

Infection of the Intervertebral Space Following Conventional and Microsurgical Operation on the Herniated Lumbar Intervertebral Disc A Controlled Clinical Trial

W. A. Dauch

Department of Neurosurgery, Philipps-Universität, Marburg, Federal Republic of Germany

Summary

Review of the literature reveals that considerable knowledge has accumulated on intervertebral space infection following lumbar disc surgery. This is based on more than 400 observations. There are, however, no unifying concepts regarding the pathogenesis of the condition. While the reported incidence has seemed to increase in the last three decades, we noticed a significant reduction in frequency of this important complication of lumbar disc surgery after introducing microsurgical techniques. The significance of this finding is discussed with respect to pathogenesis.

Keywords: Spondylitis; discitis; intervertebral disc displacement; microsurgery; erythrocyte sedimentation rate.

Introduction

Involvement of the spinal column in generalized infectious diseases like tuberculosis, smallpox, diphtheria, measles and scarlet fever^{16,23,31,56} in form of localized mono- or polysegmental spondylitis has been well known since the turn of the century (survey see^{23,27,37}).

In 1936 Milward⁴² described a new variant of this disease; following invasive diagnostic or therapeutic manoeuvres near the spinal column, there appeared signs of a complication which were similar to the well known haematogenic non-specific infectious spondylitis with respect to clinical findings: local back-ache, paravertebral muscular tenseness, fever, moderate leucocytosis, elevation of erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR). After several weeks, the radiological findings revealed narrowing of the intervertebral space, irregularities and sclerosis of endplates, later on fusion of vertebral bodies. In the German literature this com-

plication was first described in the 50's following paravertebral infiltration therapy^{33,64,78}, paravertebral sympathetic nerve block^{56,77}, later after discography and in one case following an intervertebral disc operation.

On the occasion of the case report by Giesecking¹⁸ a discussion was sparked off concerning aetiology: while Giesecking himself assumed operative activation of a pre-existing "discitis" to be responsible, Peiper⁴⁷ and Kley thought it to be some kind of non-infectious "spondylosis" caused by mechanical injury to the endplates of the vertebral bodies. Ziegler⁷⁸ and Laur³³ considered bacterial contamination as the cause of spondylitis which they had seen following paravertebral injection. This was the opinion as well of J. S. Barr, who described several cases of postoperative spondylitis in 1951².

Discussion about pathogenesis still continues, although the number of case reports on postoperative spondylitis exceeds 400. The pathogenesis is reflected by various concepts: as spondylitis (for instance^{4, 18, 20, 24, 51, 52}), discitis^{3, 35, 36, 44, 49, 50, 62}, spondylodiscitis^{48, 58, 75}, acute spondylosis^{30, 47}, osteoarthritis⁵⁹, vertebral column osteomyelitis^{6, 33, 78} or aseptic vertebral necrosis⁵⁷.

The clinical manifestations range from almost asymptomatic variants with mild radiological alterations with neither fever, leucocytosis nor elevation of ESR^{21,35,51,57} to septic courses and occasional deaths^{1,4}. Statements about incidence vary from between zero percent^{11,19} to three percent⁴⁹ (Tab. 1). Numerous reports on the results of intervertebral disc surgery—including some from the seventies which

cover a large amount of clinical material—do not mention this complication at all. This raises questions about the number of undetected cases, particularly as the diagnosis seems to be somewhat difficult^{32, 61, 72}.

In view of the large discrepancies in the reported incidence of the disease, several authors^{14, 38, 59, 61, 63, 76} have discussed the influence of operative techniques on the development of postoperative spondylitis. On the occasion of changing the operative technique in our clinic in 1982, to microsurgical methods, we took the opportunity to check its influence on the incidence of postoperative spondylitis.

