equally to the left and the right handed fermions. In this paper we examine chirality of the $t q H$ coupling and construct different observables which will be sensitive to it. The kinematics of the emitted $q$ from $t \rightarrow q H$ in $t \bar{t}$ production is discussed and it was found that the polar angle distribution of $q$ is sensitive to the chiral nature of $t q H$ couplings. The observables in the context of top-antitop spin correlations, which are sensitive to new physics in the top decay are considered using different spinquantization bases. It was found that in particular the off-diagonal basis can be useful to distinguish among the chiral $t q H$ couplings. The sensitivity of the unpolarized ILC in probing the couplings at the $3 \sigma$ level at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ is also studied, resulting in predicted $\mathrm{BR}(t \rightarrow q H)<1.19 \times 10^{-3}$. This limit is further improved to $\operatorname{BR}(t \rightarrow q H)<8.84 \times 10^{-4}$ with the inclusion of initial beam polarization of left handed electrons and right handed positrons.
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## 1 Introduction

The search for Flavor Changing Neutral Current (FCNC) processes, has been one of the leading tools to test the Standard Model (SM), in an attempt of either discovering or putting stringent limits on new physics scenarios. The discovery of the Higgs boson at the LHC has lead the way to a comprehensive program of measuring its properties and branching ratios, in order to look for deviations from the SM predicted Higgs. Within the SM, there are no FCNC transitions at tree level mediated by the Higgs boson, due to the the presence of only one Higgs doublet and at the one-loop level these FCNC interactions are extremely small. There are however many extensions of the SM where the suppression of the neutral flavor changing transitions due to the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism can be relaxed, with the presence of additional scalar doublets or through the additional contributions of new particles in the loop diagrams. In the presence of two or more scalar doublets, these FCNC interactions will be generated at tree level and can be very large unless some ad-hoc discrete symmetry is imposed.

Motivated by the nature of the standard Yukawa coupling scheme the authors of [1] observed that the new FCNC couplings in the general two-Higgs doublet model naturally
follow the hierarchical structure of the quark masses and therefore any $\bar{q} q^{\prime} H$ coupling should experience the following structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{q q^{\prime} H} \sim \sqrt{m_{q} m_{q^{\prime}}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

indicating that the larger couplings can be expected in the FCNC interactions of a topquark with the Higgs field. The large production rate of the top quarks at the LHC allows one to look for a transition of the top quark to a quark of a different flavor but same charge, $t \rightarrow c H$ (and $t \rightarrow u H$ ), as no symmetry prohibits this decay. The SM branching ratio of this process is extremely small, of the order $\operatorname{BR}(t \rightarrow c H)_{\mathrm{SM}} \approx 10^{-15}$ [2, 3], which is many orders of magnitude smaller than the value to be measured at the LHC at 14 TeV . Therefore an affirmative observation of the process $t \rightarrow q H$, well above the SM rate, will be a conclusive indication of new physics beyond the SM.

The probing of FCNC couplings in the quark sector, can be performed either at a high energy collider or indirect limits can be obtained from neutral meson oscillations $\left(K^{0}-\bar{K}^{0}\right.$, $B^{0}-\bar{B}^{0}$ and $\left.D^{0}-\bar{D}^{0}\right)[4-6]$. The $t q H$ coupling also affects the $Z \rightarrow c \bar{c}$ decay at the loop level and is therefore constrained by the electroweak precision observables of the $Z$ boson [7]. The ATLAS and the CMS collaborations have set upper limits on the flavor changing neutral currents in the top sector through the top pair production, with one top decaying to $W b$ and the other top assumed to decay to $q H$. The leptonic decay mode of the $W$ is considered and the different Higgs decay channels are analyzed, with the Higgs decaying either to two photons $[8,9]$ or to $b \bar{b}[10,11]$. Combining the analysis of the different Higgs decay channels, based at $\sqrt{s}=8 \mathrm{TeV}$ and an integrated luminosity of $20.3(19.7) \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$, the $95 \%$ CL upper limits obtained by ATLAS (CMS) [10, 12] are $\operatorname{Br}(t \rightarrow c H) \leq 4.6(4.0) \times 10^{-3}$ and $\operatorname{Br}(t \rightarrow u H) \leq 4.5(5.5) \times 10^{-3}$. On the phenomenological side the sensitivity of LHC measurements to these non-standard flavor violating couplings in the top sector has been explored in great details, considering $(a)$ the top quark pair production [13-16], $(b)$ the single top + Higgs production $[3,17]$ and $(c)$ single top +W production [18].

The analysis of the $t q H$ coupling has also been carried out in the context of the next generation $e^{-} e^{+}$linear colliders, the International Linear Collider (ILC) and the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) [19, 20]. These planned high energy $e^{-} e^{+}$colliders are expected to perform precision measurements of the top-quark and the Higgs boson. They will be able to scrutinize the couplings in the top-Higgs sector to extreme precision, making them suitable for the sensitive tests of physics beyond the SM. The baseline machine design for both colliders allows for up to $\pm 80 \%$ electron polarization, while provisions have been made to allow positron polarization of $\pm 30 \%$ as an upgrade option. Both these machines are designed to operate at centre of mass energies of 350,500 and 1000 GeV , with the possibility of CLIC to be also adapted for the 3 TeV operation. Several studies have been carried out in the context of zero beam polarization at the ILC [21, 22] in an attempt to constrain the $t q H$ vertex.

The Higgs boson within the SM couples similarly to $\bar{q}_{L} q_{R}$ and $\bar{q}_{R} q_{L}$, i.e. $y_{L R}=y_{R L}$. Most of the studies in the context of the FCNC in the Higgs sector takes into effect this consideration and assumes the similarity between the chiral couplings. In this work we have focussed on the chiral nature of the FCNC couplings and have shown how the inequality
of chiral couplings leads to distinct behaviour in the distributions of final states at linear colliders. We work in the context of initial beam polarization for both the electron and the positron, using the advantages of their adjustment for enhancing the sensitivities of the measured branching ratios and the asymmetries on the FCNC parameters. We also present the results in the case of transverse polarized beams.

It is a well known fact that by a detailed study of the top (antitop) decay products one can obtain valuable information about the top spin observables and then use them for the detailed exploration of the top quark pair production or decay dynamics to distinguish among different models of new physics ([23] and references therein). In order to maximize the top spin effects it is advisable to choose a proper spin quantization axis. At the Tevatron, where the top quark pair production was dominated by the quark-antiquark annihilation a special off-diagonal axis was shown to exist [24], making top spins $100 \%$ correlated. On the other hand, at the LHC the top quark pair production is dominated by the gluon-gluon fusion and there is no such optimal axis for this process. ${ }^{1}$ The $t \bar{t}$ production through the electron-positron annihilation at the linear colliders will be similar to the Tevatron production, therefore the top quark spins will also be maximally correlated in the off-diagonal basis. The $t, \bar{t}$ spin effects, can be analyzed in the lepton-lepton or lepton+jets final states through a number of angular distributions and correlations. The spin information is proportional to the spin analyzing power of the decay products of the top and will therefore differ from the SM one in the case of FCNC top-Higgs decay. We therefore also carry out a detailed study of the FCNC $t \rightarrow q H$ decay with different spin observables, and in different top-spin polarization basis, using both unpolarized and longitudinally polarized beams.

The outlay of the paper is as follows. We discuss in section 2, the most general FCNC lagrangian considered for our analysis. We give a brief review of the effects of initial beam polarizations in the $t \bar{t}$ production at the linear collider in section 3. The detailed analysis of the $t q H$ final state is performed in section 4 and constraints are obtained from angular asymmetries. The top spin observables in the context of different spin bases are discussed in section 5. A thorough numerical study of the process $e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \rightarrow q H^{-} \bar{b} \rightarrow$ $q b \bar{b} l^{-} \bar{\nu}_{l} \bar{b}$ including top FCNC coupling is performed in section 6, and finally we conclude in section 7 . The analytical form of the different production and decay matrices, along with the expressions for the top spin observables used for our analysis are listed in appendix A and $B$.

## 2 The flavor changing top quark coupling

We concentrate on the most general FCNC $t q H$ Lagrangian of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{t q H} & =g_{t u} \bar{t}_{R} u_{L} H+g_{u t} \bar{u}_{R} t_{L} H+g_{t c} \bar{t}_{R} c_{L} H+g_{c t} \bar{c}_{R} t_{L} H+\text { h.c. } \\
& =\bar{t}\left(g_{t q} P_{L}+g_{q t}^{*} P_{R}\right) q H+\bar{q}\left(g_{q t} P_{L}+g_{t q}^{*} P_{R}\right) t H . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]This Lagrangian gives rise to the tree-level FCNC decays $t \rightarrow H q,(q=u, c)$ with the partial decay width given as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{t \rightarrow q H}= & \frac{1}{32 \pi m_{t}^{3}} \sqrt{m_{t}^{2}-\left(m_{q}-m_{H}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{m_{t}^{2}-\left(m_{q}+m_{H}\right)^{2}} \\
& \times\left[\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)\left(m_{t}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+4 m_{t} m_{q}\left(g_{t q}^{*} g_{q t}+g_{q t}^{*} g_{t q}\right)\right] . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The SM top-quark decay is dominated by $t \rightarrow b W^{+}$and it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{t \rightarrow b W^{+}}=\frac{G_{F}}{8 \sqrt{2} \pi m_{t}^{3}}\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{W}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(m_{t}^{2}+2 m_{W}^{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We neglect the mass of the emitted quark $m_{q}$, in our analysis. The branching ratios of the top decaying in the presence of these flavor violating Yukawa couplings is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BR}(t \rightarrow q H)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2} G_{F}} \frac{\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{W}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(m_{t}^{2}+2 m_{W}^{2}\right)}\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right) \alpha_{\mathrm{QCD}}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the NLO QCD corrections to the SM decay width [26] and the $t \rightarrow c H$ decay [27] are included in the factor $\alpha_{\mathrm{QCD}}=1+0.97 \alpha_{s}=1.10[17]$. The total decay width of the top in the presence of these FCNC couplings is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{t}=\Gamma_{t}^{\mathrm{SM}}+\Gamma_{t \rightarrow q_{H}} \approx \Gamma_{t}^{\mathrm{SM}}+0.155\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\Gamma_{t}^{\mathrm{SM}}=\Gamma_{t \rightarrow W^{+}}=1.35 \mathrm{GeV}$ for $m_{t}=173.3 \mathrm{GeV}$ at NLO, while the experimentally observed value of the total top-quark width is, $\Gamma_{t}=1.41_{-0.15}^{+0.19} \mathrm{GeV}$ [28]. The additional FCNC decay processes give positive contributions to $\Gamma_{t}$, proportional to $\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)$ and from the experimentally observed $\Gamma_{t}$ an upper bound on $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}$ can be obtained. These flavor changing couplings can also lead to the three body decay $h \rightarrow t^{*}(\rightarrow$ $\left.W^{+} b\right) \bar{q}$, where top is produced off-shell and $q=u$, $c$. Then total width of the Higgs gets modified and the couplings $g_{t q}, g_{q t}$ can be independently constrained from the measurement of the Higgs decay width at the LHC [14].

