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## I. Introduction

Tests for symmetry of a distribution function about an unknown value $\mu$ are investigated. Two quite different methods are presented and compared. The first procedure consists of applying a non-parametric test for symmetry around 0 , after $\mu$ has been estimated. The sign and Wilcoxon statistics are considered, the mean or the median being our estimates for $\mu$. The second method was proposed by $T$. Gasser and seems to be new: non-parametric tests are applied to the differences of symmetrically located intervals of order statistics. A trimmed sign test only is presented. Analogous results have been obtained for trimmed statistics of Wilcoxon type but such tests do not seem to be good. Further, the asymptotic variance depends on the underlying density in a rather complicated way. The reason for throwing away the [ $\varepsilon n$ ] smallest, resp., greatest observations is that unsymmetry in the tails of a distribution is irrelevant to practical purposes. The asymptotic variance $\sigma_{4, \varepsilon}^{2}$ of the trimmed sign statistic $S_{4, \varepsilon}$ possesses a limit $\sigma_{4}^{2}$ for $\varepsilon$ tending to 0 . It would be interesting to know whether $S_{4,0}$ is asymptotically normal with variance $\sigma_{4}^{2}$. We think this is the case but we have not been able to prove it. Technical difficulties arise because of the behaviour of the derivatives of $F^{-1}$ near 0 and $1, F$ being the underlying distribution function.

For both methods the asymptotic distribution under symmetry is given. The statistics are no longer distribution free but surprisingly, the asymptotic variance, in some cases, varies little with the density. The asymptotic distributions for alternatives where the distribution function is of the form $F\left(x+n^{-1 / 2} g(x)\right)$ are computed. Comparisons of the power are made in the case where $g(x)=x, x \geqq 0$, and 0 elsewhere. This corresponds to a contraction of the positive axis. We had also considered as alternatives the contamination model and the convolution of a symmetric distribution with an asymptotically negligible unsymmetric distribution. The results are not satisfying, the alternatives differing too little from the hypothesis.

For the normal, double exponential and Cauchy distributions, a measure of relative efficiency to the Neyman-Pearson test is given. This shows that test based
on $S_{4, \varepsilon}$ and on the Wilcoxon statistic with $\mu$ estimated by the median, are serious competitors among scale and translation invariant tests for symmetry. Further, if the underlying distribution does not have too heavy tails, tests based on the sign statistic with the mean as estimate for $\mu$, also seem to be good. More details and comparisons with other tests will be given in a forthcoming paper.

## II. Notation, Results

Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P)$ be a probability space and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, i.i.d. real random variables with distribution function $F$ and density $f$. Let $X_{(1)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ be the order statistics and $\bar{X}_{n}, M_{n}$ the mean and the median of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. Throughout the paper, $\Psi$ is used for $F^{-1}$ and $I(A)$ for the indicator function of a set $A$.

Tests for symmetry of $F$ about an unknown value $\mu$ are derived from the following statistics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left(I\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n} \leqq 0\right)-1 / 2\right), \\
& S_{2}=n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}\left(I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 2 \bar{X}_{n}\right)-1 / 2\right), \\
& S_{3}=n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}\left(I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 2 M_{n}\right)-1 / 2\right), \\
& S_{4, \varepsilon}=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{[\varepsilon n]+1 \leqq i \leqq[n / 2]}\left(I\left(Y_{i}-Y_{n-i+2} \leqq 0\right)-1 / 2\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y_{i}=X_{(i)}-X_{(i-1)}, i=2, \ldots, n$, and $0<\varepsilon<1 / 2$. [a] means the greatest integer smaller or equal to $a . S_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are modified sign and Wilcoxon statistics, where $\mu$ has been estimated by the mean or the median. $S_{4, \mathrm{~s}}$ is a trimmed sign statistic based on $Y_{i}-Y_{n-i+2}$, the differences of symmetrically located intervals of order statistics.

## II. 1. Asymptotic Distribution under Symmetry

The following theorems give the asymptotic distribution of the four statistics under some regularity conditions. The asymptotic variances under the normal, logistic, double exponential and Cauchy distributions are given in Table 1 at the end of this subsection. For $S_{4, \varepsilon}$, the limit for $\varepsilon$ tending to 0 only has been computed.
Theorem 1. Assume that $F$ has a continuous derivative $f$ at $\mu$. If $f(\mu) \neq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2} f(x) d x<\infty$, then $S_{1}$ is asymptotically normal $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right)$, with $\sigma_{1}^{2}=1 / 4$ $+f(\mu)\left[\sigma^{2} f(\mu)-\mu_{0}\right]$, where $\sigma^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x$ and $\mu_{0}=2 \int_{\mu}^{\infty}(x-\mu) f(x) d x$.
Theorem 2. Suppose that $f$ is absolutely continuous and $f^{\prime} \in L_{2}(-\infty,+\infty)$.
If $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2} f(x) d x<\infty$, then $S_{2}$ is asymptotically normal $\mathscr{N}\left(0, \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)$, with