Patients and Methods

From December 1980 to September 1982 we performed 250 operations on herniated lumbar discs using the so-called conventional approach (without microscope). This material is called group A. Operation was performed when there was myelographic or computerized tomographic evidence of a lumbar disc herniation with corresponding radicular pain, with or without neurological deficit. We performed a resection of the ligamentum flavum—and sometimes small parts of the vertebral arch—preserving intervertebral joints and

their capsules as well as epidural fatty tissue (as described by Davis⁹ and as early as 1939 by Love³⁹) in the genupectoral position. Perforated disc material in the vertebral canal and/or in the intervertebral foramen was removed, the intervertebral space was cleared using forceps leaving the firm portions of anulus fibrosus unaltered. We did not use curettes. From October 1982 to November 1984 we performed another 231 operations on herniated lumbar disc, this time using the microsurgical technique, described by Caspar⁸ and Loew³⁸ (Group B). Compared with the former method several changes were made:

- patient positioned on a resting splint
- magnification by operating microscope (OPMI 1, ZEISS, Oberkochen, Federal Republic of Germany)
- smaller extravertebral access

Table 1 b. *Incidence of Spondylitis Following Lumbar Disc Surgery. References with special attention to this complication*

Author	Year	Number of operations	Cases of spondylitis	Incidence
Turnbull ⁷⁴	1951	300	3	1.0%
Gieseking ¹⁸	1951	?	1	
Brussatis ⁶	1954	2 000	4	0.2%
Ford ¹⁵	1955	?	3	
Lenshoek ³⁴	1956	2 000	3	0.15%
Kley ³⁰	1957	?	1	
Schultz ⁶⁵	1958	4 000	5	0.13%
Sullivan ⁶⁴	1958	?	11	
Stern ⁶⁸	1959	?	9	
Barbieri ¹	1961	2 000	8	0.4%
Salvi ⁸⁰	1962	2 916	27	0.9%
Ruggeri ⁵⁹	1965	4 962	16	0.3%
Bösch ⁴	1965	1 250	26	2.1%
Lowman ⁴⁰	1966	?	3	
Savini ⁶¹	1968	2 076	20	1.0%
Thibodeau ⁷²	1968	?	50	
Lang ³²	1968	?	8	
Pilgaard ⁴⁹	1969	502	15	3.0%
Reichenbeck ⁵⁷	1971	1 000	5	0.5%
Scharfetter ⁶²	1971	500	3	0.6%
Teng ⁷¹	1972	917	3	0.3%
Pilgaard ⁵⁰	1972	502	15	3.0%
Greiner ²⁰	1974	1 492	23	1.5%
Grollmus ²¹	1974	?	18	
El Ghindi ¹⁴	1976	650	5	0.8%
Meining ⁴¹	1977	2 745	17	0.2/1%
Lester ³⁵	1978	918	22	2.4%
Twerdy ⁷⁵	1978	1 345	16	1.2%
Taylor ⁷⁰	1978	?	14	
Baš ³	1981	?	2	
Lindholm ³⁶	1982	3 576	27	0.8%
Rawlings ⁵⁵	1983	4 500	11	0.2%
Puranen ⁷⁹	1984	1 100	8	0.7%

Table 1 a. *Incidence of Spondylitis Following Lumbar Disc Surgery. References without special attention to this complication*

Author	Year	Number of operations	Cases of spondylitis	Incidence
Jung ²⁸	1950	108	n.m. ¹	
O'Connell ⁴³	1950	500	n.m.	
Barr ²	1951	495	m. ²	
Diemath ¹⁰	1958	182	n.m.	
Raaf ⁵⁴	1959	905	n.m.	
Gurdijan ²²	1961	1 176	6	0.5%
Brown ⁵	1963	570	n.m.	
Slepian ⁶⁷	1966	837	1	0.12%
Burke ⁷	1971	88	n.m.	
Dunkerley ¹²	1971	57	n.m.	
Diemath ¹¹	1973	433	0	0.0%
Horwitz ²⁵	1975	531	n.m.	
Thomalske ⁷³	1977	2 000	n.m.	
Oppel ⁴⁵	1977	3 198	n.m.	
Salenius ⁶⁰	1977	886	4	0.5%
Goald ^{19 3}	1980	447	0	0.0%
Wilson ^{76 3}	1981	400	9	2.3%
Ebeling ^{13 3}	1983	150	3	2.0%
23				

¹ Not mentioned.

² Mentioned, not giving data on incidence.

³ Microsurgical.

¹ Cited from⁶¹.

— new instruments, including smaller forceps for clearing the intervertebral space.

There were no other alterations in the treatment. We used no prophylaxis, neither local or systemic antibiotics. Irrigation of the operative site was carried out solely with physiological sodium chloride solution and H₂O₂. There were no changes in the method of disinfection or sterilisation. All patients in both groups have been operated upon in the same operating theatre.