## 3 Polarized beams in $t \bar{t}$ production at the $e^{-} e^{+}$linear collider

The most general formula for the matrix element square $\left|T_{e^{-} e^{+}}\right|^{2}$ for arbitrary polarized $e^{-} e^{+}$beams producing a $t \bar{t}$ pair is given in refs. [29, 30]. However for the annihilation process with massless electron and positron, the helicity of the electron has to be opposite to that of the positron, and the final formula is reduced to the form,

$$
\begin{align*}
&|T|^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L}\right)\left(1+P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left|T_{e_{L}^{-} e_{R}^{+}}\right|^{2}+\left(1+P_{e^{-}}^{L}\right)\left(1-P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left|T_{e_{R}^{-}}{ }_{L}^{+}\right|^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+P_{e^{-}}^{T} P_{e^{+}}^{T} \operatorname{Re}\left[e^{-i\left(\alpha_{-}+\alpha_{+}\right)} T_{e_{R}^{-} e_{L}^{+}} T_{e_{L}^{-} e_{R}^{+}}^{*}+e^{i\left(\alpha_{-}+\alpha_{+}\right)} T_{e_{L}^{-} e_{R}^{+}} T_{e_{R}^{-} e_{L}^{+}}^{*}\right]\right\}, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{e_{\lambda_{1}}^{-} e_{\lambda_{2}}^{+}}$is the helicity amplitude for the process under consideration, and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are the helicities of the electron and the positron, respectively. $P_{e \mp}^{L}$ is the degree of the longitudinal polarization and $P_{e^{\mp}}^{T}$ is the transversal polarization for the electrons and positrons.

The $\alpha_{\mp}$ refers to the angle of polarization of the electron and the positron, respectively. The polarizations of the electron and the positron at the linear colliders are independent and can be arbitrarily changed. The proposed linear colliders (ILC and CLIC) assume that the following polarizations can be achieved ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e^{-}}^{L, T}= \pm 80 \%, P_{e^{+}}^{L, T}= \pm 30 \% . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it was shown in ref. [30], if one is interested in the $\phi_{t}$ (azimuthal angle of the top quark) dependence of the cross section, instead of discussing $\phi_{t}$ dependence directly, it is simpler to study $\alpha_{\mp}$ dependence, since the latter is explicit in above. It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left|\left\langle f\left(\phi_{t}, \ldots\right)\right| T\right| e^{-}\left(\alpha_{-}\right) e^{+}\left(\alpha_{+}\right)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}=\left|\left\langle f\left(\phi_{t}=0, \ldots\right)\right| T\right| e^{-}\left(\alpha_{-}-\phi_{t}\right) e^{+}\left(\alpha_{+}-\phi_{t}\right)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the rotational invariance with respect to the beam direction, i.e. the rotation of the final state by $\phi_{t}$ is equivalent to the rotation of the initial state by $-\phi_{t}$. With this assumption eq. (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
|T|^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L}\right)\left(1+P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left|T_{e_{L}^{-} e_{R}^{+}}\right|^{2}+\right. & \left(1+P_{e^{-}}^{L}\right)\left(1-P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left|T_{e_{R}^{-} e_{L}^{+}}\right|^{2} \\
& \left.-2 P_{e^{-}}^{T} P_{e^{+}}^{T} \operatorname{Re~e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\eta-2 \phi_{\mathrm{t}}\right.} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{R}}^{-} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{L}}^{-} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{R}}^{+}}\right\}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta=\alpha_{-}+\alpha_{+}$. The effects of various beam polarizations in above will be discussed in the following.

## 4 Analysis of the $t q H$ final state at the $e^{-} e^{+}$linear collider

We study the $t \bar{t}$ production in the context of the $e^{-} e^{+}$linear collider, where one of the top decays to $W b$, and the other decays to $q(u, c) H$ and the leptonic decay mode of the $W$ boson is considered:

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{-}\left(p_{1}\right)+e^{+}\left(p_{2}\right) \rightarrow & t\left(q_{1}\right)+\bar{t}\left(q_{2}\right), \\
& t\left(q_{1}\right) \rightarrow q\left(p_{q}\right)+H, \quad \bar{t}\left(q_{2}\right) \rightarrow \bar{b}\left(p_{b}\right)+l^{+}\left(p_{l}\right)+\nu\left(p_{\nu}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We first consider the leading order spin dependent differential cross-section of the top pair production in a generic basis. The total phase space is split into the product of the differential cross-section for the $t \bar{t}$ production, the three-particle decay of the antitop quark and the two-particle decay of the top quark, with the Higgs decaying to $b \bar{b}$. We first do the analysis considering the decay of $t$ to $q H$ and the inclusive decay of $\bar{t}$. In an attempt to make a comparative study, we also consider the $t \bar{t}$ production, with the SM decay of top to $W^{+} b$, and the inclusive decay of $\bar{t}$. This SM process will be a background for the $t q H$ final state, with the $H$ and the $W$ decaying hadronically. Since the analysis is being similar for

[^1]both, the considered signal and the SM background, we only discuss the calculation of the signal in details. The differential cross section in the centre of mass frame becomes
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
d \sigma=\frac{1}{2 s} \int & \int \frac{d s_{1}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\left(\left(s_{1}-m_{t}^{2}\right)^{2}+\Gamma_{t}^{2} m_{t}^{2}\right)} \times\left|\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{2}\right| \\
& \left.\times(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}-p_{1}-p_{2}\right) \frac{d^{3} q_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{1}} \frac{d^{3} q_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{2}} \quad \quad \text { [production of } \mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t}}\right] \\
& \left.\times(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}\left(p_{q}+p_{H}-q_{1}\right) \frac{d^{3} p_{q}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{q}} \frac{d^{3} p_{H}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{H}} \quad \text { [decay of } \mathrm{t}\right] \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $\sqrt{s}$ is the centre of mass energy and $s_{1}=\left(p_{q}+p_{H}\right)^{2}$. The energies of the produced top and the antitop are given by $E_{1}, E_{2}$, whereas the energies of the decay products are denoted by $E_{q}$ and $E_{H}$. For these decays, in the center of mass frame and in the narrow width approximation, we can express the elements of the phase space in (4.2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \frac{d s_{1}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\left(\left(s_{1}-m_{t}^{2}\right)^{2}+\Gamma_{t}^{2} m_{t}^{2}\right)}=\int \frac{d s_{1}}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi}{m_{t} \Gamma_{t}} \delta\left(s_{1}-m_{t}^{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 m_{t} \Gamma_{t}}  \tag{4.3}\\
\int \frac{1}{2 s}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}-p_{1}-p_{2}\right) \frac{d^{3} q_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{1}} \frac{d^{3} q_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{2}} & =\frac{3 \beta}{64 \pi^{2} s} d \cos \theta_{t} d \phi_{t}  \tag{4.4}\\
\int(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}\left(p_{q}+p_{H}-q_{1}\right) \frac{d^{3} p_{q}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{q}} \frac{d^{3} p_{H}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{H}} & =\frac{1}{2(2 \pi)^{2}} \int d \Omega_{q} \frac{\left|p_{q}\right|^{2}}{\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}\right)} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The total matrix element squared $\left|\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{2}\right|$ in eq. (4.2), is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{2}\right|=\sum_{L, R} \sum_{\left(\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}= \pm\right)} \rho_{L R, \lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}}^{P(t \bar{t})} \rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)}=\sum_{L, R} \sum_{\left(\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}= \pm\right)} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{t}}^{L, R} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{* L, R} \rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{t}}^{L, R}$ is the production helicity amplitude of the top with a given helicity $\lambda_{t}$. The helicities of the antitop are summed over. The production helicity amplitudes are listed in eqs. (A.1) of appendix A.1. The decay matrix of the top quark is defined as $\rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)}=$ $\mathcal{M}\left(\lambda_{t}\right) \mathcal{M}^{*}\left(\lambda_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ and for $t \rightarrow q H$ the explicit expressions in the rest frame of the top, as well as in the centre of mass frame are given in appendix A.2. For the top decaying to $W^{+} b$ the spin density matrix $\rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)}$, is given in appendix A.3, for both the top rest frame and the centre of mass frame.

We have performed our calculations, in the frame where the electron beam direction is in the positive $z$ direction, with the top emitted at a polar angle $\theta_{t}$ and the quark emitted in the top decay makes a polar $\theta_{q}$ angle with the electron beam, as shown in figure 1 . The four-vector in the rest frame of the top are related to the c.m. frame by the following boost and the rotation matrices (the boost matrix is along the $z$ direction, whereas the rotation matrix is applied along the $y$ axis):

$$
q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{4.7}\\
0 & \cos \theta_{t} & 0 & \sin \theta_{t} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -\sin \theta_{t} & 0 & \cos \theta_{t}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma & 0 & 0 & \gamma \beta \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\gamma \beta & 0 & 0 & \gamma
\end{array}\right) q_{1}^{\mathrm{top}}
$$