Table 1

|  | Normal | Logistic | Double <br> exponential | Cauchy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ | 0,09085 | 0,10904 | 0,25 |  |
| $\sigma_{2}^{2}$ | 0,00376 | 0,00805 | 0,02083 |  |
| $\sigma_{3}^{2}$ | 0,03155 | 0,02778 | 0,02083 | 0,02083 |
| $\sigma_{4}^{2}$ | 0,10938 | 0,11621 | 0,12500 | 0,27744 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2}^{2}= & 1 / 12+\sigma^{2}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)^{2} \\
& +2\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(1 / 2-F(x)) f(x) d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x$.
If $f(\mu) \neq 0$, then $S_{3}$ is asymptotically normal $\mathfrak{N}\left(0, \sigma_{3}^{3}\right)$, where

$$
\sigma_{3}^{2}=1 / 48+1 / 4\left(f(\mu)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x-1 / 2\right)^{2}
$$

Theorem 3. Assume that the support of $F$ is a (possibly infinite) interval. If $f$ is strictly positive on this interval and twice continuously differentiable, then the statistic $S_{4, \varepsilon}$ is asymptotically normal $\mathfrak{N}\left(0, \sigma_{4, \varepsilon}^{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{4, \varepsilon}^{2}= & 1 / 8+1 / 4 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2}\left(\ln \Psi^{\prime}(1 / 2)-\ln \Psi^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \\
& +1 / 8 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2}\left(\ln \Psi^{\prime}(1 / 2)-\ln \Psi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t \\
& +\varepsilon / 16\left(\ln \Psi^{\prime}(1 / 2)-\ln \Psi^{\prime}(\varepsilon)\right)^{2}-\varepsilon / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit $\sigma_{4}^{2}$ of $\sigma_{4, \varepsilon}^{2}$ for $\varepsilon$ tending to 0 , exists and is equal to

$$
\sigma_{4,0}^{2}=1 / 16+1 / 16\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(1+\ln f(t) / f(\mu))^{2} f(t) d t\right)
$$

if the integral exists.

## II. 2. Asymptotic Distribution under the Alternative

Let $F$ be symmetric about 0 . Consider the distribution function $H_{n}(x)=F(x$ $+n^{-1 / 2} g(x)$ ). We assume that $x+n^{-1 / 2} g(x)$ is, for large $n$, a monotonous increasing function. Otherwise our definition does not make sense. If the $X_{i}$ are distributed according to $H_{n}$ it is shown that the statistics are asymptotically normal with the same standard deviation as under $F$ and a mean different from 0 . The quotient of

Table 2

|  | Normal | Logistic | Double <br> exponential | Cauchy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{1}$ | $-0,15915$ | $-0,17328$ | $-0,25$ |  |
| $\mu_{2}$ | $-0,03296$ | $-0,04166$ | $-0,06250$ |  |
| $\mu_{3}$ | 0,07957 | 0,07385 | 0,06250 | 0,051 |
| $\mu_{4}$ | $-0,125$ | $-0,125$ | $-0,125$ | $-0,125$ |
| $\left\|\mu_{1}\right\| / \sigma_{1}$ | 0,528 | 0,524 | 0,5 |  |
| $\left\|\mu_{2}\right\| / \sigma_{2}$ | 0,538 | 0,464 | 0,433 |  |
| $\left\|\mu_{3}\right\| / \sigma_{3}$ | 0,447 | 0,443 | 0,433 | 0,35 |
| $\left\|\mu_{4}\right\| / \sigma_{4}$ | 0,377 | 0,366 | 0,353 | 0,237 |
| $\left\|\mu_{5}\right\| / \sigma_{5}$ | 1 | 0,845 | 1 | 0,5 |

Here $\mu_{4}=\mu_{4,0}$ and $\sigma_{4}^{2}=\sigma_{4,0}^{2} \cdot \mu_{5}$ and $\sigma_{5}$ are the asymptotic mean and standard deviation of the Neyman-Pearson statistic, when the $X_{i}$ are distributed according to $H_{n}$.
these values will precisely be our measure of efficiency. The asymptotic means $\mu_{i}$ and the quotients $\left|\mu_{i}\right| / \sigma_{i}$, under the normal, logistic, double exponential and Cauchy distributions are given in Table 2 at the end of this subsection. The same quantities were also computed for the Neyman-Pearson statistics and are presented in the last line of Table 2.

Theorem 4. Suppose that
a) $F$ is twice continuously differentiable with bounded derivatives;
b) $f^{\prime}$ is monotonous on ( $a, \infty$ ) for some $a \geqq 0$;
c) $g$ admits two bounded continuous derivatives;
d) $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}|x|^{3} \in L_{1}(-\infty,+\infty), f^{2} x^{2} \in L_{1}(-\infty,+\infty)$.

Then under the assumption of Section II.1, the statistics $S_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are under $H_{n}$ asymptotically normal $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right), i=1,2,3$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=f(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(g(0)-g(x)) f(x) d x \\
& \mu_{2}=-\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x) f(x) d x\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{2}(x) g(x) d x, \\
& \mu_{3}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{2}(x)(g(x)-g(0)) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, if $g$ is twice continuously differentiable with bounded derivatives, then $S_{4, \varepsilon}$ is asymptotically normal $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{4, \varepsilon}, \sigma_{4, \varepsilon}^{2}\right)$, where

$$
\mu_{4, \varepsilon}=1 / 4 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2}\left(g^{\prime}(\Psi(t))-g^{\prime}(-\Psi(t))\right) d t .
$$

The limiting value $\mu_{4}$ for $\varepsilon$ tending to zero is given by

$$
\mu_{4,0}=1 / 4 \int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(g^{\prime}(s)-g^{\prime}(-s)\right) f(s) d s
$$

## III. Proofs

## III.1. Proof of Theorem 1

Although the result has already been proved by Gastwirth [4], we give here a lemma which will be used later for approximating the median of the sample.

