## Connection between the Different $L_s$ -Predictions with Applications

D. Landers<sup>1</sup> and L. Rogge<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mathematisches Institut der Universität zu Köln
 Weyertal 86–90, D-5000 Köln 41, Federal Republic of Germany
 <sup>2</sup> Universität Konstanz, Fachbereich Wirtschaftswissenschaften/Statistik,
 Postfach 7733, D-7750 Konstanz, Federal Republic of Germany

## 1. Introduction and Notations

Let P be a probability measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{A}$  over  $\Omega$ . For each s > 1 denote by  $\mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P)$  the space of all realvalued random variables f with  $P[|f|^s] < \infty$ . Let  $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{A}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra. For each  $f \in \mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P)$  let  $P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f$  be the projection of f onto the closed linear subspace  $\mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{B}, P)$  and call it the s-prediction of f. 2-prediction is the usual conditional expectation. According to [1]  $P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f$  exists and is P-a.e. uniquely determined. We use the symbol  $P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f$  both for a function and its corresponding equivalence class.

Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be a family of probability measures on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{A}$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{A}$  is sufficient for  $\mathscr{P}|\mathscr{A}$  in the usual sense iff for each  $A \in \mathscr{A}$  there exists a common 2-prediction  $g_2^A \in \bigcap_{\substack{P \in \mathscr{P}\\ P \in \mathscr{P}}} P_2^{\mathscr{B}} 1_A$ . We call  $\mathscr{B}s$ -prediction sufficient for  $\mathscr{P}|\mathscr{A}$  iff for each  $A \in \mathscr{A}$  there exists a common s-prediction  $g_s^A \in \bigcap_{\substack{P \in \mathscr{P}\\ P \in \mathscr{P}}} P_s^{\mathscr{B}} 1_A$ .

It is shown in this paper (see Theorem 1) that there exists a functional relationship between *s*-prediction and 2-prediction for step functions. This relation can be used to show that *s*-prediction-sufficiency is equivalent to sufficiency.

If  $\mathscr{B}$  is sufficient for  $\mathscr{P}|\mathscr{A}$ , the linearity of the 2-prediction directly implies that for each  $f \in \bigcap_{\substack{P \in \mathscr{P} \\ P \in \mathscr{P}}} \mathscr{L}_2(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P)$  there exists a common 2-prediction  $g_2^f \in \bigcap_{\substack{P \in \mathscr{P} \\ P \in \mathscr{P}}} \mathcal{D}_2^{\mathscr{B}} f$ . Since s-prediction is in general not a linear operator, the corresponding consequence for sprediction sufficiency cannot be derived in such a direct way. It, however, follows from our functional relationship between s-prediction and 2-prediction. This relationship can furthermore be applied, to obtain a generalization of Ando-Amemiya's [1] martingale theorem for s-predictions.

## 2. The Results

If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , r > 0 let  $a^r = |a|^r$  sign a.

To make the paper more readable we collect the following well known results (see e.g. [1], [4]).

*Remark.* Let  $1 < s < \infty$ . Then

a) 
$$f \leq g \Rightarrow P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f \leq P_s^{\mathscr{B}} g$$
  $(f, g \in \mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P))$   
b)  $P_s^{\mathscr{B}}(\alpha f) = \alpha P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f$   $(f \in \mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P), \alpha \in \mathbb{R})$   
c)  $P_s^{\mathscr{B}}(\alpha + f) = \alpha + P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f$   $(f \in \mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P), \alpha \in \mathbb{R})$   
d)  $f_n, f \in \mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P)$  and  $f_n \uparrow f$  or  $f_n \downarrow f$  then  
 $P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f$   $P$ -a.e.  
e) If  $f \in \mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P), g \in \mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{B}, P)$  then  
 $g \in P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f$  iff  $P_2^{\mathscr{B}} [(f - g)^{s - 1}] = 0$   
f) If  $f_n, f \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathscr{P}} \mathscr{L}_s(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P), f_n \uparrow f$  or  $f_n \downarrow f$  and

$$g_n \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathscr{P}} P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f_n$$
 then  $\varlimsup_{n \to \infty} g_n \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathscr{P}} P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f.$ 

We remark that f) directly follows from d).

In the following theorem we state our basic relationship between s-prediction and 2-prediction.

