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# A Note on Convergence to Mixtures of Normal Distributions* 

Holger Rootzén<br>University of Lund and Lund Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematical Statistics, Box 725, S-22007 Lund, Sweden

Double arrays of random variables obtained by normalizing a sequence that is asymptotically close to a martingale difference sequence are considered, and conditions ensuring that the row sums converge in distribution to a mixture of normal distributions are found. The main condition is that the sums of squares in each row converge in probability to a random variable.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\left\{X_{n, i}: 1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}, n \geqq 1\right\}$ be an array of random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{B}, P)$ and let $\mathscr{B}_{n, i}$ be the sub-sigmaalgebra that is generated by $X_{n, 1}, \ldots, X_{n, i}\left(\mathscr{B}_{n, 0}=\{\phi, \Omega\}\right)$. If $E\left(X_{n, i} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)=0,2 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}, n \geqq 1$ then $\left\{X_{n, i}\right\}$ is a martingale difference array (m.d.a.) and if furthermore it is obtained by normalizing a single sequence of martingale differences then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}_{n, i} \subseteq \mathscr{B}_{n+1, i} \quad \text { for } n \geqq 1, \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq \min \left(k_{n}, k_{n+1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that if $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2}$ converges in probability to a constant ( $=\sigma$, say) and certain other conditions are satisfied, then the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}$ converges to a normal distribution with mean zero and variance $\sigma$ (see e.g. McLeish (1974)). In this note it is shown that if $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2}$ converges in probability to some random variable $\xi$, then under similar conditions the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}$ converges to a mixture of normal distributions. Eagleson (1975) observed that if $\xi$ is measurable $\mathscr{B}_{1}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{B}_{n, 1}$ then $\left\{X_{n, i}\right\}$ is a martingale difference array (m.d.a.) also after conditioning on $\xi$ and thus $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i} \xrightarrow{d} \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{\xi})^{1}$ under $P(\circ \| \xi)$ (at least

[^0]along subsequences satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \xi$ ). By taking expectations it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i} \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F(x)$, where $F$ is the distribution of $\xi$. Hence the main result of this note is that the restriction that $\xi$ is measurable $\mathscr{B}_{1}$ is shown to be superfluous, but also our other conditions are somewhat weaker than those of Eagleson. (In fact Eagleson's results are phrased in terms of conditional variances instead of sums of squares, but the translation is straight-forward.) In a somewhat different context interesting results on the present problem have also been obtained by Chatterji (1974). Finally, it is perhaps worth mention that the condition that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2}$ converges in probability cannot be weakened very much: Dvoretsky (1972) has given an example which shows that it is not enough that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2}$ converges in distribution.

## 2. Convergence to Mixtures of Normal Distributions

We are mainly concerned with sums of martingale differences, but as is argued in Rootzén (1975) the interesting case is when the truncated variables asymptotically are martingale differences. Thus we initially do not assume that $\left\{X_{n, i}\right\}$ is a m.d.a., but only that e.g.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} E\left\{X_{n, i} I\left(\left|X_{n, i}\right| \leqq 1\right) \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right\} \xrightarrow{p} 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore define

$$
\xi_{n, i}=X_{n, i} I\left(\left|X_{n, i}\right| \leqq 1\right)-E\left(X_{n, i} I\left(\left|X_{n, i}\right| \leqq 1\right) \mid \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right\}
$$

Then $\left\{\xi_{n, i}\right\}$ is a m.d.a. and $\left|\xi_{n, i}\right| \leqq 2$ a.s.
Theorem 1. Assume (1) and (2) are satisfied. If furthermore $\max _{1 \leq i \leq 1}\left|X_{n, i}\right|^{p} 0$ and there is a random variable $\xi$ with distribution $F$ such that $\quad 1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} \xi \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i} \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\circ / \sqrt{x}) d F(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. By definition

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}-\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} E\left\{X_{n, i} I\left(\left|X_{n, i}\right| \leqq 1\right)| | \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right\}+\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i} I\left(\left|X_{n, i}\right|>1\right)
$$

and thus from (2) and $\max _{1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}}\left|X_{n, i}\right|^{p} 0$ we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}-\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence it is enough to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i} \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F(x) \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $X_{n, i}^{\prime}=X_{n, i} I\left(\left|X_{n, i}\right| \leqq 1\right)$. Then $1 \geqq \max _{1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}}\left|X_{n, i}^{\prime}\right|^{p} 0$ and thus also

$$
E\left\{\left(\max _{1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}}\left|X_{n, i}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Since

$$
\max _{1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}}\left|E\left(X_{n, i}^{\prime} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)\right| \leqq \max _{1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}} E\left(\max _{1 \leqq j \leqq k_{n}}\left|X_{n, j}^{\prime}\right| \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)
$$

and since $E\left(\max _{1 \leqq j \leqq k_{n}}\left|X_{n, j}^{\prime}\right| \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right), i=1, \ldots, k_{n}$ is a martingale it follows from Kolmogorov's inequality for martingales that $\max _{1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}}\left|E\left(X_{n, i}^{\prime} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)\right|^{p} 0$ and thus also $\max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}} \xi_{n, i} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