Figure 1. The coordinate system in the colliding $e^{-} e^{+}$centre of mass frame. The $y$-axis is chosen along the $p_{1}\left(e^{-}\right) \times q_{1}(t)$ direction and is pointing towards the observer. The coordinate systems in the $t$ and $\bar{t}$ rest frames are obtained from it by rotation along the $x$ axis and then boost along the $y$ axis.
where $q_{1}^{\text {top }}$ is defined in the rest frame of the top. The momentum four-vectors in the c.m frame are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(1,0,0,1) \\
q_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}\left(1, \beta \sin \theta_{t}, 0, \beta \cos \theta_{t}\right) & p_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(1,0,0,-1) \\
p_{q}=\left(E_{q}, E_{q} \sin \theta_{q} \cos \phi_{q}, E_{q} \sin \theta_{q} \sin \phi_{q}, E_{q} \cos \theta_{q}\right) & q_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}\left(1,-\beta \sin \theta_{t}, 0,-\beta \cos \theta_{t}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The momentum of the emitted light quark $\left|p_{q}\right|$ is equal to its energy $E_{q}$ and in the c.m frame the following relations are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{q}\right| & =E_{q}=\frac{\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{s}\left(1-\beta \cos \theta_{t q}\right)} \\
\cos \theta_{t q} & =\cos \theta_{t} \cos \theta_{q}+\sin \theta_{t} \sin \theta_{q} \cos \phi_{q} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\cos \theta_{t q}$ is the angle between the top and the emitted light quark in the c.m. frame.
Combining the production and the density matrices in the narrow width approximation for $t$, we get the polar distribution of the emitted quark $q$, in the presence of the beam polarization after integrating over $\phi_{q}, \theta_{t}$, to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d s d \cos \theta_{q} d \phi_{t}}=|T|^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|T|^{2}$ is of the form given in eq. (3.4). We compute $\left|T_{e_{L}^{-}} e_{R}^{+}\right|^{2},\left|T_{e_{R}^{-}} e_{L}^{+}\right|^{2}$ for the considered process, and present them in the most general form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{e_{L}^{\mp} e_{R}^{ \pm}}\right|^{2}= & \left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)\left(a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \theta_{q}+a_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right) \\
& \pm\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)\left(b_{0}+b_{1} \cos \theta_{q}+b_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $a_{i}, b_{i}$ can be deduced from the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{e_{L}^{-} e_{R}^{+}}\right|^{2}= & \left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}\right) \frac{\pi s}{\beta}\left\{\frac { | g _ { t q } | ^ { 2 } + | g _ { q t } | ^ { 2 } } { \beta ^ { 2 } - 1 } \left[-4 A_{L} B_{L} \cos \theta_{q}\left(\beta+\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta\right)\right.\right. \\
& +\left(A_{L}^{2}+B_{L}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\left(\beta\left(2 \beta^{2}-3\right)-3\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta\right) \\
& \left.+\left(-\beta+\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta\right)\left(A_{L}^{2}+B_{L}^{2}\right)-2 \beta\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) B_{L}^{2}\right] \\
& +2\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)\left[\cos \theta_{q}\left(\left(A_{L}^{2}+B_{L}^{2}\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta-\beta B_{L}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+A_{L} B_{L}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right)\left(\beta-\tanh ^{-1} \beta\right)\right]\right\},  \tag{4.12}\\
\left|T_{e_{R}^{-} e_{L}^{+}}\right|^{2}= & \left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}\right) \frac{\pi s}{\beta}\left\{\frac { | g _ { t q } | ^ { 2 } + | g _ { q t } | ^ { 2 } } { \beta ^ { 2 } - 1 } \left[-4 A_{R} B_{R} \cos \theta_{q}\left(\beta+\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta\right)\right.\right. \\
& +\left(A_{R}^{2}+B_{R}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\left(\beta\left(2 \beta^{2}-3\right)-3\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta\right) \\
& \left.+\left(-\beta+\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \tanh -1 \beta\right)\left(A_{R}^{2}+B_{R}^{2}\right)-2 \beta\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) B_{R}^{2}\right] \\
& -2\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)\left[\cos \theta_{q}\left(\left(A_{R}^{2}+B_{R}^{2}\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta-\beta B_{R}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+A_{R} B_{R}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}\right)\left(\beta-\tanh ^{-1} \beta\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{e_{R}^{-}}^{*} e_{L}^{+} & T_{e_{L}^{-} e_{R}^{+}}= \\
& \frac{\pi s}{\beta}\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}\right)\left(\beta-\tanh ^{-1} \beta\right)\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}-1\right) \cos \left(\eta-2 \phi_{t}\right)  \tag{4.14}\\
& \times\left\{\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)\left(A_{L} A_{R}-B_{L} B_{R}\right)+\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)\left(A_{L} B_{R}-A_{R} B_{L}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{L, R}$ and $B_{L, R}$ are combinations of the standard SM $\gamma$ and $Z$ couplings with the top and the leptons in the $t \bar{t}$ production given in the eq. (A.2). The Yukawa chiral couplings, as seen from eqs. (4.12), (4.13) are both proportional to the polar angle of the emitted light quark, $\cos \theta_{q}, \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}$, but have different dependencies. The coefficients of the coupling $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}$, which measures the coupling strength of $t_{L}$ with $q_{R}$ and the Higgs, are summed in eq. (4.12), whereas the coefficients of the other chiral coupling $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ do not add up, but cancel each other partially. This is the case when the electron beam is left polarized and the positron is right polarized. This behaviour of $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ is reversed with the right polarized electrons and the left polarized positrons, as can be noticed from eq. (4.13), where the coefficients of $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ add up. Therefore, it will be possible to control the influence of particular chiral couplings with a suitable choice of beam polarization. The case of transverse polarization is also considered, although both $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2},\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ involve same angular dependencies in eq. (4.14) and therefore cannot be used for the analysis of the chirality of the FCNC couplings. It is clear from eqs. (4.12), (4.13), (4.14), that $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ cannot be isolated separately, but their effects can be individually controlled with suitable choice of beam polarization. We next study different distributions in the presence of the chiral FCNC couplings and accordingly construct asymmetries to set limits on them.

### 4.1 Constraints on the chiral FCNC couplings by angular asymmetries

Next, we perform a detailed analysis of the signal FCNC process considered, along with the standard SM background ( $t \bar{t}, t \rightarrow W b$, W decaying hadronically) and construct different asymmetries for obtaining limits on the couplings.

The total cross section for both the signal and the background, in case of the longitudinal beam polarization is

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\text {Signal }}= & \frac{\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 s \Gamma_{t} m_{t}} \frac{1}{1-\beta^{2}}\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right)\left(\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L}\right)\left(1+P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left(s \beta^{2} B_{L}^{2}+\left(2 m_{t}^{2}+s\right) A_{L}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1+P_{e^{-}}^{L}\right)\left(1-P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left(s \beta^{2} B_{R}^{2}+\left(2 m_{t}^{2}+s\right) A_{R}^{2}\right)\right),  \tag{4.15}\\
\sigma_{\text {Bkg }}= & \frac{g^{2} m_{t}}{2 s^{2} \Gamma_{t} m_{W}^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{W}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(m_{t}^{2}+2 m_{W}^{2}\right)\left(( 1 - P _ { e ^ { - } } ^ { L } ) ( 1 + P _ { e ^ { + } } ^ { L } ) \left(s \beta^{2} B_{L}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(2 m_{t}^{2}+s\right) A_{L}^{2}\right)+\left(1+P_{e^{-}}^{L}\right)\left(1-P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left(s \beta^{2} B_{R}^{2}+\left(2 m_{t}^{2}+s\right) A_{R}^{2}\right)\right), \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where again $A_{L, R}$ and $B_{L, R}$ are combinations of the $\mathrm{SM} \gamma$ and $Z$ couplings with the quarks in the $t \bar{t}$ production given in appendix A.

We have performed our analysis considering $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}=0.16$, in accordance with the latest LHC bounds [17]. The background i.e. the SM $\bar{t} W b$ contribution is scaled down, to be compared with the signal. We are currently not applying any cuts on the final state, but a detailed analysis using all the experimental cuts will be performed in section 6 .

The polar angle distribution of the emitted quark is plotted in figure 2 for both, the signal and the background, for (a) $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0$, (b) $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$ and $c$ ) $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=-0.3$. The polar angle distribution will be sensitive to the chirality of the Yukawa couplings and therefore we present our results for three different cases:

- Case 1: $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}=0.16$,
- Case 2: $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}=0.16$, with $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}=0$,
- Case 3: $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}=0.16$, with $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}=0$.

It can be clearly seen from Fig: 2, that $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ are sensitive to the beam polarization. The different Cases behave similar in the unpolarized case, figure 2(a). Case 2 is most prominent when the electron beam is left polarized and the positron is right polarized, figure 2(b), whereas Case 3 is distinct for the scenario with right polarized electrons and left polarized positrons, figure 2(c). Therefore the manifestation of the dominance of one of the coupling, if present, will be prominent using the suitable initial beam polarization.

Using the above fact that the couplings are sensitive to the polar angle distributions of the quark, we next consider different asymmetries to give simultaneous limits to both of the couplings. The $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ terms are accompanied by $\cos \theta_{q}, \quad \cos (\eta-2 \phi)$ and $\cos (\eta-2 \phi) \cos ^{2} \theta_{q}$ angular dependence. The asymmetries which will isolate these terms


Figure 2. The polar angle distribution of the quark at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, for $(a) P_{e^{-}}^{L}=P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0$, (b) $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$ and $(c) P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=-0.3$. The different Cases are discussed in the text.
are the forward-backward asymmetry and the azimuthal asymmetry defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{f b}\left(\cos \theta_{0}\right) & =\frac{1}{d \sigma / d s}\left(\int_{\cos \theta_{0}}^{1} d \cos \theta_{q}-\int_{-1}^{\cos \theta_{0}} d \cos \theta_{q}\right) \frac{d \sigma}{d s d \cos \theta_{q}}  \tag{4.17}\\
A_{\phi}\left(\cos \theta_{0}\right) & =\frac{1}{d \sigma / d s}\left(\int_{-\cos \theta_{0}}^{\cos \theta_{0}} d \cos \theta_{q} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi_{t} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\cos \left(\eta-2 \phi_{t}\right)\right)\right) \frac{d \sigma}{d s d \Omega} \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{0}$ is the experimental polar-angle cut $[31,32]$ and $\Omega=d \cos \theta_{q} d \phi_{t}$. The forwardbackward asymmetry will isolate the terms proportional to $\cos \theta_{q}$ in eqs. (4.12) and (4.13). We plot in figure 3, the forward backward asymmetry as a function of the cut-off angle $\cos \theta_{0}$. The dip in the plot is where the value of $A_{f b}\left(\cos \theta_{0}\right)$ is zero. In the presence of $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}\left(\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}=0\right)$, i.e Case 2 , with left polarized electrons and right polarized positrons, the quarks are emitted in the forward direction with the dip of $A_{f b}$ to be greater than zero, figure 3(b), whereas the other Cases almost follow the SM distribution. Similarly, with the opposite choice of beam polarization, the $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}=0\right)$ coupling leads to the quarks being emitted in the forward direction, resulting in the dip of $A_{f b}$ to be greater than zero for Case 3 in figure 3(c).


Figure 3. The forward backward asymmetry as a function of the cut-off angle $\cos \theta_{0}$ eq. (4.17) at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, for $(a) P_{e^{-}}^{L}=P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0,(b) P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$ and $(c) P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=-0.3$. The different Cases are discussed in the text.

Next, we plot the azimuthal asymmetry $A_{\phi}\left(\cos \theta_{0}\right)$ as a function of $\cos \theta_{0}$ in figure 4. The terms proportional to $\cos \left(\eta-2 \phi_{t}\right)$ in eq. (4.14) survive. We have considered $\eta=0$ for our analysis and $P_{e^{-}}^{T}=0.8$ and $P_{e^{+}}^{T}=0.3$. The distribution is similar for the signal and the background, therefore this will not be an useful observable. ${ }^{3}$

We compute the limits on the FCNC couplings from the measurement of the forwardbackward asymmetry, of $e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow t \bar{t}, t \rightarrow b W^{+}$in the SM. The statistical fluctuation in the asymmetry ( $A$ ), for a given luminosity $\mathcal{L}$ and fractional systematic error $\epsilon$, is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta A^{2}=\frac{1-A^{2}}{\sigma \mathcal{L}}+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2}\left(1-A^{2}\right)^{2}, \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ and $A$ are the values of the cross section and the asymmetry. The value of $\epsilon$ is set to zero for our analysis. We define the statistical significance of an asymmetry prediction for the new physics, $A_{\mathrm{FCNC}}$, as the number of standard deviations that it lies away from the SM result $A_{\mathrm{SM}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{\left|A_{\mathrm{FCNC}}-A_{\mathrm{SM}}\right|}{\Delta A_{\mathrm{SM}}}, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]

Figure 4. The azimuthal asymmetry $A_{\phi}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ as a function of $\cos \theta_{0}$ eq. (4.18) at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, for the transversal polarizations $P_{e^{-}}^{T}=0.8$ and $P_{e^{+}}^{T}=0.6$. The different Cases are discussed in the text.


