Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete © by Springer-Verlag 1980

## Limit Distribution of the Last Exit Time for Stationary Random Sequences

Jürg Hüsler

Department of math. Statistics, University of Berne, Sidlerstr. 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

Summary. For a strictly stationary random sequence  $(X_i)_{i\geq 0}$  we find sufficient conditions such that the distribution of the last exit time  $t_{\beta} = \max\{i: X_i > \beta i\}$  ( $\beta > 0$ ) tends weakly to a nondegenerate limit distribution as  $\beta \downarrow 0$ .

## 1. Introduction and Result

Let  $(X_i)_{i \ge 0}$  be a sequence of identically distributed random variables. Define the last exit time by  $t_{\beta} = t_{\beta}(X) = \max\{i \ge 0: X_i > \beta i\}$ , if such an *i* exists, and  $t_{\beta} = 0$  else,  $\beta > 0$ . In [2] we proved that its limit distribution for  $\beta \downarrow 0$  is highly connected with the limit distribution of the maximum  $Z_n = \max_{i \le n} X_i$ . In this paper we derive limit distributions of  $t_{\beta}$  for strictly stationary sequences of not necessarily independent random variables. We give sufficient conditions in order that the limit of  $P\{\beta t_{\beta}(X) \le a_{\beta}x + b_{\beta}\}$  is the same as the limit of  $P\{\beta t_{\beta}(X^*) \le a_{\beta}x + b_{\beta}\}$ , where  $X^*$  is a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables  $(X_i^*)_{i \ge 0}$  with the same marginal distribution as  $X_i$ :

$$P\{X_i \leq x\} = P\{X_i^* \leq x\} = F(x) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0,$$

and  $a_{\beta}$  and  $b_{\beta}$  are norming values, depending on F and  $\beta$  (see [2]). According to the mentioned connection with extremal theory, we use some ideas from Leadbetter [4].

We assume that the sequence X is strictly stationary, which means that the finite-dimensional distributions

$$F_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = P\{X_{i_1} \leq x_1,\ldots,X_{i_n} \leq x_n\}$$

fulfill the condition

$$F_{i_1+l,\ldots,i_n+l}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = F_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for all  $l \ge 0$ ,  $i_i \ge 0$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore we have  $F_i(x) = F(x)$  for all  $i \ge 0$ .

We also assume  $EX_i^+ < \infty (\forall i \ge 0)$ , which implies that  $t_\beta$  a.s. Thus  $t_\beta$  is well-defined.

In the following we set  $\overline{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$ ,  $x_0 = \sup \{x: F(x) < 1\} \le \infty$  and  $H(x) = \int_{0}^{x_0} \overline{F}(y) dy$ . The integral exists since  $EX_i^+ < \infty$ .

We prove the theorem under the conditions (A) and (B). Condition (A) restricts the dependence of events which are widely separated in time; it is some kind of a mixing condition. Condition (B) considers the dependence of events which are close in time. Condition (A) and (B) are fulfilled by a stationary Gaussian sequence with correlation function r(n) where  $r(n) \log n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This verification is given in [3]. The result in this case which was proved in [1], is in a way the best possible, since if  $r(n) \log n \rightarrow \gamma > 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the limit distribution of  $t_{\beta}$  is no more equal to  $\exp(-e^{-x})$ , which is the limit distribution of  $t_{\beta}$  for independent normal variables.

We formulate Condition (A) and (B) for any sequence  $u_N$  which will be later of the form  $a_{1/N}x + b_{1/N}$   $(N \to \infty)$ .

