

p-Sets for Random Walks

J.R. Blum^{1*} and J.I. Reich²

¹ Committee on Statistics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ85721, USA

² 1703 President Street, New York, NY11213, USA

Summary. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and let $\{X_n(\omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors whose state space is Z^m for some positive integer m , where Z denotes the integers. For $n=1, 2, \dots$ let $S_n(\omega)$ be the random walk defined by $S_n(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j(\omega)$. For $x \in Z^m$ and $\alpha \in U^m$, the m -dimensional torus, let $\langle \alpha, x \rangle = e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j x_j}$. Finally let $\phi(\alpha) = E\{\langle \alpha, X_1(\omega) \rangle\}$ be the characteristic function of the X 's.

In this paper we show that, under mild restrictions, there exists a set $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ with $P\{\Omega_0\} = 1$ such that for $\omega \in \Omega_0$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in U^m, \alpha \neq 0.$$

As a consequence of this theorem, we obtain two corollaries. One is concerned with occupancy sets for m -dimensional random walks, and the other is a mean ergodic theorem.

1. Preliminaries

Let G be a locally compact abelian group. Let \hat{G} be the dual of G , that is \hat{G} is the set of continuous homomorphisms of G to T , the unit circle in the complex plane. \hat{G} is a group under pointwise multiplication and inherits a natural topology from G . Now put the discrete topology on \hat{G} , call it \hat{G}_d . Then $\hat{G}_d = \bar{G}$ is a compact (but very large) group such that G is dense in \bar{G} . \bar{G} is called the Bohr compactification of G . If m is Haar measure on \bar{G} then $m(G) = 0$. For details of this, see e.g. Rudin [3, Ch. 1].

Now suppose $\{m_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of probability measures on G . In an obvious way, we may consider them also as measures on \bar{G} . We shall say the sequence $\{m_n\}$ is ergodic provided m_n converges weakly to m . The reason for the

* Research supported by N.S.F. Grant #MCS77-26809

name is that such sequences provide mean ergodic theorems for unitary representations of G on an arbitrarily Hilbert space, as shown in [2]. It follows from the Paul Lévy continuity theorem that $\{m_n\}$ is ergodic if and only if $\int_G \langle \gamma, g \rangle m_n(dg) \rightarrow 0$ for every $\gamma \in \hat{G}$, $\gamma \neq \text{identity}$ since the Fourier transform \hat{m} of m is zero for every non-trivial $\gamma \in G$.

Now suppose $0 \leq p \leq 1$. We shall call a measurable subset I_p of G a p -set provided $\lim_n m_n(I_p) = p$ for every ergodic sequence $\{m_n\}$. There exist many p -sets and examples are not difficult to construct. Let H be a closed subset of \bar{G} with $m(H) = p$, such that H is a continuity set for m , i.e. the boundary of H has Haar measure zero. Then it follows at once that $H \cap G$ is a p -set. For example, let I be a closed subinterval of the unit circle with Lebesgue measure p , and let $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ be of infinite order. Then $\{\bar{g} \in \bar{G} | \langle \gamma, \bar{g} \rangle \in I\}$ is a closed continuity set for m with measure p , and $\{g \in G | \langle \gamma, g \rangle \in I\}$ is a p -set. Now if $G = Z^m$ for some $m \geq 1$, then $\bar{G} = U^m$, where U is the unit circle. Thus if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in U^m$ is not a root of unity then

$$\{l = (l_1, \dots, l_m) \in Z^m | \langle \alpha, l \rangle \in I\}$$

is a p -set.

2. The Main Results

With the notation as defined in the abstract, we shall prove the

Theorem. *If $|\phi(\alpha)| < 1$ for $\alpha \in U^m$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and if $E |X_1(j, \omega)| < \infty$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ then there exists a set $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ with $P\{\Omega_0\} = 1$ such that for $\omega \in \Omega_0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| = 0$$

for all $\alpha \in U^m$, $\alpha \neq 0$.

Remarks. i) By $X_n(j, \omega)$ or $S_n(j, \omega)$ we mean the j^{th} coordinate of $X_n(\omega)$ or $S_n(\omega)$ respectively.

ii) The hypothesis $|\phi(\alpha)| < 1$ for $\alpha \neq 0$ is inessential. If we consider the class of random walks for which $|\phi(\alpha_0)| = 1$ for some $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ then this is the class of random walks which lives on some subgroup of Z^m . We can then prove an analogous theorem for that subgroup.

3. Proof of the Theorem

For $m = 1$ the theorem was proved in [1]. However the case $m > 1$ is considerably more difficult and appears to be interesting in its own right.