Figure 5. Contour plots of $3 \sigma$ and $5 \sigma$ statistical significance in the $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2} \mid$ region from $A_{f b}$ for $\theta_{0}=0$, eq. (4.17) at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The solid lines are for the unpolarized case, the dashed lines are for a beam polarization of (a) $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3(b) P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}$ $=-0.3$. Region in blue will be probed at $5 \sigma$ and the green+blue area will be explored at $3 \sigma$ with unpolarized beams. The inclusion of the beam polarization probes yellow+green+blue area at $5 \sigma$ and pink+yellow+green+blue at $3 \sigma$. The region which can not be probed by ILC with this choice of beam polarization is shown in grey.
where $A_{\mathrm{FCNC}}$ is the asymmetry calculated for the process $e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow t(\rightarrow c H) \bar{t}$. We show in figure 5 the $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ region, which can be probed at a statistical significance of $3 \sigma$ and $5 \sigma$, with both unpolarized and polarized beams. The outside area surrounding solid lines can be probed with unpolarized beams and the outside area surrounding dashed lines can be probed with a beam polarization of $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$ (figure 5(a)), $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=-0.3$ (figure $5(\mathrm{~b})$ ). Obviously, the inclusion of the beam polarization can probe a greater region of the $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ parameter space. The $\cos \theta_{q}$ terms in eqs. (4.12)(4.13) cancel each other in case of unpolarized beams. The region in grey is the one, which cannot be explored by ILC with this choice of the beam polarization.

Now we turn to the discussion of different top spin observables which can be used to study the FCNC couplings.

## 5 Top spin observables at the ILC

We investigate in this section the top spin polarization in the context of the linear collider, as the spin information of the decaying top is not diluted by hadronization. In an attempt to understand the top spin correlations, we work in the zero momentum frame ( $t \bar{t}-\mathrm{ZMF}$ ) [33] of the $t \bar{t}$ quarks, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{\mu}=\left(\sqrt{\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}}, 0,0,0\right) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $t$ and the $\bar{t}$ rest frames are then obtained, by boosting (no rotation is involved) into the $t \bar{t}$-ZMF. This is different from the laboratory frame considered before in section 4, where the electron beam is chosen along the $z$ axis, and the $t$ and the $\bar{t}$ rest frames were constructed by boosting from the lab frame along with a suitable Wigner rotation.

The top quark pair production at $O\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}\right)$ is given by a direct production with the $\gamma$ and $Z$ exchange:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-}\left(p_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) e^{+}\left(p_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\gamma, Z} t\left(q_{1}, s_{t}\right) \bar{t}\left(q_{2}, s_{\bar{t}}\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spin four-vectors of the top, $s_{t}$ and the antitop, $s_{\bar{t}}$ satisfy the usual relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{t}^{2}=s_{\bar{t}}^{2}=-1, \quad k_{1} \cdot s_{t}=k_{2} \cdot s_{\bar{t}}=0 . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leading order differential cross section for the $t \bar{t}$ production, in the presence of longitudinal polarization eq. (3.4), has the phase space factor eq. (4.4) and can be written in the spin density matrix representation as

$$
\begin{align*}
d \sigma\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, s_{t}, s_{\bar{t}}\right) & =\frac{3 \beta}{32 \pi s}|\mathcal{T}|^{2}, \\
|\mathcal{T}|^{2} & =\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho \cdot\left(\mathbf{1}+\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t} \cdot \sigma\right) \otimes\left(\mathbf{1}+\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\bar{t}} \cdot \sigma\right)\right] . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above equation, $\rho=\rho^{P(t t)}$ is the corresponding production spin density matrix describing the production of (on-shell) top quark pairs in a specific spin configuration, while $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t}\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\bar{t}}\right)$ is the unit polarization vector of the top (antitop) quark in its rest frame and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=$ $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)^{T}$ is a vector of Pauli matrices. Conveniently, the most general decomposition of the spin density matrix $\rho$ for the $t \bar{t}$ production is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=A \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}+B_{i}^{t} \sigma_{i} \otimes \mathbf{1}+B_{i}^{\bar{t}} \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_{i}+C_{i j} \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $A, B_{i}^{t}\left(B_{i}^{\bar{t}}\right)$ and $C_{i j}$ describe the spin-averaged production cross section, polarization of top (antitop) quark and the top-antitop spin-spin correlations, respectively. Using the spin four-vectors defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{t}^{\mu}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t}}{m_{t}}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t}+\frac{\mathbf{q}_{1}\left(\mathbf{q}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t}\right)}{m_{t}\left(E_{t}+m_{t}\right)}\right), \\
& s_{\bar{t}}^{\mu}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_{2} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\bar{t}}}{m_{t}}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\bar{t}}+\frac{\mathbf{q}_{2}\left(\mathbf{q}_{2} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\bar{t}}\right)}{m_{t}\left(E_{\bar{t}}+m_{t}\right)}\right), \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

the decomposition of the squared scattering amplitude $|\mathcal{T}|^{2}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{T}|^{2}=a+b_{\mu}^{t} s_{t}^{\mu}+b_{\mu}^{\bar{t}} s_{\bar{t}}^{\mu}+c_{\mu \nu} s_{t}^{\mu} s_{\bar{t}}^{\nu}, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by comparing expressions (5.5) and (5.7) one can extract the functions $A, B_{i}^{t}\left(B_{i}^{\bar{t}}\right)$ and $C_{i j}$. The functions $B_{i}^{t}\left(B_{i}^{\bar{t}}\right)$ and $C_{i j}$ can be further decomposed as

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{i}^{t} & =b_{p}^{t} \hat{p}_{i}+b_{q}^{t} \hat{q}_{i}, \\
C_{i j} & =c_{o} \delta_{i j}+c_{4} \hat{p}_{i} \hat{p}_{j}+c_{5} \hat{q}_{i} \hat{q}_{j}+c_{6}\left(\hat{p}_{i} \hat{q}_{j}+\hat{q}_{i} \hat{p}_{j}\right), \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{k}$ denotes the unit vector, and we have kept only nonvanishing terms for our case. ${ }^{4}$
The various top spin observables $\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{i}\right\rangle$ can then be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{i}\left(\mathbf{S}_{t}, \mathbf{S}_{\bar{t}}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sigma} \int d \Phi_{t \bar{t}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho \cdot \mathcal{O}_{i}\left(\mathbf{S}_{t}, \mathbf{S}_{\bar{t}}\right)\right] \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=\int d \Phi_{t \bar{t}} \operatorname{Tr}[\rho]$ is the unpolarized production cross-section, $d \Phi_{t \bar{t}}$ is the phase space differential and $\mathbf{S}_{t}=\boldsymbol{\sigma} / 2 \otimes \mathbf{1}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\bar{t}}=\mathbf{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma} / 2\right)$ is the top (antitop) spin operator. We consider the following spin observables

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O}_{1}=\frac{4}{3} \mathbf{S}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{t}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{2}=\mathbf{S}_{t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{3}=4\left(\mathbf{S}_{t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}_{\bar{t}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}\right) \\
& \mathcal{O}_{4}=4\left(\left(\left(\mathbf{S}_{t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}_{\bar{t}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{S}_{t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}_{\bar{t}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}\right)\right),\right. \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

giving the net spin polarization of the top-antitop system $\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$, polarization of the top (antitop) quark $\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2}\right)\right)$, the top-antitop spin correlation $\left(\mathcal{O}_{3}\right)$, with respect to spin quantization axes $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$. The observable $\mathcal{O}_{4}$ is an additional top-antitop spin correlation with respect to the momentum of the incoming and the outgoing particles [38].

The observable $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ can be probed using the opening angle distribution $(\varphi)$, i.e. the angle between the direction of flight of the two (top and antitop) spin analyzers (which are the final particles produced in the top and antitop decays) defined in the $t$ and $\bar{t}$ frames, respectively, i.e $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{l}=\cos \varphi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d \sigma}{d \cos \varphi}=\frac{1}{2}(1-D \cos \varphi), \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\right\rangle \kappa_{f} \kappa_{\bar{f}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{f}\left(\kappa_{\bar{f}}\right)$ are the top, antitop spin analyzers considered here. The spin analyzer for the FCNC top-Higgs decays can be either a direct $t$-quark daughter, i.e. $H$ or $c / u$-quark,

[^3]or $H$ decay products like $b$ or $\bar{b}$ in $b \bar{b}$ decay, or $\tau^{+}\left(\tau^{-}\right)$in $H \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-}$decay, or jets. On the other hand, the spin analyzer for $\bar{t}$ are $W^{-}$or $\bar{b}$, or a $W^{-}$decay products $l^{-}, \bar{\nu}$ or jets. We consider the $q=c / u$ quark from the top and the $l^{-}$from the antitop as spin analyzers in this work. The spin analyzers are calculated from the one-particle decay density matrices given as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{t \rightarrow f(\bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{f})}=\Gamma^{t \rightarrow f(\bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{f})}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{1}+\kappa_{f(\bar{f})} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{f(\bar{f})} \cdot \sigma\right)\right]_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ denote the $t$-quark spin orientations, $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{f}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{\bar{f}}$ are the directions of flight of the final particles $f$ and $\bar{f}$ in the rest frame of the top and the antitop quarks respectively. The values of various $\kappa_{f(\bar{f})}$ for SM top (antitop) decays are presently known at NLO in QCD and can be found in [39, 40]. The top quark polarization matrix can be also written as

$$
\rho_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{t \rightarrow f}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\kappa_{f} \cos \theta_{f}^{\mathrm{top}} & \kappa_{f} \sin \theta_{f}^{\mathrm{top}} e^{i \phi_{f}^{\mathrm{top}}}  \tag{5.14}\\
\kappa_{f} \sin \theta_{f}^{\mathrm{top}} e^{-i \phi_{f}^{\mathrm{top}}} & 1-\kappa_{f} \cos \theta_{f}^{\mathrm{top}}
\end{array}\right)_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}
$$

and similarly for the antitop spin matrix $\rho^{\bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{f}}$. The top spin analyzing power of $q\left(\kappa_{q}\right)$ from the $t \rightarrow H q$ decay can be calculated from eq. (A.4), in appendix A.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{q}=\frac{\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}}{\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the spin analyzing power for the $b$ quark $\left(\kappa_{b}\right)$, from the top decay to $W^{+} b$ can be obtained from eqs. (A.6), in appendix A.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{b}=\frac{m_{t}^{2}-2 m_{W}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}+2 m_{W}^{2}} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Leptons emitted from the antitop decay, due to the $V-A$ interactions are the perfect top spin analyzers (eqs. (A.7), in appendix A.3) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\bar{f}}=\kappa_{l}=1, \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

at LO QCD ( $\alpha_{s}$ corrections are negligible [39, 40]), with their flight directions being $100 \%$ correlated with the directions of the top spin. It is clear from eq. (5.15) that with $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2} \simeq$ $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}$, the spin information of the top is lost ( $\kappa_{q} \approx 0$ ). However in the presence or dominance of only one of the coupling, the emitted quark acts as a perfect spin analyzer ( $\kappa_{q} \approx 1$ ).