**Condition (A).** Let for  $n, K, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with m < K and N = nK:

$$A_{l,n} = \left\{ X_{lK+i} \leq u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N}, \ i = 1, \dots, K - m \right\}, \quad l \geq 0,$$
$$\left| P\left( \bigcap_{k=0}^{l} A_{k,n} \right) - P\left( \bigcap_{k=0}^{l-1} A_{k,n} \right) P(A_{l,n}) \right| \leq \alpha_{n,m,K,l}.$$

Then we assume

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,m,K,l} < \infty \quad and \quad \lim_{\substack{K \to \infty \\ m_K \to \infty}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,m_K,K,l} = 0,$$

where  $m_{\rm K}/K \rightarrow 0$  as  $K \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Condition (B).** Let n, K, m, N be as in Condition (A) and

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le K-m} P\left\{X_i > u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N}, X_j > u_N + \frac{lK+j}{N}\right\} = \alpha_{n,m,K,l}^*.$$

Then we assume  $\lim_{K\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,m,K,l}^* = 0$  for all sufficiently large m.

Instead of Condition (B) we could assume Condition (B')

 $NH(u_N) = O(1)$  and

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le K-m} \left| P\left\{ X_i > u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N}, X_j > u_N + \frac{lK+j}{N} \right\} - \overline{F} \left( u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N} \right) \overline{F} \left( u_N + \frac{lK+j}{N} \right) \right| = 0.$$

For

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq K-m} \overline{F} \left( u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N} \right) \overline{F} \left( u_N + \frac{lK+j}{N} \right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{K} \overline{F} \left( u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N} \right) \right)^2$$
$$\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} N^2 \left\{ H \left( u_N + \frac{lK}{N} \right) - H \left( u_N + \frac{lK+K}{N} \right) \right\}^2.$$

Because of the monotony of the integrand

$$H\left(u_{N}+\frac{lK}{N}\right)-H\left(u_{N}+\frac{lK+K}{N}\right) \leq \int_{u_{N}}^{u_{N}+K/N} \overline{F}(y) \, dy \leq \frac{1}{n} \, \overline{F}(u_{N}),$$

the above sum is smaller than

$$N^{2} \frac{1}{n} \overline{F}(u_{N}) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ H\left(u_{N} + \frac{l}{n}\right) - H\left(u_{N} + \frac{l+1}{n}\right) \right\} \leq K \overline{F}(u_{N}) N H(u_{N}) \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$  and  $K \to \infty$ , for any *m*.

To simplify our formulation of the theorem we define

**Condition (C).** We assume that F(x) is such that as  $\beta \downarrow 0 P\{\beta t_{\beta}(X^*) \leq a_{\beta}x + b_{\beta}\}$  tends weakly to a nondegenerate limit distribution  $\phi(x)$ , where  $X^*$  is a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables with distribution F(x) and where  $a_{\beta} > 0$  and  $b_{\beta}$  are norming values.

For the discussion of these limit distributions see [2].

**Theorem.** Let  $(X_i)_{i \ge 0}$  be a stationary random sequence with  $EX_i^+ < \infty$  and the marginal distribution F(x), such that the conditions (A), (B) and (C) are fulfilled with  $u_n = a_{1/n}x + b_{1/n}$ , where  $a_{1/n}$  and  $b_{1/n}$  are the values of Condition (C),  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\lim_{\beta \downarrow 0} P\{\beta t_{\beta} \leq u_{[1/\beta]}\} = \phi(x)$$

for all points of continuity x of  $\phi$ .

The proof of the theorem is given in the next section by the following steps:

1) we may restrict our calculation to sequences  $\beta_n = n^{-1}$ ,

2) the probability of the event  $\{t_{1/n} \leq nu_n\}$  is asymptotically equal to  $P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{l,n}\right)$ ,  $A_{l,n}$  as in Condition (A), 3)  $P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{l,n}\right)$  is asymptotically equal to the product  $\prod_{l=0}^{\infty} P(A_{l,n})$ ,

4) the last product tends to  $\phi(x)$ .

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

As mentioned we give the proof by showing the four steps.