Lemma 1. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be i.i.d. random variables with a density $f$. Suppose that $f$ is continuous in a neighbourhood of 0 .

Let

$$
Z_{n}(t)=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left(I\left(X_{i} \leqq t n^{-1 / 2}\right)-I\left(X_{i} \leqq 0\right)\right)-t f(0)
$$

Then for any fixed positive number $B$,

$$
\sup \left\{\left|Z_{n}(t)\right|: t \in[-B, B]\right\} \xrightarrow{P} 0,
$$

as $n$ tends to infinity.
Proof. For simplicity consider $t$ as varying in the interval [0,1]. An easy computation shows that

$$
\sup \left\{\left|E\left(Z_{n}(t)\right)\right|: t \in[0,1]\right\} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n$ tends to infinity. So it is sufficient to prove Lemma 1 for the process $\bar{Z}_{n}(t)$ which is obtained from $Z_{n}(t)$ by centering it at expectation. For this let $m$ be a fixed positive integer and $\delta>0$.

Define $s_{k}=k / m, k=0,1, \ldots, m$.
By the triangle inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\sup \left\{\left|\bar{Z}_{n}(t)\right|: t \in[0,1]\right\} \geqq \delta\right\} \\
& \quad \subseteq\left\{\max \left\{\left|\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right)\right|: k=0, \ldots, m\right\} \geqq \delta / 2\right\} \\
& \\
& \quad \cup\left\{\max _{0 \leqq k \leqq m-1} \sup \left\{\left|\bar{Z}_{n}(t)-\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right)\right|: t \in\left[s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right]\right\} \geqq \delta / 2\right\} \\
& \quad=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \quad \text { (say). }
\end{aligned}
$$

By Markov inequality,

$$
P\left\{A_{1}\right\} \leqq \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq m} P\left\{\left|\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right)\right| \geqq \delta / 2\right\} \leqq(2 / \delta)^{2} \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq m} \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right)\right)
$$

But $\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right)\right) \leqq\left(F\left(s_{k} / \sqrt{n}\right)-F(0)\right)$, and by assumption there exists a $K$ such that $\left|F\left(\frac{s_{k}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)-F(0)\right| \leqq K n^{-1 / 2}$, for $n$ large enough.

Therefore,
$P\left\{A_{1}\right\} \leqq\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^{2} m \cdot K n^{-1 / 2}$, for $n$ large enough.
We can now easily find a bound for $P\left\{A_{2}\right\}$ by using the monotony of $I\left(0 \leqq X_{i} \leqq \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ as a function of $t$.

Let $t$ be fixed in $\left[s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right]$ and suppose for example that

$$
\bar{Z}_{n}(t)-\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right) \geqq 0 .
$$

Then the absolute value of the left-hand side is smaller than

$$
\left|\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k+1}\right)-\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right)\right|+n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n} P\left\{\frac{s_{k}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqq X_{i} \leqq \frac{s_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}
$$

A similar argument in the case where $\bar{Z}_{n}(t)-\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right) \leqq 0$, leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2} & \subset\left\{\max \left\{\left|\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k+1}\right)-\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right)\right|: k=0, \ldots, m-1\right\} \geqq \frac{\delta}{8}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\max \left\{n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n} P\left\{\frac{s_{k}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqq X_{i} \leqq \frac{s_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}: k=0, \ldots, m-1\right\} \geqq \frac{\delta}{8}\right\} \\
& =B_{1} \cup B_{2} \quad \text { (say). }
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption there exists a $K>0$, such that

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k+1}\right)-\bar{Z}_{n}\left(s_{k}\right)\right) \leqq \frac{K}{\sqrt{n}}\left(s_{k+1}-s_{k}\right)=\frac{K}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot m^{-1}
$$

and

$$
P\left\{\frac{s_{k}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqq X_{i} \leqq \frac{s_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\} \leqq \frac{K}{\sqrt{n}} m^{-1}, \quad \text { for } n \text { large enough. }
$$

Hence

$$
P\left\{B_{1}\right\} \leqq\left(\frac{8}{d}\right)^{2} \frac{K}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

and

$$
P\left\{B_{2}\right\}=0, \quad \text { for } m, n \text { large enough. }
$$

Lemma 1 follows by letting, for a fixed $\delta, n$ tend to infinity and then $m$.
Theorem 1 follows directly from the lemma.
Proof. Suppose without loss of generality that $\mu=0$. Then since

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2} f(x) d x<\infty, \quad \sqrt{n} \bar{X}_{n}=o_{p}(1)
$$

$S_{1}$ can be written as

$$
Z_{n}\left(n^{1 / 2} \bar{X}_{n}\right)+n^{1 / 2} \bar{X}_{n} f(0)+n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i} \leqq 0\right)-1 / 2\right\}
$$

By Lemma 1, the first term tends to 0 in probability and Theorem 1 follows.
For later purposes we now use Lemma 1 to approximate the median $M_{n}$ by a statistic which is easier to handle.