The top (antitop)-quark polarization and spin-spin correlations can be measured using the double differential angular distribution of the top and antitop quark decay products:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \cos \theta_{f} d \cos \theta_{\bar{f}}}=\frac{1}{4}\left(1+B_{t} \cos \theta_{f}+B_{\bar{t}} \cos \theta_{\bar{f}}-C \cos \theta_{f} \cos \theta_{\bar{f}}\right), \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{f}\left(\theta_{\bar{f}}\right)$ is the angle between the direction of the top (antitop) spin analyzer $f,(\bar{f})$ in the $t(\bar{t})$ rest frame and the $\hat{\mathbf{a}}(\hat{\mathbf{b}})$ direction in the $t \bar{t}$-ZMF, cf. [33]. Comparing eq. (5.18), with eq. (5.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{t} & =\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}\right\rangle \kappa_{f}, \quad B_{\bar{t}}=\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2}\right\rangle \kappa_{\bar{f}}, \\
C & =\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{3}\right\rangle \kappa_{f} \kappa_{\bar{f}} . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{2}$ are related to the top, antitop spin polarization coefficients $B_{t}$ and $B_{\bar{t}}$. Since there is no CP violation in our case, we consider $B \equiv B_{t}=\mp B_{\bar{t}}$ for $\hat{\mathbf{a}}= \pm \hat{\mathbf{b}}$. This limit is a good approximation for the charged leptons from $W$ decays [39, 40]. The spin observable $\mathcal{O}_{3}$ is also related to the spin correlation function $C_{i j}$ in eq. (5.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\sigma_{t \bar{t}}(\uparrow \uparrow)+\sigma_{t \bar{t}}(\downarrow \downarrow)-\sigma_{t \bar{t}}(\uparrow \downarrow)-\sigma_{t \bar{t}}(\downarrow \uparrow)}{\sigma_{t \bar{t}}(\uparrow \uparrow)+\sigma_{t \bar{t}}(\downarrow \downarrow)+\sigma_{t \bar{t}}(\uparrow \downarrow)+\sigma_{t \bar{t}}(\downarrow \uparrow)}, \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the arrows refer to the up and down spin orientations of the top and the antitop quark with respect to the $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ quantization axes, respectively.
Also $\mathcal{O}_{4}$ gets corrected by $\kappa_{f} \kappa_{\bar{f}}$ depending on the final particles measured from the $t$ and $\bar{t}$ decays.

The arbitrary unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ specify different spin quantization axes which can be chosen to maximize/minimize the desired polarization and the correlation effects. We work with the following choices:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\mathbf{a}}=-\hat{\mathbf{b}}=\hat{\mathbf{q}}, & \text { ("helicity" basis), } \\
\hat{\mathbf{a}}=\hat{\mathbf{b}}=\hat{\mathbf{p}}, & (\text { "beamline" basis), } \\
\hat{\mathbf{a}}=\hat{\mathbf{b}}=\hat{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{X}, & (\text { "off }- \text { diagonal" basis (specific for some model X)) }, \\
\hat{\mathbf{a}}=\hat{\mathbf{b}}=\hat{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{X} & (\text { "minimal" basis (specific for some model X)) } \tag{5.21}
\end{array}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ is the direction of the incoming beam and $\hat{\mathbf{q}}=\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{1}$ is the direction of the outgoing top quark, both in the $t \bar{t}$ center of mass frame. The off-diagonal basis [24] is the one, where the top spins are $100 \%$ correlated and is given by quantizing the spins along the axis $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathrm{SM}}$ determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathrm{SM}}=\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathrm{SM}}^{\max }=\frac{-\hat{\mathbf{p}}+(1-\gamma) z \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{1}}{\sqrt{1-\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right) z^{2}}}, \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{1}=\cos \theta$ and $\gamma=E_{t} / m_{t}=1 / \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}$ and which interpolates between the beamline basis at the threshold $(\gamma \rightarrow 1)$ and the helicity basis for ultrarelativistic energies $(\gamma \rightarrow \infty)$. We would like to point out here that this off-diagonal basis $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathrm{SM}}$ is specific to the SM $t \bar{t}$ production, but a general procedure for finding such an off-diagonal basis is given in $[41,42]$. The idea is to determine the maximal eigenvalue of the matrix function $C_{i j}$ in eq. (5.5) and the corresponding eigenvector, which provides the off-diagonal quantization axis $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{X}$, for any model X [23].

Here we introduce the complementary basis to the "off-diagonal" one, $\hat{e}_{S M}$, where the eigenvector corresponds to the minimal eigenvalue of $C_{i j}$ in the SM quark-antiquark production. The correlation of the top-antitop spins in this basis is minimal. This axis could be useful in the new model searches since the minimization of the top-antitop correlations in the SM can, in principle, enhance the non-SM physics. The 'minimal basis' is defined by the axis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{SM}}=\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{SM}}^{\min }=\frac{-\gamma z \hat{\mathbf{p}}+\left(1-\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right) z^{2}\right) \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{1}}{\sqrt{\left(1-z^{2}\right)\left(1-\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right) z^{2}\right)}} . \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6. The top quark spin vector $s_{t}$ in the $t \bar{t}$ production in $t$ rest frame, with the direction of $s_{t}$ given by an angle $\xi$. The angle $\xi$ is measured in the clockwise direction from the $\bar{t}$ momenta.

The 'off-diagonal' and the 'minimal' basis define the angle $\xi$ between the top-quark spin vector and the antitop direction in the top-quark rest frame [25], shown in figure 6 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \xi^{\mathrm{off}(=\max )}=\frac{\tan \theta_{t}}{\gamma}, \quad \tan \xi^{\min }=\frac{\gamma}{\tan \theta_{t}} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{2} \xi^{\min }+\cos ^{2} \xi^{\mathrm{off}(=\max )}=1 \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

as expected. As already stated, such axes which minimize or maximize spin correlations can be constructed for any model.

The analytical form of the observables defined in eq. (5.10), is listed in appendix B for the $\mathrm{SM} t \bar{t}$ production in the presence of longitudinal polarization. The observables $\mathcal{O}_{i},(i=$ $1,2,3,4)$ are then multiplied with the appropriate $\kappa$ factors. The QCD radiative corrections for all the top spin observables considered here are calculated in [38] and it is shown to be small. Also recently it has been shown that the $O\left(\alpha_{S}\right)$ corrections to the maximal spin-spin correlations in the off-diagonal basis are negligible [37]. Therefore we neglect them all in our calculations.

Next, we present the results for spin correlations and top (antitop)-quark polarizations in the helicity basis $\left(C_{\text {hel }}, B_{\text {hel }}\right)$, beamline basis $\left(C_{\text {beam }}, B_{\text {beam }}\right)$, off-diagonal ( $\left.C_{\text {off }}, B_{\text {off }}\right)$ and the minimally polarized basis $\left(C_{\min }, B_{\min }\right)$, as defined by eqs. (5.21), (5.22) and (5.23) respectively, and check for their sensitivity to the initial beam polarization. These results are presented in the absence of cuts, realistic cuts severely distort the non-zero coefficients of eq. (5.11) and eq. (5.18). The observable $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ as seen from eq. (B.1), is equal to 1 and is therefore independent of beam polarization. However, it is dependent on the value of $\kappa_{f}$.

In table 1 we present the values of the different spin observables in the different spin basis considered here, in the presence of beam polarizations. We have considered the case, when the antitop is decaying to lepton $\left(\kappa_{\bar{f}}=1\right), \kappa_{f}=\kappa_{q}$, eq. (5.15) for the FCNC top decay, and $\kappa_{f}=\kappa_{b}$, eq. (5.16) for the top decaying to $W^{+} b$. We note that the top (antitop) spin polarizations are quite sensitive to the beam polarization, while this is not the case for the spin-spin correlations $\mathcal{O}_{3}, \mathcal{O}_{4}$ where the influence of the beam polarizations gets diluted,

| Observables | Basis | $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0$ | $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=-0.3$ | $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ |  | $0.333 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.333 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.333 \kappa_{f}$ |
| $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ | hel | $-0.076 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.247 \kappa_{f}$ | $-0.239 \kappa_{f}$ |
|  | beam | $-0.174 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.344 \kappa_{f}$ | $-0.436 \kappa_{f}$ |
|  | off | $0.176 \kappa_{f}$ | $-0.351 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.443 \kappa_{f}$ |
|  | min | $0.04 \kappa_{f}$ | $-0.131 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.127 \kappa_{f}$ |
| $\mathcal{O}_{3}$ | hel | $-0.654 \kappa_{f}$ | $-0.666 \kappa_{f}$ | $-0.648 \kappa_{f}$ |
|  | beam | $0.881 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.852 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.897 \kappa_{f}$ |
|  | off | $0.911 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.886 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.924 \kappa_{f}$ |
| $\mathcal{O}_{4}$ | min | $0.224 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.229 \kappa_{f}$ | $0.222 \kappa_{f}$ |

Table 1. The value of the spin observables in different bases, with different choices of initial beam polarization. $\kappa_{f}=\kappa_{q}$ for FCNC $t$-decays and $\kappa_{f}=\kappa_{b}$ for $t \rightarrow W^{+} b$.
see eqs. (B.6)-(B.10). Also note that all observables are proportional to $\kappa_{f}=\kappa_{q}$ and will be equal to zero if $g_{t q}$ and $g_{q t}$ are equal.

## 6 Numerical analysis of the $\mathrm{FCNC} \boldsymbol{g}_{t q}, g_{q t}$ couplings at the ILC

In this section we perform a detailed numerical simulation of the FCNC interactions in the $t \rightarrow q H$ decay at the ILC. As before, the process we consider is the top pair production, with the top decaying to $q H$, the antitop decaying to $W^{-} \bar{b}$ with the $W^{-}$decaying leptonically and subsequently the Higgs decaying to a $b \bar{b}$ pair. The main background for the process under study comes from the $t \bar{t}$ pair production, with one of the top decaying hadronically and the other decaying to a lepton, $\nu$ and a $b$ quark. We have performed our calculations, by first generating the Universal Feynrules Output (UFO) model file using FeynRules 2.3 [43], including the effective interaction, defined in eq. (2.1). The UFO file is then implemented in MadGraph 5 v2.4.2 [44, 45], for Monte Carlo simulation. We also employ Pythia 8 [46] for parton showering and hadronization along with Fastjet-3.2.0 [47] for the jet formation. The cross section of the signal and the background, at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, before the application of the event selection criteria is listed in table 2.