**Lemma 1.** If  $u_n \to x_0$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} P\{t_{1/n} \le n u_n\} = \theta > 0$ , then with  $u^*(\beta) = u_n$  for  $n \le 1/\beta < n+1$  $\lim_{\beta \downarrow 0} P\{\beta t_\beta \le u^*(\beta)\} = \theta.$ 

*Proof.* Since  $P\{\beta t_{\beta} \leq u^{*}(\beta)\} = P\{X_{i} \leq \beta i, i > \beta^{-1}u^{*}(\beta)\}$  we have

$$P\{\beta t_{\beta} \leq u^{*}(\beta)\} \leq P\{X_{i} \leq u^{*}(\beta) + \beta i, i \geq 1\}$$
$$\geq P\{X_{i} \leq u^{*}(\beta) + \beta(i-1), i \geq 1\}$$

by using the stationarity of  $(X_i)$  and the monotony of the boundary. The difference between the two approximations tends to zero, since with the Bonferroni inequality

$$\begin{split} & 0 \leq P \left\{ X_i \leq u^*(\beta) + \beta \, i, i \geq 1 \right\} - P \left\{ X_i \leq u^*(\beta) + \beta (i-1), i \geq 1 \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P \left\{ u^*(\beta) + \beta (i-1) < X_i \leq u^*(\beta) + \beta \, i \right\} \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \overline{F}(u^*(\beta) + \beta (i-1)) - \overline{F}(u^*(\beta) + \beta \, i) \right\} = \overline{F}(u^*(\beta)) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \beta \downarrow 0. \end{split}$$

Let *n* be such that  $n \leq 1/\beta < n+1$ . With the same argument we estimate

$$P\{X_{i} \leq u^{*}(\beta) + \beta i, i \geq 1\} \leq P\{X_{i} \leq u_{n} + \frac{i}{n}, i \geq 1\} = P\{t_{1/n} \leq n u_{n}\} + o(1)$$

and conversely

$$P\{X_{i} \leq u^{*}(\beta) + \beta i, i \geq 1\} \geq P\left\{X_{i} \leq u_{n} + \frac{i}{n+1}, i \geq 1\right\}$$
$$\geq P\left\{X_{i} \leq u_{n} + \frac{i}{n}, i \geq 1\right\} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left\{u_{n} + \frac{i}{n+1} < X_{i} \leq u_{n} + \frac{i}{n}\right\}$$
$$= P\{t_{1/n} \leq n u_{n}\} + o(1)$$

since the sum is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \overline{F}\left(u_n + \frac{i}{n+1}\right) - \overline{F}\left(u_n + \frac{i}{n}\right) \right\}$$
$$\leq (n+1) \int_{u_n}^{x_0} \overline{F}(y) \, dy - n \int_{u_n}^{x_0} \overline{F}(y) \, dy + \overline{F}(u_n)$$
$$= H(u_n) + \overline{F}(u_n) = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

*Remark.* For  $u^*(\beta)$  we may obviously use any measurable function which is bounded by  $u_{n+1}$  and  $u_n$  on  $[(n+1)^{-1}, n^{-1}]$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $NH(u_N) \rightarrow \theta > 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $A_{l,n}$  and n, m, K, N be as in Condition (A). Then

$$P\left\{X_{i} \leq u_{N} + \frac{i}{N}, i \geq 1\right\} - P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{l,n}\right) = o(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty, \ K \to \infty.$$

Proof. Define 
$$A_{l,n}^* = \left\{ X_{lK+i} \leq u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N}, i = K - m + 1, \dots, K \right\}, l \geq 0$$
. Thus  

$$P\left\{ X_i \leq u_N + \frac{i}{N}, i \geq 1 \right\} = P\left( \bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty} (A_{l,n} \cap A_{l,n}^*) \right).$$

Therefore we have to bound the difference

$$P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{l,n}\right) - P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty} (A_{l,n} \cap A_{l,n}^{*})\right) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} P(A_{l,n} \cap A_{l,n}^{*c})$$
$$\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} P(A_{l,n}^{*c}) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=K-m+1}^{K} \overline{F}\left(u_{N} + \frac{lK+i}{N}\right) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} m \overline{F}\left(u_{N} + \frac{l}{n}\right)$$
by using the monotony of  $\overline{F}$ 

$$\leq m\overline{F}(u_N) + mn \int_{u_N}^{x_0} \overline{F}(y) \, dy = o(1) + mn H(u_N)$$
$$= o(1) + m \frac{\theta + o(1)}{K} = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \ K \to \infty.$$