Let

$$\Delta_m = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in [0, 1]^m \colon \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1 \right\}.$$

**1. Theorem.** Let  $P|\mathscr{A}$  be a probability measure,  $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{A}$  a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra and  $1 < s < \infty$ . Then for each step function f with representations  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$ , where  $A_i \in \mathscr{A}$ , i = 1, ..., m, are disjoint and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i = \Omega$ , there exists a continuous function  $H_{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m}^{(s)}$ :  $\Delta_m \to \mathbb{R}$  which depends on  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m$  and s but not on  $P|\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  such that

 $P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f = H_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m}^{(s)} \circ (P_2^{\mathscr{B}} A_1, \ldots, P_2^{\mathscr{B}} A_m).$ 

*Proof.* Let g be  $\mathscr{B}$ -measurable and bounded: to show that  $g \in P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f$  it suffices to prove according to Remark e) that

$$P_2^{\mathscr{B}}\left[(f-g)^{s-1}\right] = 0. \tag{1}$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} 1_{A_i} = 1$  and  $P_2^{\mathscr{B}}$  is a linear operator, (1) is equivalent to  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_2^{\mathscr{B}} [1_{A_i} (\alpha_i - g)^{s-1}] = 0$ 

and hence to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i - \mathbf{g})^{s-1} P_2^{\mathscr{B}} A_i = 0.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Now we construct a continuous function  $H: \Delta_m \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g = H \circ (P_2^{\mathscr{B}}A_1, \dots, P_2^{\mathscr{B}}A_m)$  fulfills (2). We remark that this g is  $\mathscr{B}$ -measurable and bounded. W.l.g. we assume  $\alpha_1 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_m$ . For each  $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \Delta_m, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\varphi_{x_1,\ldots,x_m}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha)^{s-1} x_i.$$

Since  $\alpha \to \varphi_{x_1, \dots, x_m}(\alpha)$  is a strictly monotone decreasing and continuous function with  $\varphi_{x_1, \dots, x_m}(\alpha_1) \ge 0$  and  $\varphi_{x_1, \dots, x_m}(\alpha_m) \le 0$  there exists a unique  $H(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\varphi_{x_1, \ldots, x_m}(H(x_1, \ldots, x_m)) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that H is continuous. Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_2^{\mathscr{B}} A_i = 1$  we obtain that  $g = H \circ (P_2^{\mathscr{B}} A_1, \dots, P_2^{\mathscr{B}} A_m)$  fulfills relation (2).

The construction of H shows that H depends only on  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  and s.

Although the s-prediction is in general not a linear operator it turns out to be possible to describe the s-prediction of a step function  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_i = \Omega \right)$  in terms of the s-predictions  $P_s^{\mathscr{B}}A_i$ , i = 1, ..., m. Moreover the functional connection is independent of  $P \mid \mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$ .

**2.** Corollary. Let  $P \mid \mathcal{A}$  be a probability measure,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -field and  $1 < s < \infty$ . If  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$  where  $A_i \in \mathscr{A}$ , i = 1, ..., m, are disjoint and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i = \Omega$ , there exists a continuous function  $\hat{H}: \Delta_m \to \mathbb{R}$  which depends on  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  and s but not on  $P \mid \mathscr{A}$  and *B* such that

$$P_s^{\mathscr{B}} f = \hat{H} \circ (P_s^{\mathscr{B}} A_1, \dots, P_s^{\mathscr{B}} A_m).$$

*Proof.* Let  $H_{0,1}^{(s)}: \Delta_2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function appearing in Theorem 1 for  $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 1$ . It is easy to see that  $\varphi(x) = H_{0,1}^{(s)}(x, 1-x) = \frac{x^r}{x^r + (1-x)^r}$  (where  $r = \frac{1}{s-1}$ ) is a continuous strictly increasing function from [0, 1] onto [0, 1]. Let

$$\hat{H} = H_{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m}^{(s)} \circ (\varphi^{-1}, ..., \varphi^{-1}).$$

Since  $P_2^{\mathscr{B}}A = \varphi^{-1} \circ P_s^{\mathscr{B}}A$ , Theorem 1 yields the assertion. As  $P_s^{\mathscr{B}}A = \varphi \circ P_2^{\mathscr{B}}A$  and  $P_2^{\mathscr{B}}A = \varphi^{-1} \circ P_s^{\mathscr{B}}A$  with a strictly increasing and continuous function  $\varphi$  which does not depend on  $P|\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  we obtain:

**3. Corollary.** Let  $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}$  be a family of probability measures. If a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is sprediction sufficient for  $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}$  for some  $s \in (1, \infty)$  then it is s-prediction sufficient for all  $s \in (1, \infty)$ .

Hence s-prediction sufficiency is equivalent to sufficiency.