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be i.i.d. with density $f$, symmetric around 0 . Suppose that $f(0) \neq 0$. Then under the assumptions of Lemma 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{1 / 2} M_{n}=(f(0))^{-1} n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{1 / 2-I\left(X_{i} \leqq 0\right)\right\}+o_{P}(1) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is known that $n^{1 / 2} M_{n}=O_{p}(1)$. By definition of $M_{n^{\prime}}$

$$
n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i} \leqq M_{n}\right)-1 / 2\right\}=0
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
Z_{n}\left(n^{1 / 2} M_{n}\right)+n^{1 / 2} M_{n} f(0)+n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i} \leqq 0\right)-1 / 2\right\}=0 .
$$

Since by Lemma $1, Z_{n}\left(n^{1 / 2} M_{n}\right)^{\mathscr{H}} 0$, as $n$ tends to infinity, relation (*) is proved.

## III.2. Proof of Theorem 2

Assume without loss of generality that $\mu=0$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, the mean and the median are, whenever used, $O_{P}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. It then follows from Antille [1], Theorem II. 2 and Corollary, that

$$
S_{2}=\sqrt{n} \bar{X}_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x+n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}+o_{P}(1),
$$

and

$$
S_{3}=\sqrt{n} M_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x+n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}+o_{P}(1) .
$$

The second term of the right-hand side can be approximated as follows:
Lemma 2. Assume that $f$ is symmetric about 0 . Let

$$
T=n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\} .
$$

Then,

$$
T=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{\frac{1}{2}-F\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}+o_{P}(1)
$$

Proof. We use the projection method of Hajek [5]: $T$ is approximated by its projection $\bar{T}=\sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n} E\left(T \mid X_{i}\right) \cdot E\left(T \mid X_{i}\right)$ means here the conditional expectation of $T$ given $X_{i}$. An easy computation shows that

$$
\bar{T}=(n-1) n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{\frac{1}{2}-F\left(X_{i}\right)\right\},
$$

$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{T})=(n-1)^{2} n^{-2}(12)^{-1}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(T)= & (n-1) n^{-2}(2)^{-1} \operatorname{Var}\left(I\left(X_{1}+X_{2} \leqq 0\right)\right) \\
& +(n-1)(n-2) n^{-2} \operatorname{Cov}\left(I\left(X_{1}+X_{2} \leqq 0\right), I\left(X_{1}+X_{3} \leqq 0\right)\right) \\
= & (n-1) n^{-2}(8)^{-1}+n^{-2}(n-2)(n-1)(12)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E(T-\overline{\bar{T}})^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(T-\overline{\bar{T}})=\operatorname{Var}(T)-\operatorname{Var}(\bar{T})$, Lemma 2 follows.
The statistic $S_{2}$ is then asymptotically equivalent to

$$
n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left(X_{i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d y+\frac{1}{2}-F\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

By using the approximation we gave before for the median $M_{n}, S_{3}$ is easily shown to be asymptotically equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)(f(0))^{-1} n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{\frac{1}{2}-I\left(X_{i} \leqq 0\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{\frac{1}{2}-F\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and Theorem 2 is proved.

## III.3. Proof of Theorem 4

Let $H_{n}=F\left(x+\frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ and $h_{n}$ its derivative. Using Taylor expansion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n}(x)=F(x)+f(x) \frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{n}}+f^{\prime}\left(x+\eta_{1}(x)\right) \frac{g^{2}(x)}{2 n} \\
& h_{n}(x)=f(x)+f(x) \frac{g^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}+f^{\prime}\left(x+\eta_{2}(x)\right)\left(1+\frac{g^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider first $S_{1}$.
By the same way as before, one can show that under $H_{n^{\prime}}$

$$
\left.S_{1}=\sqrt{n} \bar{X}_{n} h_{n}(0)+n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{X_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}+o_{P}(1) .
$$

The asymptotic mean $\mu_{1}$ is then seen to be

$$
f(0) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x\left(f(x) g^{\prime}(x)+f^{\prime}(x) g(x)\right) d x+\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(f(x) g^{\prime}(x)+f^{\prime}(x) g(x)\right) d x
$$

while the variance remains the same. Here the monotony of $f^{\prime}$ and the fact that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2} f(x) d x<\infty$, are used to show that in asymptotic considerations, $H_{n}$ and $h_{n}$ can be replaced by

$$
F(x)+f(x) \frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \text { and } f(x)+f(x) \frac{g^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}+f^{\prime}(x) \frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

By partial integration, $\mu_{1}$ simplifies to

$$
-f(0) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) g(x)+f(0) g(0)
$$

Consider now $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$.
Looking at the proof of Theorem II. 2 in Antille [1], one sees that, under $H_{n^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup \left\{\left\lvert\, n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)-I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 0\right)\right\}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x \mid: t \in[-M,+M]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

tends to 0 in probability as $n$ goes to infinity, for every fixed number $M$. Further it still holds that

$$
\sqrt{n} M_{n}=(f(0))^{-1} n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{\frac{1}{2}-I\left(X_{i} \leqq 0\right)\right\}+o_{P}(1) .
$$