Lemma 1. *Let $\delta_j = \pm 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{2m} \delta_j = 0$. Define $k_j = -\sum_{l=1}^j \delta_l$, l*

$= 1, \dots, 2m-1$. Then for arbitrary numbers x_1, \dots, x_{2m} we have $\sum_{j=1}^{2m} \delta_j x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} k_j(x_{j+1} - x_j)$. Moreover $|k_j| \leq m$ for all j , and $k_{2j-1} \neq 0$ for $j=1, \dots, m$.

The proof of the lemma is obvious and will be omitted. \square

Lemma 2. Let m be a positive integer and let $r_j \in (0, 1)$, $j=1, \dots, m$. Let $M \leq N$ be positive integers. Then

$$\sum_{M \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{2m} \leq N} \prod_{k=1}^m r_k^{j_{2k} - j_{2k-1}} \leq \frac{2^m N^m}{\prod_{j=1}^m (1 - r_j)}.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on m . For $m=1$ we have

$$\sum_{M \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq N} r_1^{j_2 - j_1} = \sum_{j_1=M}^N \frac{1 - r^{N - j_1 + 1}}{1 - r} \leq \frac{2N}{1 - r}.$$

Now suppose the conclusion holds for m . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{M \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_{2(m+1)} \leq N} \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} r_k^{j_{2k} - j_{2k-1}} \\ &= \sum_{M \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq N} r_1^{j_2 - j_1} \sum_{j_2 \leq j_3 \leq \dots \leq j_{2(m+1)} \leq N} \prod_{k=2}^{m+1} r_k^{j_{2k} - j_{2k-1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{M \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq N} r_1^{j_2 - j_1} \frac{2^m N^m}{\prod_{j=2}^{m+1} (1 - r_j)} \leq \frac{2^{m+1} N^{m+1}}{\prod_{k=1}^{m+1} (1 - r_k)}, \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis and the case $m=1$. \square

Lemma 3. Let m and n be positive integers and let $\alpha \in U^m$ such that $\alpha \neq 0$, $2\alpha \neq 0, \dots, n\alpha \neq 0$. Then for all positive integers N we have

$$E \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right|^{2n} \leq \frac{N^n 2^n (2n)!}{(1 - \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\phi(j\alpha)|)^n}.$$

Proof. Define $\delta_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k=1, \dots, n \\ -1 & k=n+1, \dots, 2n \end{cases}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right|^{2n} &= E \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{2n}=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^n \langle \alpha, S_{j_k}(\omega) \rangle \prod_{k=n+1}^{2n} \overline{\langle \alpha, S_{j_k}(\omega) \rangle} \\ &= E \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{2n}=1}^N \left\langle \alpha, \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_k S_{j_k}(\omega) \right\rangle \\ &\leq \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{2n}=1}^N \left| E \left\langle \alpha, \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_k S_{j_k}(\omega) \right\rangle \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Now let P_{2n} be the family of permutations of $(1, \dots, 2n)$ and for $\sigma \in P_{2n}$ define

$$S_\sigma = \{\bar{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_{2n}) \mid 1 \leq j_k \leq N, j_{\sigma(1)} \leq j_{\sigma(2)} \leq \dots \leq j_{\sigma(2n)}\}.$$

Then clearly $\bigcup_{\sigma \in P_{2n}} S_\sigma$ is the collection of all sequences (j_1, \dots, j_{2n}) with $1 \leq j_k \leq N$.

Therefore we obtain from (3.1) that

$$E \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right|^{2n} \leq \sum_{\sigma \in P_{2n}} \sum_{J \in S_\sigma} \left| E \left\langle \alpha, \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_k S_{j_k}(\omega) \right\rangle \right|. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_k S_{j_k}(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_{\sigma(k)} S_{j_{\sigma(k)}}(\omega)$ and that for each $\sigma \in P_{2n}$ the numbers $\delta_{\sigma(k)}$, $k=1, \dots, 2n$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1. Therefore there exist integers $k_r(\sigma)$, $r=1, \dots, 2n-1$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_{\sigma(k)} S_{j_{\sigma(k)}}(\omega) = \sum_{r=1}^{2n-1} k_r(\sigma) [S_{j_{\sigma(r+1)}}(\omega) - S_{j_{\sigma(r)}}(\omega)],$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{J \in S_\sigma} \left| E \left\langle \alpha, \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_k S_{j_k}(\omega) \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_{\sigma(1)} \leq \dots \leq j_{\sigma(2n)} \leq N} \left| E \left\langle \alpha, \sum_{r=1}^{2n-1} k_r(\sigma) [S_{j_{\sigma(r+1)}}(\omega) - S_{j_{\sigma(r)}}(\omega)] \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_{\sigma(1)} \leq \dots \leq j_{\sigma(2n)} \leq N} \left| E \prod_{r=1}^{2n-1} \langle k_r(\sigma) \alpha, S_{j_{\sigma(r+1)}}(\omega) - S_{j_{\sigma(r)}}(\omega) \rangle \right| \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_{\sigma(1)} \leq \dots \leq j_{\sigma(2n)} \leq N} \prod_{r=1}^{2n-1} |\phi(k_r(\sigma) \alpha)|^{j_{\sigma(r+1)} - j_{\sigma(r)}} \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq j_{\sigma(1)} \leq \dots \leq j_{\sigma(2n)} \leq N} \prod_{r=1}^n |\phi(k_{2r-1}(\sigma) \alpha)|^{j_{\sigma(2r)} - j_{\sigma(2r-1)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The first equality in (3.3) follows from the preceding remark, the second from properties of exponentials, and the third from the fact that the blocks $S_{j_{\sigma(r+1)}}(\omega) - S_{j_{\sigma(r)}}$ are pairwise independent. The final inequality is obvious.