Every patient received identical medical treatment with anti-phlogistics and vitamin B as well as prophylaxis for thrombosis. Each patient got out of bed on the first day after operation for several minutes. Approximately 80% of the operations (Group A: 79%, Group B: 85%) were performed by only three surgeons, in each of the rest one of these surgeons took part as an assistant. The operative technique was thus quite uniform. Characteristics of the two groups with regard to sex, age and involved segments are listed in Table 2. There are no relevant differences in any of these parameters. Distribution is nearly the same as described in other publications (for instance^{11, 26, 45}). In both groups, there were 8% reoperations.

In every patient ESR was investigated pre-operatively and on the 7th day after the operation.

We made the diagnosis of postoperative spondylitis whenever the following three criteria were met:

- Severe low back pain following lumbar disc surgery accompanied by paravertebral muscular spasm intensified by strain^{21, 55, 62, 68, 69, 72, 75}, with or without a latent period after the operation.
- Appearance of radiological signs of spondylitis not seen before operation, such as blurring, erosion or sclerosing of endplates, formation of spondylophytes, later on fusion of vertebral bodies^{21, 35, 49, 68, 72, 75}.
- Elevated ESR exceeding 18 mm/h, at least once post-operatively^{14, 21, 32, 37, 41, 50, 55, 58, 62}.

Clinical signs and symptoms played a leading role and triggered further diagnostic procedures. Follow-up examinations were made over a period of at least twelve months.

Results

We confirmed the diagnosis of postoperative spondylitis in eight patients, seven of them being operated upon by the conventional technique (2.8%, Group A), and only one by the microsurgical method (0.4%, Group B). That means a significant decrease of spondylitis since the introduction of the microsurgical technique ($p < 0.05$, χ^2 -test).

With regard to all other clinical data no significant differences did exist between the two groups (Table 2).

Seasonal variation, described by Greiner²⁰, was confirmed neither in our material (winter 2, spring 1, summer 2, autumn 3), nor in the publication by Lindholm³⁶. The surgical staff who performed the operations did not influence the incidence of spondylitis. Latency lay between one day and eleven weeks from operation to appearance of first symptoms of spondylitis, a period of time in general agreement with periods reported by others^{20, 32, 36, 68}. The leading symp-

tom was in every case severe low back pain intensified by movement, in two cases accompanied by moderate radicular pain. Neurological deficits, due to spondylitis, never occurred.

ESR was elevated in 7 of 8 patients as early as the 7th day after the operation. Values ranged between 26 and 136 mm/h. The remaining patient showed an elevation of ESR only after the 3rd week. But elevated ESR (> 18 mm/h) was observed in some patients without spondylitis as well (Table 3).

White cell count was below 10,000 in every spondylitic patient. Moderate rise of body temperature (38–39 °C) was shown by two patients, starting on the second day after the operation, lasting 2 and 9 days, respectively. Bacteriological investigation of the tips of wound-drains revealed in three cases “micrococcaceae” (total group: 16%) without any evidence of superficial disturbances of wound healing. None showed signs of a meningeal reaction.

The first radiological signs of spondylitis appeared between 33rd and 89th day postoperatively. Antibiotics were given only to 2 patients who showed elevated body temperature in the first week after operation. Their administration continued until the 4th day after the fever had subsided. Immobilization in a plaster-bed produced a dramatic easing of pain within 3 or 4 days in every case.

Discussion

Courses of postoperative spondylitis observed in our patients were within the well-known variability of this disease, described by several authors mentioned above. Signs and symptoms, compiled from the literature (Table 1), are shown in Table 4 in comparison with our observations.