Therefore, by the same argument as before,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2}= & \sqrt{n} \bar{X}_{n}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)+n^{-3 / 2} \\
& \cdot \sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 0\right)-E\left(I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 0\right)\right)\right\} \\
& +n^{-3 / 2} n(n-1) 2^{-1} E\left(I\left(X_{1}+X_{2} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right)+o_{P}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3}= & (f(0))^{-1}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right) n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left\{\frac{1}{2}-I\left(X_{i} \leqq 0\right)\right\} \\
& +n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq n}\left\{I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 0\right)-E\left(I\left(X_{i}+X_{j} \leqq 0\right)\right)\right\} \\
& +n^{-3 / 2} n(n-1) 2^{-1} E\left(I\left(X_{1}+X_{2} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right)+o_{P}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Taylor expansions for $H_{n}$ and $h_{n}$ and assumptions c) and d) one gets,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{2}= & -\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) g(x) d x\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(F(-x) f(x) g^{\prime}(x)+F(-x) f^{\prime}(x) g(x)+f^{2}(x) g(-x)\right) d x \\
\mu_{3}= & -g(0)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(F(-x) f(x) g^{\prime}(x)+F(-x) f^{\prime}(x) g(x)+f^{2}(x) g(-x)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By partial integration the second term of the right hand sides simplifies to

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{2}(x)(g(x)+g(-x)) d x
$$

The asymptotic variance is unchanged and Theorem 4 follows.

## III.4. Proof of Theorems 3 and 5

Let $Y_{i}=X_{(i)}-X_{(i-1)}, i=2, \ldots, n$, and choose $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{2}$ as in Theorem 3. Define $l$ $=[\varepsilon n]+1, m=[n / 2]$. Then

$$
S_{4, \varepsilon}=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(Y_{i}-Y_{n-i+2} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

Step 1. We give first a representation of $Y_{i}$ through exponentially distributed random variables.

Let $W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with exponential distribution and mean 1.

Put

$$
U_{i}=\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq i} W_{k} / \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k} .
$$

It is well-known (see Breiman [2], p. 285) that the vector $\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)$ has the same distribution as the vector of order statistics of $n$ i.i.d. random variables with uniform distribution on $[0,1]$.

Thus the vectors $\left(X_{(1)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}\right)$ and $\left(\Psi_{n}\left(U_{1}\right), \ldots, \Psi_{n}\left(U_{n}\right)\right)$ are identically distributed, $\Psi_{n}$ being the inverse of the distribution function $H_{n}$. As in Theorem $5, H_{n}$ is given by $F\left(x+\frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$. We now compute $\Psi_{n}$.

Define $y=y(x)$ (depending on $n$ ) by

$$
y=x+n^{-1 / 2} g(x) .
$$

$y$ is strictly increasing in $x$ and varies from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ for $n$ large enough, since $g$ has a bounded derivative. $y$ can be written as

$$
y=x+n^{-1 / 2} g(y)+n^{-1} K_{n}(y),
$$

with

$$
K_{n}(y)=n^{1 / 2}(g(x)-g(y))
$$

Differentiating both sides of the last expression with respect to $x$ and applying the mean value theorem we get, with $0<\delta<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{n}^{\prime}(y)\left(1+n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}(x)\right) & =n^{1 / 2}\left(g^{\prime}(x)-g^{\prime}(y)\left(1+n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =n^{1 / 2} g^{\prime \prime}(x+\delta(y-x))(x-y)-g^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(y) \\
& =g^{\prime \prime}(x+\delta(y-x)) g(x)-g^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime \prime}$ are bounded, $K_{n}^{\prime}(y)$ is, for $n$ large enough, uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. By definition,

$$
H_{n}\left(y-n^{-1 / 2} g(y)-n^{-1} K_{n}(y)\right)=F(y), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Hence $y-n^{-1 / 2} g(y)-n^{-1} K_{n}(y)=H_{n}^{-1}(F(y))$.
Put $y=F^{-1}(t), t \in(0,1)$, to get

$$
H_{n}^{-1}(t)=\Psi(t)-n^{-1 / 2} g(\Psi(t))-n^{-1} K_{n}(\Psi(t)),
$$

where

$$
\Psi(t)=F^{-1}(t) .
$$

By assumption, $\Psi$ is three times differentiable on $(0,1)$. Using Taylor expansions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{(i)}-X_{(i-1)} & =H_{n}^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)-H_{n}^{-1}\left(U_{i-1}\right) \\
& =\Psi^{\prime}\left(U_{i}\right)\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)-n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(U_{i}\right)\right) \Psi^{\prime}\left(U_{i}\right)\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)+\mathscr{R}_{i, 1},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}_{i, 1}= & \frac{1}{2} \Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{i}+\delta_{1}\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)\right)\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \Psi)^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{i}+\delta_{2}\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)\right)\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)^{2} n^{-1 / 2} \\
& -n^{-1}\left(K_{n} \circ \Psi\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{i}+\delta_{3}\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)\right)\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\delta_{i}<1$, for $i=1,2,3$.
It is well-known that,

$$
\max \left\{\left|U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right|: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}=O_{P}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Then, since $\left(K_{n} \circ \Psi\right)^{\prime}$ is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{\left|\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{i}+\delta_{1}\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)\right)\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}(1), \\
& \max \left\{\left|(g \circ \Psi)^{\prime}\left(U_{i}+\delta_{2}\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)\right)\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}(1), \\
& \max \left\{\left|\left(K_{n} \circ \Psi\right)^{\prime}\left(U_{i}+\delta_{3}\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)\right)\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $U_{i}$,

$$
U_{i}-U_{i-1}=W_{i} / \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}
$$