**Lemma 3.** Assume Condition (A), then as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $K \to \infty$ ,  $m_K \to \infty$  with  $m_K/K \to 0$ 

$$P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty}A_{l,n}\right) - \prod_{l=0}^{\infty}P(A_{l,n}) = o(1).$$

*Proof.* Let L be a positive integer. Then by adding and subtracting we derive

$$\begin{vmatrix} P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{L} A_{l,n}\right) - \prod_{l=0}^{L} P(A_{l,n}) \end{vmatrix} = \left| P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{L} A_{l,n}\right) - P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{L-1} A_{l,n}\right) P(A_{L,n}) + P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{L-1} A_{l,n}\right) P(A_{L,n}) + P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{L-2} A_{l,n}\right) P(A_{L-1,n}) P(A_{L,n}) + \cdots + P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{1} A_{l,n}\right) P(A_{2,n}) P(A_{3,n}) \cdots P(A_{L,n}) - \prod_{l=0}^{L} P(A_{l,n}) \end{vmatrix} \\ \leq \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left| P\left(\bigcap_{l'=0}^{l} A_{l',n}\right) - P\left(\bigcap_{l'=0}^{l-1} A_{l',n}\right) P(A_{l,n}) \right| \\ \leq \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left| \alpha_{n,m,K,l} \le \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,m,K,l} = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

by assumption for any L.

**Lemma 4.** Assume Condition (B) and  $NH(u_N) \rightarrow \theta > 0$ . Then

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} P(A_{l,n}) = e^{-\theta}.$$

*Proof.* First we approximate  $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (1 - P(A_{l,n}))$ .

$$1 - P(A_{l,n}) = P\left\{ \exists i: X_i > u_N + \frac{lK + i}{N} \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq K - m \right\}$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{K-m} \overline{F}\left(u_N + \frac{lK + i}{N}\right) \leq N \int_0^{1/n} \overline{F}\left(u_N + \frac{l}{n} + y\right) dy$$
$$= N\left\{ H\left(u_N + \frac{l}{n}\right) - H\left(u_N + \frac{l+1}{n}\right) \right\}$$

by using again the monotony of  $\overline{F}$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (1 - P(A_{l,n}))$$

$$\leq N \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ H\left(u_N + \frac{l}{n}\right) - H\left(u_N + \frac{l+1}{n}\right) \right\} = N H(u_N) \to \theta \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

With the inequality of Bonferroni we approximate conversely

$$1 - P(A_{l,n})$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{K-m} \overline{F}\left(u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N}\right) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq K-m} P\left\{X_i > u_N + \frac{lK+i}{N}, X_j > u_N + \frac{lK+j}{N}\right\}$$

$$\geq N\left\{H\left(u_N + \frac{l}{n}\right) - H\left(u_N + \frac{l+1}{n}\right)\right\} - (m+1)\overline{F}\left(u_N + \frac{l}{n}\right) - \alpha_{n,m,K,l}^*.$$

Hence by assumption

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (1 - P(A_{l,n})) \ge NH(u_N) + o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

since

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (m+1) \overline{F}\left(u_N + \frac{l}{n}\right) \leq o(1) + (m+1) n H(u_N) = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \ K \to \infty.$$

Finally we need to know that each term  $P(A_{l,n}) \rightarrow 1$ . This is established by using the monotony of the boundary

$$1 - P(A_{l,n}) \leq 1 - P(A_{0,n}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K-m} \overline{F}\left(u_N + \frac{i}{N}\right) \leq (K-m) \,\overline{F}(u_N) = o(1).$$

This implies now that

$$\prod_{l=0}^{\infty} P(A_{l,n}) = \exp\left\{-(1+o(1))\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (1-P(A_{l,n}))\right\} \to e^{-\theta}$$
as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $K \to \infty$ .