It is our opinion that postoperative spondylitis should not be diagnosed without elevation of ESR. In the large series of Lindholm (N = 33)³⁶, Savini (N = 14)⁶¹, Sullivan (N = 11)⁶⁹ and Greiner, Pia and Schepelmann (N = 23)²⁰, ESR was elevated in every case. Rawlings⁵⁵ saw only one patient out of 27 showing ESR below 20 mm/h, but this was a patient treated with steroids. Only Lester³⁵ reports a considerable number of spondylitis patients with normal ESR (8 out of 22). No mention was made of time and number of investigations. We agree with Rawlings⁵⁵, who takes elevated ESR for an obligatory sign of spondylitis, being fully aware that it is in no way specific—as our data on patients without spondylitis

Table 2. Characteristics of Patient Population and Frequency of Postoperative Spondylitis

Group	A	B
Date of operation	Dec. 1980–Sept. 1982	Oct. 1982–Nov. 1984
Technique	conventional	microsurgical
Number of operations	250	231
Sex male	58.4%	58.5%
female	41.6%	41.5%
Age mean	43.5 years	43.1 years
< 30 years	6.2%	10.1%
30–39 years	28.4%	27.2%
40–49 years	36.6%	38.3%
50–59 years	23.9%	15.4%
≥ 60 years	4.9%	9.0%
One segment		
lumbar 2/3	0.4%	0.0%
lumbar 3/4	4.0%	3.5%
lumbar 4/5	49.6%	48.0%
lumbar 5/sacrum	41.2%	42.9%
Two segments	4.8%	5.6%
Spondylitis number	7	1
frequency	2.8%	0.4%*

* $p < 0.05$ (χ^2 -test).

Table 3. Frequency of at Least Moderately Elevated ESR (> 18 mm/h According to Westergreen) Prior to and 7th Day After Operation

	N	One day before OP	7th day after OP
Group A (without spondylitis)	243	12%	29%
Group B (without spondylitis)	230	14%	24%
Patients with spondylitis (from Group A + B)	8	1/8	7/8

(Table 3) as well as those of Grollmus²¹ and Kapp²⁹ suggest.

Since the middle of this century postoperative spondylitis has seemed to show an increase in frequency. Publications which can be evaluated with respect to this syndrome reveal a mean incidence of 0.2% in the fifties, 0.8% in the sixties and 1.4% in the seventies. The influence of better diagnostic accuracy is not known. Meining⁴¹ saw in his own material an increase of incidence from 0.16% (1950–1970) to 1.03% (1970–1975), as well as Puranen⁷⁹ in his recent paper.

Our finding is thus somewhat unique inasmuch as this complication has become less frequent in our homogeneous material. There was only one alteration made during the observed period: operative technique was changed in the manner described. Being very careful in interpreting the data obtained not from a prospective randomized study but from a trial with retrospective controls, we feel that relevant marginal conditions are of sufficient stability and that the running time of our trial is of sufficient shortness (4 years) to allow comparison of the two treatment

Table 4. *Signs and Symptoms of Spondylitis*

	According to literature		Own data	
	N	Frequency		
Low back pain	425	100%	8/8	obligatory
Radiological	425	100%	8/8	
ESR > 18 mm/h	193	84%	8/8	
Fever > 38 °C	188	34%	2/8	optional
Leukocytosis > 10000	151	19%	0/8	
Disturbances of wound healing	272	17%	0/8	

groups. Furthermore we assume that there is no justification for a prospective randomized trial in light of the several advantages of microsurgical technique mentioned elsewhere^{13,19,38,76}. With this reservation we feel justified in inferring from our material that the incidence of postoperative spondylitis is related to operative technique and is less frequent after microsurgery than after conventional surgery.

Influence of operative technique on frequency of spondylitis was already proposed by Savini⁶¹. His theoretical considerations take into account that injury to end-plates, maybe in combination with trauma to small vessels in this region and haematomas in the intervertebral space, may act as risk-factors for postoperative intervertebral disc space inflammation. He could not, however, support his suspicion by clinical data. Ruggieri⁵⁹ observed a significant drop in the incidence of intervertebral disc space infection, when performing the clearance of the intervertebral space more cautiously: simultaneously, however, he also introduced antibiotic prophylaxis. Opposing him, Schepelmann⁶³ and Loew and Caspar³⁸ assumed that no influence could be attributed to operative technique. Wilson⁷⁶ even saw a higher frequency after microsurgical treatment. Significance tests were not employed in this study, however. The considerations of Savini⁶¹ also speak in favour of the microsurgical technique: its most important advantage seems to be the diminution of tissue trauma as was recommended by Savini.