$W_{i}$ being exponential,

$$
\max \left\{W_{i}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}=O_{P}(\log n)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\max \left\{\left|\mathscr{R}_{i, 1}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}\left((\log n)^{2} n^{-2}\right)
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{\prime}\left(U_{i}\right)= & \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)+\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \Psi^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}+\delta_{4}\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right)\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(U_{i}\right)\right) \Psi^{\prime}\left(U_{i}\right) & =n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right) \\
& +n^{-1 / 2}(g \circ \Psi)^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}+\delta_{5}\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right)\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\delta_{4}, \delta_{5}<1$.
Using again the boundedness of the functions involved,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{\left|\Psi^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n+1}+\delta_{4}\left(U_{i}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n+1}\right)\right)\left(U_{i}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n+1}\right)^{2}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}\left(n^{-1}\right) \\
& \max \left\{\left|n^{-1 / 2}(g \circ \Psi)^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}+\delta_{5}\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right)\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\} \\
& =O_{P}\left(n^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, putting everything together,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i}= & X_{(i)}-X_{(i-1)} \\
= & \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)+\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right) \\
& -n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\left(U_{i}-U_{i-1}\right)+\mathscr{R}_{i, 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\max \left\{\left|\mathscr{R}_{i, 2}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}\left((\log n)^{2} n^{-2}\right)
$$

We now introduce some notation:
Define,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{W}_{k}=W_{n-k+2}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n+1, \\
& \overline{\bar{U}}_{i}=\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq i} \bar{W}_{k} / \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} \bar{W}_{k}=\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq i} \bar{W}_{k} / \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $U_{n-i+2}=1-\overline{\bar{U}}_{i-1}$ and $U_{n-i+1}=1-\overline{\bar{U}_{i}}$.
Therefore,

$$
Y_{n-i+2}=\Psi_{n}\left(1-\overline{\bar{U}}_{i-1}\right)-\Psi_{n}\left(1-\overline{\bar{U}_{i}}\right)
$$

Since $\Psi(1-t)=-\Psi(t)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{n}(1-t) & =\Psi(1-t)-n^{-1 / 2} g(\Psi(1-t))-n^{-1} K_{n}(\Psi(1-t)) \\
& =-\Psi(t)+n^{-1 / 2} \bar{g}(\Psi(t))-n^{-1} K_{n}(\Psi(1-t))
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\bar{g}(x)=-g(-x)$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{n-i+2}= & \Psi\left(\bar{U}_{i}\right)-\Psi\left(\bar{U}_{i-1}\right)-n^{-1 / 2} \bar{g}\left(\Psi\left(\bar{U}_{i}\right)\right) \\
& +n^{-1 / 2} \bar{g}\left(\Psi\left(\bar{U}_{i-1}\right)\right)+n^{-1} K_{n}\left(\Psi\left(1-\overline{\bar{U}_{i}}\right)\right) \\
& -n^{-1} K_{n}\left(\Psi\left(1-\bar{U}_{i-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then interchanging $U_{i}$ and $\widehat{U}_{i}, g$ and $\bar{g}$, in the expansion for $Y_{i}$, we obtain a similar one for $Y_{n-i+2}$.

Now

$$
I\left(Y_{i}-Y_{n-i+2} \leqq 0\right)=I\left(\left(Y_{i}-Y_{n-i+2}\right)\left(\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k} / \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) \leqq 0\right)
$$

since $\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n+1}\right)>0$, by assumption. The asymptotic distribution of $S_{4, \varepsilon}$ is thus the same as the asymptotic distribution of $n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(Z_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, where, by our
representation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{i}= & \left(Y_{i}-Y_{n-i+2}\right)\left(\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k} / \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) \\
= & W_{i}+\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)} W_{i}\left(U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)-n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) W_{i} \\
& -\overline{W_{i}}-\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)} \bar{W}_{i}\left(\overline{\bar{U}}_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}\right)+n^{-1 / 2} \bar{g}^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) \bar{W}_{i}+\mathscr{R}_{i, 3},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\max \left\{\left|\mathscr{R}_{i, 3}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}\left(n^{-1}(\log n)^{2}\right) .
$$

This is the desired representation.
Step 2. We now approximate the statistic $n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(Z_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, by sums of independent random variables. To do this we use the fact that $W_{i}$ and $U_{i}$ are becoming more and more independent with $i$ and $n$ increasing. The idea is to replace $U_{i}$ by a different random variable and thus to enforce independence.

For this, choose $\frac{1}{2}<\gamma<1$ and define

$$
\alpha_{n}=\left[n^{\gamma}\right] .
$$

Then define integers $N, \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{N}$ as follows:
Let $\beta_{0}=l-1$, and for $i=1,2, \ldots, N-1$, put $\beta_{i+1}=\beta_{i}+\alpha_{n}$, where $N$ is such that $\beta_{N-1}<m$ and $m-\beta_{N-1}<\alpha_{n}$. Let $\beta_{N}=m$.