Now apply Lemma 2 to the last expression in (3.3) to obtain (3.4)

$$\sum_{J \in S_\sigma} \left| E \left\langle \alpha, \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \delta_k S_{j_k}(\omega) \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{2^n N^n}{\sum_{r=1}^n (1 - |\phi(k_{2r-1}(\sigma) \alpha)|)} \leq \frac{2^n N^n}{(1 - \max_{j=1, \dots, n} |\phi(j \alpha)|)^n}. \tag{3.4}$$

The proof of the Lemma is now completed by summing over the permutations on $(1, \dots, 2n)$. \square

Lemma 4. For $k=1, \dots, m$ we have

$$E \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_k} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| = O(N).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_k} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| &= E \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N i \alpha_k S_j(k, \omega) \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N E |S_j(k, \omega)| \leq C \frac{N(N+1)}{2N} = O(N). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *Let f be a complex-valued function defined on U^m , and suppose f has continuous partial derivatives. Suppose $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_j} \right| \leq K$ for all $\alpha \in U^m$. Let $C = \prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]$ be a subcube of U^m . Then for all $\alpha, \beta \in C$ we have*

$$|f(\beta)| \leq |f(\alpha)| + 2K \sum_{j=1}^m (b_j - a_j).$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on m . The case $m=1$ follows from the mean-value theorem, and the induction proof is straight forward. We omit the details. \square

Now fix an m -cube $C = \prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]$ such that C does not contain a root of unity of U^m of order $\leq 2m$. We have

Lemma 6. $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in C} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| = 0.$

Proof. Let $[x]$ denote the greatest integer $\leq x$. For each positive integer N , partition C into $[N^{\frac{3}{2}}]^m$ subcubes by partitioning each interval $[a_j, b_j]$ into $[N^{\frac{3}{2}}]$ subintervals of equal length. Choose a point α_k from each subcube and define

$$A_N = \left\{ \omega \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha_k, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| < \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{46}}}, k = 1, \dots, [N^{\frac{3}{2}}]^m \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(A_N^c) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{[N^{\frac{3}{2}}]^m} P \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha_k, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| \geq \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{46}}} \right\} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{[N^{\frac{3}{2}}]^m} N^4 E \left(\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha_k, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right|^{4m} \right) \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

by the Čebyšev inequality. Now let $|\phi(\alpha_0)| = \max_{\alpha \in C} \max_{j=1, \dots, 2m} |\phi(j_\alpha)|$. Then by hypothesis $|\phi(\alpha_0)| < 1$. Now we may apply Lemma 3 and (3.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(A_N^c) &\leq \frac{N^{\frac{3m}{2}} N^{\frac{m}{4}}}{N^{4m}} \frac{N^{2m} 2^{2m} (4m)!}{(1 - |\phi(\alpha_0)|)^{2m}} \\
 &= O \left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{m}{8}}} \right). \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now define $B_N = \left\{ \omega \mid \sup_{\alpha} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_k} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| \leq N^{\frac{1}{4}}, k = 1, \dots, m \right\}$.