We now describe in details the different cuts and conditions considered for our analysis. Since the top from the $t q H$ final state decays to $W b$, the lepton from the $W$, tends to be energetic and isolated. Therefore firstly the events with one isolated lepton are selected, through the lepton isolation cut. An isolated lepton is identified, by demanding that the scalar sum of the energy of all the stable particles within the cone of $\Delta R=\sqrt{\Delta \eta^{2}+\Delta \phi^{2}} \leq$ 0.2 about the lepton is less than $\sqrt{6\left(E_{l}-15\right)}$ [48], where $E_{l}$ is the energy of the lepton. Furthermore, the transverse momenta of the leptons are assumed as $p_{T}>10 \mathrm{GeV}$. The events with more than one isolated lepton are discarded. The remaining stable visible particles of the event, are then clustered into four jets using the inbuilt $k_{t}$ algorithm in FastJet for $e^{-} e^{+}$collisions, which is similar to the Durham algorithm. The reconstructed

|  | $\sigma(\mathrm{fb})$ | $\sigma(\mathrm{fb})$ | $\sigma(\mathrm{fb})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow t \bar{t}$ | $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0$ | $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$ | $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=-0.3$ |
| signal: |  |  |  |
| $t \rightarrow q b \bar{b}, \bar{t} \rightarrow l^{-} \bar{\nu}_{l} \bar{b}$ | $73.4\left(\left\|g_{t q}\right\|^{2}+\left\|g_{q t}\right\|^{2}\right)$ | $120.5\left(\left\|g_{t q}\right\|^{2}+\left\|g_{q t}\right\|^{2}\right)$ | $62\left(\left\|g_{t q}\right\|^{2}+\left\|g_{q t}\right\|^{2}\right)$ |
| background: |  |  |  |
| $t \rightarrow q_{1} q_{2} b, \bar{t} \rightarrow l^{-} \bar{\nu}_{l} \bar{b}$ | 74.5 | 124.7 | 58.9 |

Table 2. The production cross section of the signal and the background at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$. The results are presented for both the polarized and the unpolarized beams.
jets and the isolated lepton are combined to form the intermediate heavy states. The three jets with the highest $b$ tagging probability are considered as the $b$ jets. A jet is tagged as a $b$ jet if it has a $b$ parton within a cone of $\Delta R<0.4$ with the jet axis. A tagging efficiency of $80 \%$ [49] is further incorporated. The jets are checked for isolation and are expected to have $p_{T}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$. The momentum of the neutrino is calculated by summing over all the visible momenta and the energy of the neutrino is assigned the magnitude of its momenta vector. The isolated lepton and the neutrino reconstructs the leptonically decaying $W$ boson.

There will be three $b$ tagged jets and a non $b$ jet in the final state and therefore three possible combinations to reconstruct the Higgs mass from the $b$ tagged jets. Additionally one of this pair of $b$ jets reconstructing the Higgs mass, along with the the non $b$ jet should give an invariant mass close to $m_{t}$. We choose the combination of the jets, which minimizes the quantity $\left|m_{b_{i} b_{j}}-m_{H}\right|^{2}+\left|m_{b_{i} b_{j} Q}-m_{t}\right|^{2}$, with $i, j$ taking values for various combinations of the $b$ jets and $Q$ is the non- $b$ jet. The reconstructed Higgs mass is given by $m_{b_{i} b_{j}}$, and the reconstructed top mass is denoted by $m_{b_{i} b_{j} Q}$. In order to account for the detector resolution, we have smeared the leptons and the jets using the following parametrization. The jet energies are smeared [50] with the different contributions being added in quadrature,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma\left(E_{j e t}\right)}{E_{j e t}}=\frac{0.4}{\sqrt{E_{j e t}}} \oplus 2.5 \% \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The momentum of the lepton is smeared as a function of the momentum and the angle $\cos \theta$ of the emitted leptons [51]

$$
\frac{\sigma\left(P_{l}\right)}{P_{l}^{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} \oplus \frac{b_{1}}{P_{l}}, & \left|\cos \theta_{l}\right|<0.78  \tag{6.2}\\
\left(a_{2} \oplus \frac{b_{2}}{P_{l}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sin \left(1-\left|\cos \theta_{l}\right|\right)}\right) & \left|\cos \theta_{l}\right|>0.78
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=2.08 \times 10^{-5}(1 / \mathrm{GeV}), & 8.86 \times 10^{-4} \\
\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)=3.16 \times 10^{-6}(1 / \mathrm{GeV}), & 2.45 \times 10^{-4} \tag{6.3}
\end{array}
$$

We plot in figure 7, the reconstructed Higgs, $t$ and the $\bar{t}$ masses. The Higgs mass is reconstructed as $m_{H}^{2}=\left(p_{b}+p_{\bar{b}}\right)^{2}$, whereas the top the antitop masses are calculated as $m_{t}^{2}=$


Figure 7. The reconstructed masses of the Higgs, $t$-quark and $\bar{t}$, for the signal and the $t \bar{t}$ background, at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, with $\mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ and unpolarized beams. For the signal we have considered Case 1 from section 4.1 with $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}=0.16$.
$\left(p_{b}+p_{\bar{b}}+p_{\text {non }-b}\right)^{2}, m_{\bar{t}}^{2}=\left(p_{l^{-}}+p_{\bar{\nu}}+p_{\bar{b}}\right)^{2}$. The plots for the signal are constructed taking into account the current stringent LHC constraint on the FCNC couplings, $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}=$ 0.16. We have shown the results for Case 1, discussed in section 4.1, as the reconstructed mass will be the same for all three cases. We note that since we have not done a real detector analysis, the mass reconstruction of the $W$ boson is poor in our case, due to the presence of missing energy. Therefore a loose cut on $m_{W}$ is applied for our analysis. It is clear from figure 7, that the cut imposed on the reconstructed $m_{t}$ and $m_{\bar{t}}$ should be different. The reconstructed mass of $\bar{t}$ is broad, due to the presence of the missing energy from the $W$ decay. We have applied the same kinematic cut to the mass of the top and the antitop for the sake of simplicity. The implementation of these cuts, eliminates the $W b \bar{b} j j$ and $Z b \bar{b} j j$ backgrounds. The kinematical cuts, which are imposed on the various reconstructed masses are summarized below:

- $115 \leq m_{H}(\mathrm{GeV}) \leq 135, \quad 160 \leq m_{t}(\mathrm{GeV}) \leq 188 \quad 30 \leq m_{W}(\mathrm{GeV}) \leq 100$

Additional cuts can be applied, on the energy of the emitted quark in the top rest frame [21], so as to increase the signal to background ratio. The energy of the emitted quark, as a result of the two body decay of the top is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}=\frac{m_{t}}{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{H}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is peaked around 42 GeV , for a Higgs mass of 125 GeV . The jet from the background, which will fake the $q$ jet, will have a more spread out energy. We do not apply this cut, as the application of the above cuts already lead to a much reduced background. The energy distribution of both the signal and the background are shown in figure 8 .

Further on, we concentrate on the observables which will be sensitive to the chiral nature of the FCNC interactions. One of them is the polar angle distribution of the non-b jet, which was earlier shown in figure 2. The effect of the individual chiral couplings is more evident with a suitable choice of initial longitudinal beam polarization. The various


Figure 8. The energy distribution of the non- $b$ jet $(t \rightarrow q H)$ in the rest frame of the top, at $\sqrt{s}=$ 500 GeV , with unpolarized beams and $\mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$.


Figure 9. The opening angle distribution, eq. (5.11) between the direction of the lepton (from $\bar{t} \rightarrow l^{-} \bar{\nu} \bar{b}$ ) and the non- $b$ jet (from $t \rightarrow q H$ ), in the $t$ and $\bar{t}$ rest frame.
distributions which we consider here are all calculated in the $t \bar{t}-$ ZMF. The decay products, which act as spin analyzers for our case are the non- $b$ jet $(q)$ from the decay $t \rightarrow q H$ and the lepton ( $l^{-}$)from the decay $\bar{t} \rightarrow l^{-} \bar{\nu} \bar{b}$. All the distribution plots are given with the number of surviving events, for $\mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. We plot the opening angle distribution $1 / \sigma(d \sigma / d \cos \varphi$ ) (eq. (5.11)) in figure 9 , which is sensitive to the top and the antitop spin analyzers. The distribution is flat for Case 1 , when $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}=\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$, leading to $\kappa_{q}=0$. It peaks in the forward direction in the presence of $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}$, and in the backward direction for $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ (clearly seen in the inset of figure 9). The top spin is considered in the normalized distribution $1 / \sigma\left(d \sigma / d \cos \theta_{q s_{t}}\right)$, where $\theta_{q s_{t}}$ is the angle between the direction of the top spin analyzer (non- $b$ jet) in the top rest frame and the top spin quantization axis $\left(s_{t}\right)$ in the $t \bar{t}$-ZMF. The angle $\cos \theta_{q s_{t}}$ is the angle $\cos \theta_{f}$ defined in eq. (5.18). The spin of the top can be chosen in the direction of any of the spin quantization axes as defined in section 5 .


Figure 10. The distribution $1 / \sigma\left(d \sigma / d \cos \theta_{q s_{t}}\right)$, with unpolarized beams at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ $=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$, where $\theta_{q s_{t}}$ is the angle between the direction of the top spin analyzer (non- $b$ jet from $t \rightarrow q H)$ in $t$ rest frame and the spin quantization axis of the top $\left(s_{t}\right)$ in the $t \bar{t}$-ZMF. The different spin quantization axes considered are discussed in eq. (5.21).

This distribution is sensitive to the polarization of the top and we show in figure 10 the distribution calculated in the different bases. As expected, the 'beamline' basis and the 'off-diagonal' basis are most sensitive to the top polarization and therefore also to the decay dynamics of the top. The chiral nature of the FCNC coupling will be more clearly visible in these two basis, with a flat distribution in case of the equality of the two chiral coupling. The 'helicity' and the 'minimal' basis will not be effective in discriminating the chirality and they are shown just for the illustration. The effect is further enhanced with the beam polarizations of $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8$ and $P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$, in all the spin bases considered here. We show the distribution in the 'off-diagonal' basis in figure 11, as it is most sensitive to the beam polarization.


Figure 11. The normalized $1 / \sigma\left(d \sigma / d \cos \theta_{q s_{t}}\right)$ distribution (the definitions are same as in figure 10) at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, with polarized beams and $\mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$.


Figure 12. The normalized distribution of the product $\cos \theta_{q s_{t}} \cos \theta_{l s_{\bar{t}}},\left(\theta_{q s_{t}}=\angle\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{q}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}\right), \theta_{q s_{\bar{t}}}=\right.$ $\angle\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{l}, \hat{\mathbf{b}}\right)$ ), using the off-diagonal basis, at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, with polarized beams and $\mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$.