Proof of the Theorem. Let  $\theta = -\log \phi(x) > 0$  for a point of continuity x of  $\phi$ . Condition C implies  $nH(u_n) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \theta$  (see [2]). By Lemma 1 it is sufficient to show that

$$P\{t_{1/n} \leq n u_n\} \rightarrow e^{-\theta} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 2, 3 and 4 imply that  $P\left\{X_i \leq u_N + \frac{i}{N}, i \geq 1\right\} \rightarrow e^{-\theta}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $K \rightarrow \infty$  and  $m_K \rightarrow \infty$  with  $m_K/K \rightarrow 0$ , N = nK. By the argument of the proof of Lemma 1 it

 $m_K \to \infty$  with  $m_K/K \to 0$ , N = nK. By the argument of the proof of Lemma 1 it follows that also  $P\{t_{1/N} \leq Nu_N\} \to e^{-\theta}$ . Therefore the proof is complete if we show that

$$P\left\{X_{i} \leq u_{n} + \frac{i}{n}, i \geq 1\right\} - P\left\{X_{i} \leq u_{rK} + \frac{i}{rK}, i \geq 1\right\} = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

with  $rK \leq n < rK + K$ , K any positive integer,  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . We estimate this difference with the argument of the proof of Lemma 1. The difference is bounded by the sum of

$$\left| P\left\{ X_{i} \leq u_{n} + \frac{i}{n}, i \geq 1 \right\} - P\left\{ X_{i} \leq u_{n} + \frac{i}{rK}, i \geq 1 \right\} \right|$$

and

$$P\left\{X_{i} \leq u_{n} + \frac{i}{rK}, i \geq 1\right\} - P\left\{X_{i} \leq u_{rK} + \frac{i}{rK}, i \geq 1\right\} \left|.$$

The first term is bounded with the Bonferroni inequality by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left\{u_n + \frac{i}{n} < X_i \leq u_n + \frac{i}{rK}\right\} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{\overline{F}\left(u_n + \frac{i}{n}\right) - \overline{F}\left(u_n + \frac{i}{rK}\right)\right\}$$
$$\leq nH(u_n) - rKH(u_n) + \overline{F}(u_n) = o(1),$$

since  $nH(u_n) \rightarrow \theta$  and  $rK/n \rightarrow 1$ . For the second term we have to consider the two cases:  $u_{rK} \leq u_n$  and  $u_{rK} > u_n$ . In the first case the term is bounded again with the same argument by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left\{ u_{rK} + \frac{i}{rK} < X_i \le u_n + \frac{i}{rK} \right\} \le rKH(u_{rK}) - rKH(u_n) + \overline{F}(u_n) = o(1)$$

Similar in the second case, the term is bounded by

$$rKH(u_n) - rKH(u_{rK}) + F(u_{rK}) = o(1).$$

This finishes the proof of the Theorem.

*Remark.* As mentioned in [2] there is no essential restriction in considering the linear function as boundary instead of more general boundary functions f(y) in the definition of the last exit time.

Acknowledgment. I am grateful to the editor and the referees for some helpful comments.

## References

- 1. Hüsler, J.: On limit distributions of first crossing points of Gaussian sequences. Stochastic Processes Appl. 6, 65-75 (1977)
- 2. Hüsler, J.: The limiting behaviour of the last exit time for sequences of independent, identically distributed random variables. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 50, 159-164 (1979)
- 3. Hüsler, J.: Hinreichende Bedingungen für die Gültigkeit einer Grenzverteilung der letzten Austrittszeit bei Gaussfolgen. Techn. Berichte No. 2, Institut f. math. Statistik, Universität Bern, 1980
- 4. Leadbetter, M.R.: On extreme values in stationary sequences. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 28, 289-303 (1974)

Received July 26, 1979; in final form February 18, 1980