To facilitate the remainder of the proof we will without loss of generality assume that each row in the m.d.a. $\left\{\xi_{n, i}\right\}$ is infinite and that for $i>k_{n}, \xi_{n, i}= \pm \frac{1}{n}$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ each and independently of $\mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}$. Hence we have $\max _{1 \leqq i}\left|\xi_{n, i}\right|$ $\xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{n, i}^{2} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for each $n$. Let $S_{n}(k)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{n, i}$ and introduce "the natural time-scale" $\tau_{n}(t)=\inf \left\{k: \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{n, i}^{2}>t\right\}$ of the summation
process based on $\left\{\xi_{n, i}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}=S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}^{2}\right)-\xi_{n, k_{n}+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by construction $\left|\xi_{n, k_{n}+1}\right|=\frac{1}{n} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The next step is to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n}=\left|S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \zeta_{n, i}^{2}\right)-S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}(\xi)\right| \xrightarrow{p} 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$ choose $K$ such that $P(\{\xi>K\})<\varepsilon$ and observe that for any $\delta>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\left\{d_{n}>\epsilon\right\}\right. & \leqq P\left(\left\{d_{n}>\varepsilon\right\} \cap\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}^{2}-\xi\right| \leqq \delta\right\} \cap\{\xi \leqq K\}\right) \\
& +P\left(\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}^{2}-\xi\right|>\delta\right\}\right)+\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(\left\{d_{n}>\varepsilon\right\} \cap\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}^{2}-\xi\right| \leqq \delta\right\} \cap\{\xi \leqq K\}\right) \leqq P\left(\left\{\sup _{\substack{|s-t| \leq \delta \\
0 \leqq \leqq, t \leq K+\delta}}\left|S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}(t)-S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}(s)\right|\right.\right. \\
>\varepsilon\})=P_{n}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\max _{1 \leqq i \leqq \tau_{n}(K+1)}\left|\xi_{n, i}\right| \leqq \max _{1 \leqq i}\left|\xi_{n, i}\right| \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it follows from Theorem 1 of Rootzén (1975) that $\left\{S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}(t) ; t \in[0, K+1]\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, considered as random variables in $D(0, K+1)$ endowed with the Skorokhod topology, converge in distribution to a Brownian motion on $[0, K+1]$. Hence, by Theorem 15.2 of Billingsley (1968) we can make lim sup $P_{n}<\varepsilon$ by choosing $\delta$ small enough. Furthermore, by (3), $P\left(\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}^{2}-\xi\right|>\delta\right\}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so

$$
\lim \sup P\left(\left\{d_{n}>\varepsilon\right\}\right) \leqq 2 \varepsilon,
$$

which since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary proves (6).
We now need the following lemma, the proof of which is given on p. 215.
Lemma 2. If for some integer $k_{\varepsilon}$ the random variable $\xi_{\varepsilon} \geqq 0$ is measurable $\mathscr{B}_{n, k_{\varepsilon}}$ for all large $n$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}\left(\xi_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\varepsilon}$ is the distribution of $\xi_{\varepsilon}$.
Since we have assumed (1) it is for $\varepsilon>0$ possible to find an integer $k_{\varepsilon}$ and a random variable $\xi_{\varepsilon} \geqq 0$, which is measurable with respect to $\mathscr{B}_{n, k_{\varepsilon}}$ for all large $n$, such that $P\left(\left|\xi-\xi_{\varepsilon}\right|>\varepsilon\right) \leqq \varepsilon$ (see e.g. Doob (1953), p. 607). From Lemma 2 we obtain that (7) holds and since $F_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{d} F$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F_{\varepsilon}(x) \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F(x) \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by arguments precisely analogous to the proof of (6) above, we have for $\delta>0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup P\left(\left\{\left|S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}\left(\xi_{z}\right)-S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}(\xi)\right|>\delta\right\}\right)=0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 4.2 of Billingsley (1968) it follows from (7), (8), and (9) that

$$
S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}(\xi) \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F(x) \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