**4.** Corollary. Let  $\mathscr{P}|\mathscr{A}$  be a family of probability measures, and  $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{A}$  be a sprediction sufficient  $\sigma$ -algebra for  $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}$  with  $s \in (1, \infty)$ . Then for each  $f \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathscr{P}} \mathscr{L}_{s}(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P) \text{ there exists a common s-predictor } g \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathscr{P}} P_{s}^{\mathscr{B}} f.$ 

*Proof.* According to Corollary 2 there exists for each *A*-measurable step function a common *s*-predictor. Hence the assertion follows from Remark f).

Now we apply once more our relation between s-predictions and 2-predictions to obtain a generalized martingale theorem. For the special case s = 2 and  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}_\infty$  this is the result of Gänßler-Pfanzagl [2]. For the special case  $P_n = P$  it yields immediately the result of Ando-Amemiya [1] (use Remark d)).

**5. Corollary.** Let  $P_n | \mathscr{A}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , be probability measures, dominated by a measure  $\mu | \mathscr{A}$ . Let  $\mathscr{B}_n \subset \mathscr{A}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma$ -algebras decreasing or increasing to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{B}_{\infty}$  and assume that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\bigcap \mathscr{B}_n$ . Let  $h_n$  be a density of  $P_n | \mathscr{A}$  with respect to  $\mu | \mathscr{A}$  and  $h_n^*$  be a density of  $P_n | \mathscr{B}_n$  with respect to  $\mu | \mathscr{B}_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Assume that  $h_n \to h \mu$ -a.e. and  $h_n^* \to h^* \mu$ -a.e.

Then for each bounded  $\mathscr{A}$ -measurable function f and each  $s \in (1, \infty)$ 

$$(P_n)_s^{\mathscr{B}_n} f \to (P_\infty)_s^{\mathscr{B}_\infty} f \qquad P_\infty \text{-a.e.}$$

*Proof.* We show at first that the assertion holds for all step functions *f*. Represent *f* by  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$  with  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, ..., n$ , disjoint and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i = \Omega$ . According to Theorem 1 of [3] we have for each i = 1, ..., m

$$(P_n)_2^{\mathscr{B}_n} A_i \to (P_\infty)_2^{\mathscr{B}_\infty} A_i \qquad P_\infty \text{-a.e.}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

According to Theorem 1 there exists a continuous function  $H: \Delta_m \to \mathbb{R}$ , not depending on  $P_n | \mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}_n$  such that

 $(P_n)_s^{\mathscr{B}_n} f = H \circ ((P_n)_2^{\mathscr{B}_n} A_1, \dots, (P_n)_2^{\mathscr{B}_n} A_m)$ 

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Since *H* is continuous we obtain from (1) the assertion for the step function *f*.

Now let f be bounded and  $\mathscr{A}$ -measurable. Then there exists  $\mathscr{A}$ -measurable simple functions  $f_k$  such that  $f_k \leq f \leq f_k + \frac{1}{k}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$(P_n)_s^{\mathscr{B}_n} f_k \leq (P_n)_s^{\mathscr{B}_n} f \leq (P_n)_s^{\mathscr{B}_n} f_k + \frac{1}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since the assertion holds for each  $f_k$  we obtain

$$(P_{\infty})_{s}^{\mathscr{B}_{\infty}}f_{k} \leq \underbrace{\lim_{n \to \infty}}_{n \to \infty} (P_{n})_{s}^{\mathscr{B}_{n}}f \leq \overbrace{\lim_{n \to \infty}}^{\max} (P_{n})_{s}^{\mathscr{B}_{n}}f$$

$$\leq (P_{\infty})_{s}^{\mathscr{B}_{\infty}}f_{k} + \frac{1}{k}$$
(2)

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . According to Remark a) and c) we have  $(P_{\infty})_{s}^{\mathscr{B}_{\infty}} f_{k} \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} (P_{\infty})_{s}^{\mathscr{B}_{\infty}} f P$ -a.e. and (2) implies the assertion.

Examples, given in [2] and [3] show that the assumptions of the preceding result cannot be weakened.

## References

- 1. Ando, T., Amemiya, I.: Almost everywhere convergence of prediction sequence in  $L_p$  (1 . Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 4, 113–120 (1965)
- 2. Gänßler, P., Pfanzagl, J.: Convergence of conditional expectations. Ann. Math. Statist. 42, 315–324 (1971)
- 3. Landers, D., Rogge, L.: A generalized martingale theorem. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 23, 289-292 (1972)
- 4. Rao, M.M.: Inference in stochastic processes III. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **8**, 49–72 (1967)

Received June 27, 1977; in revised form April 10, 1978