Wilson⁷⁶ reports the halving of intraoperative blood loss, Loew³⁸ and Gould¹⁹ saw less postoperative pain and shortening of hospitalization—indications of reduced trauma. This observation may explain our results: reduction of injury is responsible for the fact that germs which enter the operation area in small

numbers with every operation (as with hematogenous spondylitis^{17,31,46,48,66} so also in postoperative spondylitis mostly staphylococcus^{1,14,15,25,32,36,55,58,68}) find a less suitable breeding ground and therefore are less frequently able to cause infections of clinical relevance.

One will have to await the results of further clinical investigations to show whether more careful clearing of the intervertebral disc space (which should include in our opinion practically all of the nucleus pulposus) has relevance with regard to the long-term results of lumbar disc surgery.

References

- Ambrose GB (1966) Vertebral osteomyelitis. *Jama* 197: 101–104
- Barr JS (1951) Low back and sciatic pain. *J Bone Jt Surg* 33A: 633–649
- Baš H (1981) Discitis intervertebralis postoperativa. *Cesk Neurolog A Neurochir* 44: 328–331
- Bösch J (1965) Die unspezifische Spondylitis nach Nukleographie und Bandscheibenoperation. *Z Orthop* 100: 191–195
- Brown HA, Pond ME (1963) Diseases of lumbar disks—ten years of surgical treatment. *J Neurosurg* 20: 410–417
- Brussatis F (1954) Osteomyelitis nach Operation lumbaler Diskushernien. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 3: 209–230
- Burke FD (1976) Lumbar disk surgery. *Brit J Clin Practice* 30: 29–31
- Caspar W (1977) A new surgical procedure for lumbar disk herniation causing less tissue damage through microsurgical approach. In: Willenweber R *et al* (eds) *Advances in neurosurgery*, vol 4. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Davis CH (1982) Extradural spinal cord and nerve root compression from benign lesions of the lumbar area. In: Youmans JR (ed) *Neurological surgery*, Saunders, Philadelphia
- Diemath HE, Höpner F (1958) Spätergebnisse und Verlaufskontrollen nach lumbalen Diskusoperationen. *Med Klinik* 53: 1263–1267
- Diemath HE (1973) Die Indikation der lumbalen Bandscheibenoperationen. *Manuelle Med* 11: 97–101
- Dunkerley GE (1971) The results of surgery for low back and leg pain. *Post grad med J* 47: 120–128
- Ebeling U, Reulen HJ (1983) Ergebnisse der mikrochirurgischen lumbalen Bandscheibenoperation. *Neurochirurgia* 26: 12–17
- El Gindi S *et al* (1976) Infection of intervertebral disks after operation. *J Bone J Surg* B 58: 114–116
- Ford LT, Key JA (1955) Postoperative infection of the intervertebral disk space. *Southern Med J* 48: 1295–1303
- Fraenkl E (1903) Über Erkrankung des roten Knochenmarks, besonders der Wirbel, bei Abdominaltyphus. *Mitt Grenzgeb Med Chir* 11: 1–19
- Garcia A jr, Grantham A (1960) Haematogenous pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis. *J Bone J Surg* 42A: 429–436
- Gieseking H (1951) Lokalisierte Spondylitis nach operiertem Bandscheibenvorfall. *Z Chir* 1470–1476
- Gould HJ (1980) Microlumbar discectomy: Follow-up of 477 patients. *J Microsurg* 2: 95–100
- Greiner L, Pia HW, Schepelmann F (1974) Spondylitis und lumbale Bandscheibenoperationen. *Zbl Neurochir* 35: 179–192