which by (5) and (6) proves (4) and thus the theorem.
Proof of Lemma 2. The lemma can be reduced to the corollary on p. 561 of Eagleson (1975), but as it does not involve more work to give a direct proof we will do that instead. Put $\xi_{n, i}^{\prime}=\xi_{n, i}$ if $i>k_{\varepsilon}$ and $\xi_{n, i}^{\prime}=0$ otherwise, let $S_{n}^{\prime}(k)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{n, i}^{\prime}$ and let $P_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)=P\left(\cdot \| \xi_{\varepsilon}\right)$ be a regular conditional probability given $\xi_{\varepsilon}$. Since $\xi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{B}_{n, k_{\varepsilon}}$ for all large $n$, the rows of $\left\{\xi_{n, i}^{\prime}\right\}$ are martingale differences for such $n$
also under $P_{\varepsilon}$ (a.s.), cf. [4]. Moreover, for $t \geqq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\tau_{n}(t)} \xi_{n, i}^{\prime 2}-t\right| \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{\varepsilon}} \xi_{n, i}^{2}+\xi_{n, \tau_{n}(t)}^{2} \leqq\left(k_{\varepsilon}+1\right)\left(\max _{1 \leqq i}\left|\xi_{n, i}\right|\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\max _{1 \leqq i}\left|\xi_{n, i}\right| \xrightarrow{p} 0$ we can for every subsequence of $\{1,2, \ldots\}$ find a further (infinite) subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $\max _{1 \leqq i} \mid \xi_{n^{\prime}, i} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0$. Thus also under $P_{\varepsilon}$ we have a.s. that $\max _{1 \leqq i}\left|\xi_{n^{\prime}, i}\right| \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\tau_{n}(t)} \xi_{n^{\prime}, i}^{\text {a.s. }} t$. By Lemma 3 of [5] this proves

$$
S_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{n^{\prime}}(t) \xrightarrow{d} \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{t})
$$

under $P_{\varepsilon}$ (a.s.). Since $\xi_{\varepsilon}$ is a constant under $P_{\varepsilon}$ we have in particular that

$$
S_{n^{\prime} \circ}^{\prime} \tau_{n^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \Phi\left(\cdot / \sqrt{\xi_{\varepsilon}}\right)
$$

$\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$, a.s., and thus by taking expectations that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n^{\prime} \circ}^{\prime} \tau_{n^{\prime}}\left(\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \text { as } \quad n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since a subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfying (11) can be extracted from any infinite sequence of integers it follows that $S_{n}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{n}\left(\xi_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F_{\varepsilon}(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which since

$$
\left|S_{n} \circ \tau_{n}\left(\xi_{\varepsilon}\right)-S_{n}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{n}\left(\xi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \leqq k_{\varepsilon} \max _{1 \leqq i}\left|\xi_{n, i}\right| \xrightarrow{p} 0
$$

proves (7).
Corollary 3. Assume $\left\{X_{n, i}\right\}$ is a m.d.a. and that it satisfies (1). If $\max \left|X_{n, i}\right| \xrightarrow{p} 0$, if $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} E\left(X_{n, i}^{2} I\left(\left|X_{n, i}\right|>1\right) \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right) \xrightarrow{p} 0$, and if furthermore $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2} \xrightarrow{1 \leqq i \leqq k_{n}} \boldsymbol{p}$ for some $\quad \begin{array}{l}i=1 \\ \text { random variable } \xi \text { with distribution } F \text {, then } \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}}\end{array} X_{n, i} \xrightarrow{d} \int \Phi(\cdot / \sqrt{x}) d F(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. $\left.\quad . \quad \begin{array}{l}i=1 \\ \text {. }\end{array}\right]$
Proof. We only have to show that (2) and (3) hold. Recall the notation

$$
X_{n, i}^{\prime}=X_{n, i} I\left(\left|X_{n, i}\right| \leqq 1\right)
$$

and put $X_{n, i}^{\prime \prime}=X_{n, i}-X_{n, i}^{\prime}$. Since

$$
E\left(X_{n, i}^{\prime} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)^{2} \leqq\left|E\left(X_{n, i}^{\prime} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)\right|=\left|E\left(X_{n, i}^{\prime \prime} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)\right| \leqq E\left(X_{n, i}^{\prime \prime 2} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)
$$

it follows immediately that (2) holds. Moreover, by definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{n, i}^{2}= & \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}}\left\{X_{n, i}^{\prime \prime 2}+E\left(X_{n, i}^{\prime} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& -2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}\left\{X_{n, i}^{\prime \prime}+E\left(X_{n, i}^{\prime} \| \mathscr{B}_{n, i-1}\right)\right\} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2}+r_{n}-2 r_{n}^{\prime}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $r_{n} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using Cauchy's inequality we obtain

$$
\left|r_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leqq\left\{2 r_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} X_{n, i}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{p} 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

so (3) follows.
Finally, it should perhaps be mentioned that the results of this note hold also when $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ are stopping times.
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    $1 \quad \Phi$ is the standard normal distribution function