We now introduce some new variables. For $j=1, \ldots, N$, define

$$
V_{j}=\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq \beta_{j-1}} W_{k} /\left(\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}-\sum_{\beta_{j-1}+1 \leqq k \leqq \beta_{j}}\left(W_{k}+\overline{W_{k}}\right)+2\left(\beta_{j}-\beta_{j-1}\right)\right)-\frac{\beta_{j-1}}{n+1} .
$$

$\overline{\bar{V}}_{j}$ is defined similarly by replacing $W_{k}$ by $\bar{W}_{k}$. Finally, define, for any $l \leqq i \leqq m, i \in \mathbb{N}$, the integer $j(i)$ by

$$
j(i)=j \quad \text { if } \beta_{j-1}+1 \leqq i \leqq \beta_{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, N .
$$

We now want to estimate the magnitude of the error for our statistic if in the definition of $Z_{i}, U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}$ and $\bar{U}_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}$ are replaced by $V_{j(i)}$ and $\bar{V}_{j(i)}$.

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}-V_{j(i)}\right| \\
& \leqq\left|\frac{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq i} W_{k}}{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}}-\frac{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq \beta_{j(i)-1}} W_{k}}{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}}-\frac{i-\beta_{j(i)-1}}{n+1}\right| \\
& +\frac{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq \beta_{j(i)-1}} W_{k} \cdot\left|\sum_{\beta_{j(i)-1}+1 \leqq k \leqq \beta_{j(i)}}\left(W_{k}+\overline{W_{k}}\right)-2\left(\beta_{j(i)}-\beta_{j(i)-1}\right)\right|}{\left(\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}\right)\left(\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}-\sum_{\beta_{j(i)-1}+1 \leqq k \leqq \beta_{j(i)}}\left(W_{k}+\bar{W}_{k}\right)+2\left(\beta_{j(i)}-\beta_{j(i)-1}\right)\right)} \\
& \leqq \frac{\left|\sum_{\beta_{j(i)-1}+1 \leqq} W_{k}-\left(i-\beta_{j(i)-1}\right)\right|}{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}}+\frac{\alpha_{n}\left|\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}-(n+1)\right|}{(n+1) \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}} \\
& +\frac{\left|\sum_{\beta_{j(i)-1}+1 \leqq k \leqq \beta_{j(i)}} W_{k}-\left(\beta_{j(i)}-\beta_{j(i)-1}\right)\right|}{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}} \\
& +\frac{\left|\sum_{\beta_{j(i)-1}+1 \leqq} \bar{W}_{k}-\left(\beta_{j(i)}-\beta_{j(i)-1}\right)\right|}{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term is of order $n^{\nu-3 / 2}$ by the central limit theorem. The three other terms can be handled similarly. So consider the first expression (say).

By independence, for $r>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{\max \left\{\left|\sum_{\beta_{j(i)-1}+1 \leqq k \leqq i} W_{k}-\left(i-\beta_{j(i)-1}\right)\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\} \leqq n^{r}\right\} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leqq j \leqq N} P\left\{\operatorname { m a x } \left\{\left.\right|_{\beta_{j(i)-1}+1 \leqq k \leqq i} W_{k}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.-\left(i-\beta_{j(i)-1}\right) \mid: \beta_{j(i)-1}<i \leqq \beta_{j(i)}\right\} \leqq n^{r}\right\} \\
& \geqq P\left\{\max \left\{\left|\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq i} W_{k}-i\right|: i=1, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\} \leqq n^{r}\right\}^{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a lemma due to Skorokhod (see Breiman [2], p. 45),

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left\{\max \left\{\left|\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq i} W_{k}-i\right|: i=1, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}>n^{r}\right\} \\
\leqq \frac{1}{1-c} P\left\{\left|\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq \alpha_{n}} W_{k}-\alpha_{n}\right|>n^{r} / 2\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
c=\sup \left\{P\left\{\left|\sum_{i \leqq k \leqq \alpha_{n}} W_{k}-\left(\alpha_{n}-i\right)\right|>n^{r}\right\}: i=1, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\} .
$$

By Tschebyscheff inequality,

$$
c \leqq n^{-2 r} \sup \left\{\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i \leqq k \leqq \alpha_{n}} W_{k}-\left(\alpha_{n}-i\right)\right): i=1, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}=n^{-2 r} \alpha_{n} \sim n^{y-2 r}
$$

Now choose $r>\frac{\gamma}{2}$. Then $c \leqq \frac{1}{2}$, for $n$ large enough, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{\max \left\{\left|\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq i} W_{k}-i\right|: i=1, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}>n^{r}\right\} \\
& \quad \leqq 2 P\left\{n^{-\gamma / 2}\left|\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq \alpha_{n}} W_{k}-\alpha_{n}\right|>n^{r-\gamma / 2} / 2\right\} \\
& \quad \leqq 2 P\left\{n^{-\gamma / 2}\left|\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq \alpha_{n}} W_{k}-\alpha_{n}\right|>\alpha_{n}^{\left(r-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) / \gamma} / 2\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now use the large deviation theorem (see Feller [3], p. 549) for exponentially distributed variables. For this, let $\gamma^{\prime}=\min \left\{1 / 7,\left(r-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) / \gamma\right\}$. Then, for large $n$, if $r>\gamma / 2$,

$$
P\left\{n^{-\gamma / 2}\left|\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq \alpha_{n}} W_{k}-\alpha_{n}\right|>\alpha_{n}^{\left(r-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) / \gamma} / 2\right\} \leqq \exp \left(-\alpha_{n}^{2 \gamma^{\prime}}\right) \sim \exp \left(-n^{2 \gamma \gamma^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left\{\max \left\{\left.\right|_{\beta_{j(i)-1}+1 \leqq k \leqq i} W_{k}-\left(i-\beta_{j(i)-1}\right) \mid: i=l, \ldots, m\right\} \leqq n^{r}\right\} \\
\geqq\left(1-2 \exp \left(-n^{2 v^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{N} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\longrightarrow} 1, \quad \text { since } N \leqq n .
\end{gathered}
$$