The double differential angular distribution of the top and the antitop defined in eq. (5.18) provides a measurement of the spin-spin correlations. It was shown in ref. [52] that, for the experimental analysis, it is more suitable to use the one-dimensional distribution of the product of the cosines, $\mathcal{O}_{s_{t}, s_{\bar{t}}}=\cos \theta_{f} \cos \theta_{\bar{f}}$, rather than analyzing eq. (5.18). We define $\cos \theta_{f} \cos \theta_{\bar{f}}$ as $\cos \theta_{q s_{t}} \cos \theta_{l s_{\bar{t}}}$ for our analysis. The $1 / \sigma\left(d \sigma / d \mathcal{O}_{s_{t}, s_{\bar{t}}}\right)$ distribution is shown in figure 12, using the 'off-diagonal' basis and a longitudinal beam polarization of $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8$ and $P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$. The asymmetry of the plot around $\cos \theta_{q s_{t}} \cos \theta_{l s_{\bar{t}}}=0$, signals for the spin-spin correlation. The plot for Case $2\left(\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}=0\right)$ shows more events for positive values for $\cos \theta_{q s_{t}} \cos \theta_{l s_{\bar{t}}}$, whereas for Case $3\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}=0\right)$ one gets more events for negative values of $\cos \theta_{q s_{t}} \cos \theta_{l s_{\bar{t}}}$.

We next estimate the sensitivity that can be obtained for the FCNC $t q H$ couplings, given by the efficient signal identification and the significant background suppression which can be achieved at the linear collider. We adopt the following formula for the significance

|  | $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0$ |  | $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8, P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Significance | $\sqrt{\left\|g_{t q}\right\|^{2}+\left\|g_{q t}\right\|^{2}}$ | $\operatorname{BR}(t \rightarrow q H)$ | $\sqrt{\left\|g_{t q}\right\|^{2}+\left\|g_{q t}\right\|^{2}}$ | $\operatorname{BR}(t \rightarrow q H)$ |
| $2 \sigma$ | 0.052 | $7.61 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.046 | $5.96 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $3 \sigma$ | 0.063 | $1.19 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.056 | $8.84 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $5 \sigma$ | 0.085 | $2.04 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.074 | $1.54 \times 10^{-3}$ |

Table 3. Upper bounds on $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}$ and the respective branching ratios, that can be obtained in the ILC, at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, with a luminosity of $500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The results are presented for both, the polarized and the unpolarized case.
measurement [53],

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sqrt{2\left[\left(N_{S}+N_{B}\right) \ln \left(1+\frac{N_{S}}{N_{B}}\right)-N_{S}\right]}, \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N_{S}$ and $N_{B}$ being the number of signal and background events. In figure 13 we present the contours of $3 \sigma$ and $5 \sigma$ significance for our process in the $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ plane. The sensitivity of the linear collider will increase with the implementation of beam polarization with left polarized electrons and right polarized positrons. Since the total cross section is proportional to $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$, the contours are symmetric in that plane. The sensitivity to the coupling $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}$, as a function of the integrated luminosity for $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ is shown figure 14. One can see that at $3 \sigma$ statistical sensitivity and $\mathcal{L}$ $=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}, \sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}$ can be probed to 0.063 (0.056) with unpolarized (polarized) beams. The limits obtained from the asymmetries, specially $A_{f b}$ from section 4.1 will be more stronger and will not be symmetric in the $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ plane. We find the following upper bounds as listed in table 3 at the $2 \sigma, 3 \sigma$ and the $5 \sigma$ level from the total cross section, in the case of the polarized and the unpolarized beams.

## 7 Conclusion

We have studied the flavor violating top-Higgs interactions, at the $e^{-} e^{+}$linear colliders using different beam polarizations. There are several works exhibiting the prospects of the LHC to constrain or discover these couplings, by considering several signatures of the flavor violating interactions. The LHC experiments have also looked into these couplings and have obtained bounds on the branching ratio of the process $t \rightarrow q H$. These flavor violating interactions can have a chiral structure with the top coupling differently to the left handed and the right handed fermions. Since the branching ratio of the top to $q H$, as well as, the total production cross section is being proportional to $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$, the chiral nature won't be evident from these measurements.

Therefore, we have looked in the context of the linear collider into various observables which will highlight this aspect of the couplings. The polar angle distribution of the quark emitted from the $t \rightarrow c H$ decay, will exhibit a behaviour sensitive to the nature of the coupling. This will change with the change of the beam polarization. The distribution will


Figure 13. Contour plots in the $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ plane, for the statistical significance $S$, from the production cross section, at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ and a luminosity of $500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$, with unpolarized beams [black] and a beam polarization of $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8$ and $P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$ [red-dashed].


Figure 14. The sensitivity of $3 \sigma$ and $5 \sigma$ to the FCNC coupling $\sqrt{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}}$ at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, as a function of integrated luminosity. The black solid line is for unpolarized beams, and the red-dashed line is for a beam polarization of $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=-0.8$ and $P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0.3$.
be flat for all the polarization combinations if $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}=\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$. The presence of only one of the coupling $\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}\right)$ leads to a forward peak for $e_{L}^{-} e_{R}^{+}$polarization and will be unchanged for the $e_{R}^{-} e_{L}^{+}$polarization. The opposite behaviour is observed for $\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$. Next, the forwardbackward asymmetry $A_{f b}$ is used in order to constrain the $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ parameter space.

The spins of the tops are correlated in the top pair production and the decay products of the tops are correlated with the spins, therefore the decay products of the top and the antitop are correlated. The presence of new physics in the top decay will therefore, lead to a change in the correlation coefficient in the angular distribution of the top decay products. A right choice of spin basis of the top quark pair is also important in enhancing the correlation. We consider different observables in section 5 , which are sensitive to the spin analyzing power ( $\kappa$ ) of the top decay product. The quark emitted from the top FCNC
decay, will be a perfect spin analyzer ( $\kappa_{q}=1$ ) in the presence of a single chiral coupling. The $\kappa_{q}$ of the emitted quark will be zero when $\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}=\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}$ and the correlation will be lost. We have performed an analysis applying all the cuts at the linear collider in section 6 , and have studied the spin observables in the context of different spin bases. We find that the off-diagonal basis along with the beamline basis are the most sensitive to the chirality of the couplings. The effect is even more enhanced by polarizing the initial beams of left handed electrons and right handed positrons.

Finally, we have obtained a limit on the couplings from the total cross section and find that $\mathrm{BR}(t \rightarrow q H)$ can be probed to $5.59 \times 10^{-3}\left(8.84 \times 10^{-4}\right)$ at $3 \sigma$ level at the ILC, with $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ and a beam polarization of $P_{e^{-}}^{L}=0(-0.8), P_{e^{+}}^{L}=0(0.3)$, which hopefully will be observed at the future linear colliders.
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## A Helicity amplitudes for the production and the decay

## A. 1 The production $e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow t \bar{t}$

The helicity amplitudes for the process $e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow t \bar{t}$ are defined below. They are the same as those considered in [54], with the normalization factor taken care of. The amplitudes are defined as $\mathcal{M}_{L R I J}$, where $L$ denotes the left-handed electron beam $e_{L}^{-}, R$ for right-handed positron beam $e_{R}^{+}$, and $I J$ denotes the different final-state combinations of $t \bar{t}$, i.e. $\downarrow \downarrow, \downarrow \uparrow$, $\uparrow \downarrow$ and $\uparrow \uparrow$. Similarly $\mathcal{M}_{\text {RLIJ }}$ denotes the right-handed electron beam $e_{R}^{-}$and left-handed positron beam $e_{L}^{+}$. For the helicity-conserving interactions, the amplitudes are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{M}_{L R \uparrow \uparrow}=B A_{L} m_{t} \sin \theta_{t},  \tag{A.1}\\
& \mathcal{M}_{L R \uparrow \downarrow}=B\left(E A_{L}+k B_{L}\right)\left(1+\cos \theta_{t}\right), \\
& \mathcal{M}_{L R \downarrow \uparrow}=-B\left(E A_{L}-k B_{L}\right)\left(1-\cos \theta_{t}\right), \\
& \mathcal{M}_{L R \downarrow}=-B A_{L} m_{t} \sin \theta_{t}, \\
& \mathcal{M}_{R L \uparrow \uparrow}=B A_{R} m_{t} \sin \theta_{t}, \\
& \mathcal{M}_{R L \uparrow \downarrow}=-B\left(E A_{R}+k B_{R}\right)\left(1-\cos \theta_{t}\right), \\
& \mathcal{M}_{R L \downarrow \uparrow}=B\left(E A_{R}-k B_{R}\right)\left(1+\cos \theta_{t}\right), \\
& \mathcal{M}_{R L \downarrow \downarrow}=-B A_{R} m_{t} \sin \theta_{t} .
\end{align*}
$$

All the expressions above have the normalization factor $B$ defined as $i \sqrt{3 \beta \alpha^{2} / 4} . E$ is the beam energy $\sqrt{s} / 2$ and $k=E \beta$, where $\beta=\sqrt{1-4 m_{t}^{2} / s}$. The other constants which
appear are defined below:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{L}=\frac{2}{s} Q_{t} Q_{e}+\frac{2 g_{t}^{V}}{s-m Z^{2}}\left(g_{e}^{V}+g_{e}^{A}\right), & A_{R}=\frac{2}{s} Q_{t} Q_{e}+\frac{2 g_{t}^{V}}{s-m Z^{2}}\left(g_{e}^{V}-g_{e}^{A}\right), \\
B_{L} & =\frac{2 g_{t}^{A}}{s-m Z^{2}}\left(g_{e}^{V}+g_{e}^{A}\right), \tag{A.2}
\end{align*} B_{R}=\frac{2 g_{t}^{A}}{s-m Z^{2}}\left(-g_{e}^{V}+g_{e}^{A}\right), ~ \$ ~ l
$$

where $Q_{e}=-1, Q_{t}=2 / 3, \theta_{W}$ is the Weinberg mixing angle and

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
g_{e}^{V} & =\frac{e}{\sin 2 \theta_{W}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+2 \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}\right), & g_{e}^{A}=-\frac{e}{2 \sin 2 \theta_{W}}, \\
g_{t}^{V} & =\frac{e}{\sin 2 \theta_{W}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{4}{3} \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}\right), & g_{t}^{A} & =\frac{e}{2 \sin 2 \theta_{W}} .
\end{array}
$$

## A. 2 The decay $\boldsymbol{t} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{H}$

The squared matrix elements $\rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)}$ for the top quark decay in its rest frame is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{\uparrow}^{D(t)}=m_{t}\left[E_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\left\{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}\left(1-\cos \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\right)+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\left(1+\cos \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\right)\right\}+2 m_{q} g_{t q} g_{q t}\right],  \tag{A.4}\\
& \rho_{\downarrow \downarrow}^{D(t)}=m_{t}\left[E_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\left\{\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}\left(1+\cos \theta_{q}^{\text {top }}\right)+\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\left(1-\cos \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\right)\right\}+2 m_{q} g_{t q} g_{q t}\right], \\
& \rho_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{D(t)}=-E_{q}^{\text {top }} m_{t} \sin \theta_{q}^{\text {top }} e^{i \phi_{q}^{\text {top }}}\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right), \\
& \rho_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{D(t)}=-E_{q}^{\text {top }} m_{t} \sin \theta_{q}^{\text {top }} e^{-i \phi_{q}^{\text {top }}}\left(\left|g_{t q}\right|^{2}-\left|g_{q t}\right|^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{q}^{\text {top }}, \theta_{q}^{\text {top }}, \phi_{q}^{\text {top }}$ are the energy and the polar and the azimuthal angle of the emitted quark $q$ in the top rest frame, respectively. We obtain the relevant $\rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)}$ in the c.m. frame by making the following substitution in the above equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} & =E_{q}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}}{1+\beta \cos \theta_{q}}\right) \\
\cos \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} & =\frac{\beta-\cos \theta_{t q}}{\beta \cos \theta_{t q}-1}, \\
\sin \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} e^{ \pm i \phi_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}} & =\frac{\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}}{1-\beta \cos \theta_{t q}}\left(\cos \theta_{t} \sin \theta_{q} \cos \phi_{q}-\sin \theta_{t} \cos \theta_{q} \pm i \sin \theta_{q} \sin \phi_{q}\right), \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{t q}$ and $E_{q}$ are defined in eq. (4.9). The squared matrix elements is similar for antitop, with $\beta$ replaced by $-\beta$. We have assumed $m_{q}=0$, for all our calculations.

