d. Math. 24 (1978), pp. 427-436.

[15] J. R. Moschovakis: A topological interpretation of second order intuitionistic arithmetic, Compositio Math. 26 (1973), pp. 261-275.

[16] J. Myhill: Formal systems for intuitionistic analysis I, in: Proceedings of 3rd International Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science, ed. by B. van Rootselaer and J. F. Staal, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[17] J. Myhill: Formal systems for intuitionistic analysis II, in: Intutionism and Proof Theory, ed. by A. Kino, J. Myhill, R. E. Vesley, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

[18] J. Myhill: Constructive set theory, JSL 40 (1975), pp. 347-382.

[19] J. Myhill; A. Scedrov: Second-order intuitionistic propositional calculus, to appear.

[20] D. S. Scott: Extending the topological interpretation to intuitionistic analysis, I. Compositio Math. 20 (1968), pp. 194-210.

[21] D. S. Scott: Extending the topological interpretation to intuitionistic analysis, II. in: Intuitionism and Proof Theory, ed. by A. Kino, J. Myhill, R. E. Vesley, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

[22] W. C. Powell: Seminar Notes, Ohio State University, 1977.

[23] M. Tierney: Sheaf Theory and Continuum Hypothesis, in: Toposes, Algebraic Geometry and Logic, ed. by F. W. Lawvere, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 274, Berlin, 1972.

ERRATA

In the proof of Theorem 1.9., validity of RDC should be established as follows: Let f,g,h be mutually disjoint rare sequences, where $G_f \subseteq \mathrm{stab}(z_i)$ for all parameters z_i in an instance of RDC (including X). Let k_i $(i \geq 1)$ be mutually disjoint (rare) subsequences of h, and let $h_i = k_1 * k_2 * \ldots * k_i$. Then in the antecedent, x ranges over dom(X) so that $G_{f*g} \subseteq \mathrm{stab}(x)$, but y ranges over dom(X) so that $G_{f*g*h_1} \subseteq \mathrm{stab}(y)$. Also, $G_{f*g*h_i} \subseteq \mathrm{stab}(z^i_{<j_1,\ldots j_i>}) \cap \mathrm{stab}(\delta^i_{<j_1,\ldots,j_i>})$, and $G_{f*g*h} \subseteq \mathrm{stab}(f^x_s)$, so $f^x_s \varepsilon V^{(\Gamma)}$.