21. Grollmus J *et al* (1974) Erythrozyte sedimentation rate as a possible indicator of early disc space infection. *Neurochirurgia* 17: 30–35
22. Gurdijan ES *et al* (1961) Results of operative treatment of protruded and ruptured lumbar disc. *J Neurosurg* 18: 783–791
23. Hellner H (1949) Die nichttuberkulöse Spondylitis. *Dtsch Z Chir* 261: 96–124
24. Hering H, Will CH (1976) Spondylitis infectiosa. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 124: 185–186
25. Horwitz NH, Curtin JA (1975) Prophylactic antibiotics and wound infections following laminectomy for lumbar disc herniation. *J Neurosurg* 43: 727–731
26. Hurme M *et al* (1983) Operated lumbar disc herniation: epidemiological aspects. *Ann Chir Gyn* 72: 33–36
27. Jochheim KA, Loew F, Rütt A (1961) Lumbaler Bandscheibenvorfall. Konservative und operative Behandlung. Springer, Berlin Göttingen Heidelberg
28. Junge H (1950) Osteochondrosis vertebrae, hinterer Bandscheibenvorfall und Lumbago-Ischias-Syndrom. *Erg Chir Orth* 36: 223–360
29. Kapp JB, Sybers WA (1979) Erythrocyte sedimentation rate following uncomplicated lumbar disc operations. *Surg Neurol* 12: 329–330
30. Kley KH (1957) Beitrag zur „Akuten Spondylose nach Bandscheibenoperation“. *Zbl Chir* 82: 1540–1543
31. Kulowski J (1936) Pyogenic osteomyelitis of the spine. *J Bone Jt Surg* 18: 343–364
32. Lang EF (1968) Postoperative infection of the intravertebral disc space. *Surg Clin North America* 48: 649–660
33. Laur A, Keller C (1952) Wirbelosteomyelitis nach Grenzstrangblockade. *Fortschr Röntgenschr* 77: 81–89
34. Lenshoek CH (1956) Infection of the intervertebral disc following operation for protrusion of the nucleus pulposus. *Arch Chir Neerlandicum* 8: 57–66
35. Lester J *et al* (1978) Lumbar discitis after prolaps operation. *Ugeskr Laeg* 140: 1155–1158
36. Lindholm TS, Pylkkänen P (1982) Discitis following removal of intervertebral disc. *Spine* 7: 618–622
37. Loew F, Kivelitz R, Jochheim KA (1969) Klinik und Behandlung der lumbalen Bandscheibenschäden. In: Olivecrona H, Tönnis W (eds) *Handbuch der Neurochirurgie*, Bd 7, Teil 1, Springer, Berlin Göttingen Heidelberg
38. Loew F, Caspar W (1978) Surgical approach to lumbar disc herniations. In: Krayenbühl H *et al* (eds): *Advances and technical standards in neurosurgery*, vol 5. Springer, Wien New York, pp 153–175
39. Love JG (1939) Removal of protruded intervertebral discs without laminectomy. *Proc Staff Meet Mayo Clin* 14: 800
40. Lowman RM, Robinson F (1966) Progressive vertebrale interspace changes following disc surgery. *Amer J Röntgenolog* 37: 664–671
41. Meining G *et al* (1977) Spondylitis—lumbar disc removal. In: Wüllenweber R *et al* (eds) *Advances in neurosurgery*, vol 4. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
42. Milward FJ (1936) Changes in the intervertebral discs following lumbar puncture. *Lancet* 2: 183–185
43. O'Connell JEA (1950) The indications for and results of the excision of lumbar intervertebral disc protrusions, a review of 500 cases. *Ann Royal Coll Surg* 6: 403–412
44. Onofrio EM (1980) Intervertebral discitis: incidence. Diagnosis and management. In: Carmel DW *et al* (eds) *Clin Neurosurg* (Baltimore), pp 481–516
45. Oppel F *et al* (1977) Results and complicated course after surgery of lumbar disc herniation. In: Wüllenweber R *et al* (eds) *Advances in neurosurgery*, vol 4. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, p 36
46. Partio E, Hatanpää S, Rokkanen P (1978) Pyogenic spondylitis. *Acta Orthop Scand* 49: 164–168
47. Piper H (1952) Über wenig bekannte Komplikationen der Diskushernie, insbesondere einer akuten „Spondylose“. *Chir* 23: 225–226
48. Pere C *et al* (1976) Spondylodiscites à pyogènes de l'adult. *Rev Chir Orthop* 62: 703–719
49. Pilgaard S (1969) Discitis following removal of lumbar intervertebral disc. *J Bone Jt Surg* 51 A: 713–716
50. Pilgaard S (1973) Discitis efter lumbale discusprolapsoperationer. *Ugeskr Laeg* 135: 1529–1530
51. Pohl W, Kränzlein HG (1973) Über blande sklerosierende Spondylitiden. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 119: 352–357
52. Porstmann W (1956) Spondylitis infectiosa im Anschluß an Verletzung des vorderen Längsbandes. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 85: 66–75
53. Price AD (1983) Intervertebrale disks space infections. *Radiology* 149: 725–729
54. Raaf J (1959) Some observations regarding 905 patients operated upon for protruded lumbar intervertebral disk. *Amer J Surg* 97: 388–399
55. Rawlings CE *et al* (1983) Postoperative intervertebral disk space infections. *Neurosurg* 13: 371–376
56. Rehm A (1969) Wirbelosteomyelitis nach Renovasographie? *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 111: 286–289
57. Reichenbach W (1971) Aseptische Wirbelnekrose nach Operation lumbaler Diskushernien. *Schweizer Arch Neurol Neurochir Psychiatrie* 108: 61–74
58. Riffat G *et al* (1970) Les spondylo-discitites infectieuses. *J méd Lyon* 51: 1779–1780
59. Ruggeri F, Segellin O (1965) L'Osteoartrite intersomatica vertebrale quale complicanza di intervento per ernia del disco lombare. *Clinia Orthoped* 17: 478–493
60. Salenius P, Laurent LE (1977) Results of operative treatment of lumbar disk herniation. *Acta Orthop Scand* 48: 630–634
61. Savini R, Valdiserri L (1968) L'infezione dello spazio intersomatico negli operati per ernia discale. *Chir Organi Nov* 57: 157–177
62. Scharfetter F, Müller G (1971) Über die postoperative Discitis intervertebralis lumbalis. *Schweiz Arch Neurol Neurochir Psychiatrie* 108: 99–111
63. Schepelmann F, Greiner L, Pia HW (1977) Complications following operation of the herniated lumbar disk. In: Wüllenweber R *et al* (eds): *Advances in neurosurgery*, vol 4, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 52 ff
64. Schlüter K (1955) Infektionsspondylitis nach paravertebraler Infiltration. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 82: 357–363
65. Schultz EC (1958) Postoperative bone changes following lumbar disk removal. *J Neurosurg* 15: 537–547
66. Serre H *et al* (1973) Spondylodiscitis infectieuse. *Rev Rhumatisme* 40: 243–253
67. Slepian A (1966) Lumbar disk surgery—long follow-up. *N J State J Med* 66: 1063