## A. $3 \quad$ The decays $t \rightarrow \boldsymbol{W} b$ and $t \rightarrow l^{+} \boldsymbol{\nu} b$

The squared matrix elements $\rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)}$ for the top quark decaying to $W^{+} b$, in the rest frame of the top is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{D(t)}=\frac{E_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} g^{2} m_{t}}{2 m_{W}^{2}}\left[\left(1-\cos \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\right) m_{t}^{2}+2 m_{W}^{2}\left(1+\cos \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\right)\right],  \tag{A.6}\\
& \rho_{\downarrow \downarrow}^{D(t)}=\frac{E_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} g^{2} m_{t}}{2 m_{W}^{2}}\left[\left(1+\cos \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\right) m_{t}^{2}+2 m_{W}^{2}\left(1-\cos \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}\right)\right], \\
& \rho_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{D(t)}=\frac{E_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} g^{2} m_{t}}{2 m_{W}^{2}}\left(m_{t}^{2}-2 m_{W}^{2}\right) \sin \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} e^{i \phi_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}}, \\
& \rho_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{D(t)}=\frac{E_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} g^{2} m_{t}}{2 m_{W}^{2}}\left(m_{t}^{2}-2 m_{W}^{2}\right) \sin \theta_{q}^{\mathrm{top}} e^{-i \phi_{q}^{\mathrm{top}}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The squared matrix elements $\rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)}$ for the top quark decay to $l^{+} \nu b$ in its rest frame, is

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{D(t)} & =\frac{g^{4} E_{l} m_{t}\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{b}^{2}-2 p_{t} \cdot p_{l}\right)}{\left(p_{W}^{2}-M_{W}^{2}\right)^{2}+M_{W}^{2} \Gamma_{W}^{2}}\left(1+\cos \theta_{l^{+}}^{\mathrm{top}}\right),  \tag{A.7}\\
\rho_{\downarrow \downarrow}^{D(t)} & =\frac{g^{4} E_{l} m_{t}\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{b}^{2}-2 p_{t} \cdot p_{l}\right)}{\left(p_{W}^{2}-M_{W}^{2}\right)^{2}+M_{W}^{2} \Gamma_{W}^{2}}\left(1-\cos \theta_{l^{+}}^{\mathrm{top}}\right), \\
\rho_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{D(t)} & =\frac{g^{4} E_{l} m_{t}\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{b}^{2}-2 p_{t} \cdot p_{l}\right)}{\left(p_{W}^{2}-M_{W}^{2}\right)^{2}+M_{W}^{2} \Gamma_{W}^{2}}\left(\sin \theta_{l}^{\mathrm{top}} e^{i \phi_{l^{+}}^{\mathrm{top}}}\right), \\
\rho_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{D(t)} & =\frac{g^{4} E_{l} m_{t}\left(m_{t}^{2}-m_{b}^{2}-2 p_{t} \cdot p_{l}\right)}{\left(p_{W}^{2}-M_{W}^{2}\right)^{2}+M_{W}^{2} \Gamma_{W}^{2}}\left(\sin \theta_{l}^{\mathrm{top}} e^{-i \phi_{l^{+}}^{\mathrm{top}}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{f}^{\mathrm{top}}, \theta_{f}^{\mathrm{top}}, \phi_{f}^{\mathrm{top}}$ are the energy, and the polar and the azimuthal angle of the emitted fermion in the top rest frame, respectively. The emitted fermion is $b$ in eq. (A.6) from the decay $t \rightarrow W^{+} b$ and $l$ from the decay $t \rightarrow l^{+} \nu b$ in eq. (A.7). The squared matrix elements $\rho_{\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}^{D(t)}$ for the top quark decaying to $W^{+} b\left(l^{+} \nu b\right)$ in the c.m frame is obtained by inserting the substitutions from eq. (A.5), to eqs. (A.6) and (A.7). The squared matrix elements for the antitop is obtained by replacing $\beta$ with $-\beta$.

## B Observables $\left(\mathcal{O}_{1,2,3,4}\right)$

Below we list the analytical expressions for the various spin observables calculated and considered in section 5 .

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}_{1}= & \frac{1}{3 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[\left(3-\beta^{2}\right)\left\{C_{\gamma}^{2}+\frac{2 s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{V}\left(g_{e}^{V}+P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2}\left(\left(3-\beta^{2}\right)\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}+2 \beta^{2}\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}+2 P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right]  \tag{B.1}\\
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\text {hel }}= & -\frac{2 \beta}{3 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[\frac{s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{A}\left(g_{e}^{V}+P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2} g_{t}^{A} g_{t}^{V}\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}+2 P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right]  \tag{B.2}\\
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\text {beam }}= & -\frac{1}{6 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[\left(2 \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}+1\right)\left\{C_{\gamma}^{2} P_{\mathrm{eff}}+\frac{2 s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{V}\left(g_{e}^{A}+P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2}\left(\left(2 \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}+1\right)\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}+\beta^{2}\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)\left(P_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)+2 g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\text {off }}=-\frac{1}{4 \beta \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[\left(\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta-\beta\right)\right. \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\times\left\{C_{\gamma}^{2} P_{\mathrm{eff}}+\frac{2 s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{V}\left(g_{e}^{A}+P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V}\right)\right\}+\frac{s^{2}\left(\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}-\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\times\left(\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \tanh ^{-1} \beta-\beta \frac{\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}}{\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}-\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(P_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)+2 g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right] \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\min }=-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}} \sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right) E\left[\left.\frac{\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}}\right)}{\sqrt{\beta+1}} \right\rvert\, 1-\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\right]\left[\frac{s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{A}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(g_{e}^{V}+P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{A}\right)+\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2} \beta g_{t}^{A} g_{t}^{V}\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}+2 P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right]  \tag{B.5}\\
& \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\text {hel }}=-\frac{1}{3 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[C_{\gamma}^{2}\left(1+\beta^{2}\right)+\frac{2 s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{V}\left(g_{e}^{V}+P_{\text {eff }} g_{e}^{A}\right)\left(1+\beta^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2}\left(\left(1+\beta^{2}\right)\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}+2 \beta^{2}\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}+2 P_{\text {eff }} g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right]  \tag{B.6}\\
& \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\text {beam }}=\frac{1}{15 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left(-3 \beta^{2}+4 \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}+11\right)\left[C_{\gamma}^{2}+\frac{2 s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{V}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(g_{e}^{V}+P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{A}\right)+\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2}\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}+2 P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right] \\
& \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\text {off }}=\frac{1}{3 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[\left(3-\beta^{2}\right)\left\{C_{\gamma}^{2}+\frac{2 s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{V}\left(g_{e}^{V}+P_{\text {eff }} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& -\frac{s^{2}}{\beta\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2}\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}+2 P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right) \\
& \left.\times\left(3 \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}}\right)\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}-\beta\left(3-\beta^{2}\right)\left(\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\text {min }}=\frac{1}{3 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[\beta^{2}\left\{C_{\gamma}^{2}+\frac{2 s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{V}\left(g_{e}^{V}+P_{\text {eff }} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& +\frac{s^{2}}{\beta\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2}\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}+2 P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right) \\
& \left.\times\left(3 \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}}\right)\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}+\beta^{3}\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}+\beta\left(2 \beta^{2}-3\right)\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)\right]  \tag{B.9}\\
& \mathcal{O}_{4}=\frac{4 \beta}{3 \sigma_{t \bar{t}}}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[\frac{s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{A}\left(g_{e}^{A}+P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2} g_{t}^{A} g_{t}^{V}\left(P_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)+2 g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right], \tag{B.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{t t}$ is the total cross section given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{t \bar{t} \bar{t}}= & \frac{1}{3}\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right)\left[\left(3-\beta^{2}\right)\left\{C_{\gamma}^{2}+\frac{2 s}{s-m_{Z}^{2}} C_{\gamma} C_{Z} g_{t}^{V}\left(g_{e}^{V}+P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s-m_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}} C_{Z}^{2}\left(\left(3-\beta^{2}\right)\left(g_{t}^{V}\right)^{2}+2 \beta^{2}\left(g_{t}^{A}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(g_{e}^{V}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{e}^{A}\right)^{2}+2 P_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{e}^{V} g_{e}^{A}\right)\right], \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and $P_{\text {eff }}=\left(P_{e^{-}}^{L}-P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right) /\left(1-P_{e^{-}}^{L} P_{e^{+}}^{L}\right), C_{\gamma}=e^{2} Q_{t} Q_{e}, C_{Z}=g^{2} /\left(2 \cos \theta_{W}\right)^{2}$. The terms $g_{t, e}^{A, V}$ are given in eq. (A.3) and $E[\ldots \mid \ldots]$ in eq. (B.5) is the elliptic function of the second kind.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ At low $m_{t \bar{t}}$ the top quark pair production via gluon-gluon fusion is dominated by like-helicity gluons. Consequently, spin correlations are maximal in the helicity basis [25].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ It is important to note the role of the beam polarization in the $t \bar{t}$ production. For the $-80 \%$ of the electron polarization and $+30 \%$ of the positron polarization the initial state will be dominantly polarized as $e_{L}^{-} e_{R}^{+}$, giving in the SM a constructive interference of the $\gamma$ and $Z$ amplitudes for the production of $t_{L} \bar{t}_{R}$ pair, and a destructive interference for the production of $t_{R} \bar{t}_{L}$, which then leads to a large positive forward-backward asymmetry.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ However once the FCNC coupling is discovered, this asymmetry can be used as an additional observable to give limits to the couplings.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In the SM the top-quark spin polarization in the normal direction to the production plane only exists if one considers QCD radiative corrections or absorptive part of the $Z$-propagator. However, since these contributions for the $t \bar{t}$ production at linear colliders are extremely small [34-37] (apart from the threshold region) we do not consider them here.