Then it follows from Lemma 4 and the Čebyšev inequality that

$$P(B_N^c) \leq \frac{mO(N)}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

Combining (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$P\{(A_N \cap B_N)^c\} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{8}}}\right). \tag{3.8}$$

If $\omega \in A_N \cap B_N$ and $\alpha \in C$ then α is in one of the $[N^{\frac{1}{2}}]^m$ subcubes of C . Suppose α is in the subcube corresponding to α_r . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha_r, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| + N^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{b_j - a_j}{[N^{\frac{1}{2}}]} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{6}}} + \frac{m}{[N^{\frac{1}{2}}]} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{4}}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The first inequality follows from Lemma 5 and the second is obvious. We conclude that for $\omega \in A_N \cap B_N$ we have

$$\sup_{\alpha \in C} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{6}}}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.8) we have

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P\{(A_{N^{16}} \cap B_{N^{16}})^c\} < \infty \tag{3.11}$$

and we use the Borel-Cantelli lemma to conclude that $P\{\Omega_c\} = 1$, where $\Omega_c = \{\omega \mid \omega \text{ is in all but a finite number of } A_{N^{16}} \cap B_{N^{16}}\}$, and clearly for $\omega \in \Omega_c$ we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in C} \left| \frac{1}{N^{16}} \sum_{j=1}^{N^{16}} \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| = 0.$$

Now a well-known argument shows that the same holds along the entire sequence proving the lemma. \square

It is easily seen that we may write $U^m - \{\text{roots of unity of order } \leq 2m\} = \bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} C_M$, where each C_M is a cube of the type in Lemma 6. Consequently for $\omega \in \bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{C_M}$ and $\alpha \in \bigcup_{M=0}^{\infty} C_M$ the averages converge to zero.

If α is a nonzero root of unity of order $\leq 2m$ let

$$A_N = \left\{ \omega \mid \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle \right| < \frac{1}{N^{16}} \right\}.$$

Using Lemma 3 with $n=1$ and the Čebyšev inequality we obtain $P\{A_N^c\} \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}} O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$. Using the same technique as in Lemma 6 we obtain the almost sure convergence for each such α . Combining this with the previous paragraph concludes the proof of the theorem.

4. Applications

In this section we give several applications of the main result. Let $\{S_n(\omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a random walk in Z^m satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. Let $\{\mu_n\{\cdot, \omega\}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of random measures on Z^m obtained by placing mass $1/n$ on $S_1(\omega), \dots, S_n(\omega)$ for each n . Then the Fourier transform of $\mu_n(\cdot, \omega)$ is given by $\hat{\mu}_n(\alpha, \omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \alpha, S_j(\omega) \rangle$. The theorem tells us that for $\omega \in \Omega_0$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n(\alpha, \omega) = 0$ for $\alpha \in U^m, \alpha \neq 0$. Therefore the sequence $\{\hat{\mu}_n(\cdot, \omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an ergodic sequence of measures, as defined in Sect. 1. Now let I_p be an arbitrary p -set in Z^m as defined in Sect. 1. Then it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(I_p, \omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{I_p}(S_j(\omega)) = p,$$

i.e. the limiting proportion of time that the random walk $\{S_n(\omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ spends in I_p is p . We summarize this in

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{F} be the class of all p -sets in Z^m with $0 \leq p \leq 1$. Let $\{S_n(\omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a random walk in Z^m satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. Then there exists*

$\Omega_0 \in \Omega$ with $P\{\Omega_0\} = 1$ such that for $\omega \in \Omega_0$ and $I_p \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{I_p}(S_j(\omega)) = p.$$

For a second application let H be an arbitrary Hilbert space and let $\{U_{\bar{J}}, \bar{J} \in Z^m\}$ be a group of unitary operators indexed by Z^m , i.e. $U_{\bar{J}_1} U_{\bar{J}_2} = U_{\bar{J}_1 + \bar{J}_2}$. Let P be the orthogonal projection on the subspace of H defined by $\{f \in H \mid U_{\bar{J}} f = f, \bar{J} \in Z^m\}$. Let $\{\mu_n(\cdot, \omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the random ergodic measures defined above. Then $\int_{Z^m} U_{\bar{J}} d\mu_n(\bar{J}, \omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n U_{S_j(\omega)}$. By applying the results of [2] and the theorem we obtain

Corollary 2. *Let $\{S_n(\omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a random walk in Z^m satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem, and let $\{U_{\bar{J}}, \bar{J} \in U^m\}$ be a group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space H , indexed by U^m . Then there exists $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ with $P(\Omega_0) = 1$, such that for $\omega \in \Omega_0$ we have strong*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n U_{S_j(\omega)} = P,$$

i.e. for every $f \in H$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n U_{S_j(\omega)} f - Pf \right\| = 0.$$

References

1. Blum, J.R., Cogburn, R.: On ergodic sequences of measures. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51**, 359–365 (1975)
2. Blum, J.R., Eisenberg, B.: Generalized summing sequences and the mean ergodic theorem. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **42**, 423–429 (1974)
3. Rudin, W.: Fourier Analysis on Groups. New York: Inter-science 1962

Received March 25, 1977