68. Stern WE, Crandall DH (1959) Inflammatory intervertebral disk disease as a complication of the operative treatment of lumbar herniations. *J Neurosurg* 16: 261-276
69. Sullivan CR, Bickel WH (1958) Infections of vertebral interspaces after operations on intervertebral disks. *Jama* 166: 1973-1977
70. Taylor TKF, Dooley BJ (1978) Antibiotics in the management of postoperative disk space infections. *Aust NZ J Surg* 48: 74-77
71. Teng P (1972) Postoperative lumbar discitis. *Bull Los Angeles Neurol Soc* 37: 114-123
72. Thibodeau AA (1968) Closed space infections following removal of lumbar disk. *J Bone Jt Surg* 50 A: 400-410
73. Thomalske G (1977) Operationsergebnisse bei 2000 Fällen lumbaler Bandscheibenläsionen. *Münch Med Wochenschr* 119: 1159-1164
74. Turnbull F (1953) Postoperative inflammatory disease of lumbar disks. *J Neurosurg* 10: 469-473
75. Twerdy K (1978) Die Spondylo-Discitis nach Bandscheibenoperationen. *Zbl Neurochirurgie* 39: 155-176
76. Wilson DH, Harbaugh R (1981) Microsurgical and standard removal of the protruded lumbar disk: a comparative study. *Neurosurgery* 8: 422-425
77. Ziegler G (1952) Mitteilungen zur Wirbelosteomyelitis nach Grenzstrangblockade. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 77: 720-721
78. Ziegler G (1952) Spondylosis chondromalacia oder blande Osteomyelitis der Wirbelsäule? *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 76: 85-89
79. Puranen J *et al* (1984) Postoperative intervertebral discitis. *Acta Orthop Scand* 55: 461-465
80. Salvi P (1962) Infezione dello spazio intervertebrale conseguente ad intervento chirurgico per ernia del disco lombare. *Archivio Putti* 16: 335-355

Author's address: Dr. med. W. A. Dauch, Neurochirurgische Univ.-Klinik, Baldingerstrasse, D-3550 Marburg 1, Federal Republic of Germany.