Putting everything together we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max & \left\{\left|U_{i}-\frac{i}{n+1}-V_{j(i)}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\} \\
& =O_{P}\left(n^{r-1}\right)+O_{P}\left(n^{\gamma-3 / 2}\right), \quad \text { for all } r>\gamma / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, the same is true, if we replace $U_{i}$ and $V_{j(i)}$ by $\bar{U}_{i}$ and $\bar{V}_{j(i)}$.
Now define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Z}_{i}= & W_{i}+\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)} W_{i} V_{j(i)}-n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) W_{i} \\
& -\bar{W}_{i}-\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)} \bar{W}_{i} \overline{\bar{V}}_{j(i)}+n^{-1 / 2} \bar{g}^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) \bar{W}_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $Z_{i}=\tilde{Z}_{i}+\mathscr{R}_{i, 4}$, and since $\gamma<1$,

$$
\max \left\{\left|\mathscr{R}_{i, 4}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}\left(n^{-s}\right)
$$

with $s>1 / 2$ (and $s$ of course depending on $\gamma$ ). We mention here for later use that our results also show, that

$$
\max \left\{\left|V_{j(i)}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Step 3. We prove here that the statistic $n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(Z_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ is asymptotically
equivalent to

$$
n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

Let $1 / 2<s^{\prime}<s$ and define,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n}=\left\{\max \left\{\left|\mathscr{R}_{i, 4}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\} \leqq n^{-s^{\prime}}\right\}, \\
& B_{i}=\left\{\left|\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\left\lvert\, \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right.}\right|\left(\left|V_{j(i)}\right|+\left|\overline{\bar{V}}_{j(i)}\right|\right) \leqq \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \\
& C_{n}=\bigcap_{l \leqq i \leqq m} B_{i} \cap A_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $P\left\{C_{n}\right\} \rightarrow 1$, for $n \rightarrow \infty$.
But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0, Z_{i}>0, C_{n}\right\} \leqq P\left\{-n^{-s^{\prime}} \leqq \tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0, B_{i}\right\} \\
&=\int_{B_{i}} P\left\{-n^{-s^{\prime}} \leqq \tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0 \mid V_{j(i)}, \bar{V}_{j(i)}\right\} d P
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $W_{i}, \bar{W}_{i}$ are independent of $\left(V_{j(i)}, \overline{\bar{V}}_{j(i)}\right)$ by construction, one can easily compute the conditional distribution of $\bar{Z}_{i}$ given $V_{j(i)}$ and $\bar{V}_{j(i)}$. The density is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}} e^{-\lambda_{1}^{1} x}, & x \geqq 0, \\
\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}} e^{-\lambda_{2}^{-1} x}, & x \leqq 0
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=1+\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)} V_{j(i)}-n^{-1 / 2} g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right), \\
& \lambda_{2}=1+\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)} \overline{\bar{V}}_{j(i)}-n^{-1 / 2} \bar{g}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On $B_{i}, \lambda_{i} \geqq 1 / 4$, for $i=1,2$ and $n$ large enough. Therefore, on $B_{i}$,

$$
P\left\{-n^{-s^{\prime}} \leqq \tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0 \mid V_{j(i)}, \bar{V}_{j(i)}\right\} \leqq 2 n^{-s^{\prime}}
$$

Hence

$$
P\left\{\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0, Z_{i}>0, C_{n}\right\} \leqq 2 n^{-s^{\prime}} .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(Z_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\} \\
& \quad \geqq n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}-n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m} I\left(\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0, Z_{i}>0, C_{n}\right) \\
& \quad-n^{+1 / 2} I\left(C_{n}^{c}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

( $A^{c}$ means the complement of the set $A$.) The last two random variables converge stochastically to zero. Similarly one can show that

$$
n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(Z_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\} \leqq n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\}+o_{P}(1) .
$$

Step 4. We first introduce new random variables. For $i=1, \ldots, m$, let

$$
L_{i}=I\left(\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i}>0\right)-I\left(\tilde{Z}_{i}>0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0\right)
$$

Then,

$$
\sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m} I\left(\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0\right)=\sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0\right)+L_{i}\right\}
$$

Further define,

$$
M_{i}=E\left(L_{i} \mid V_{j(i)}, \bar{V}_{j(i)}\right) .
$$

( $E(X \mid Y)$ means the conditional expectation of $X$ given $Y$.)
We now show that our statistic is asymptotically equivalent to

$$
n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0\right)-1 / 2+M_{i}\right\}
$$

Let $d>0$ and define

$$
D_{i}=\left\{\left|\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}\right|\left(\left|V_{j(i)}\right|+\left|\bar{V}_{j(i)}\right|\right) \leqq d n^{-1 / 2}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\bar{D}_{n}=\bigcap_{i \leqq i \leqq m} D_{i} .
$$

For any $\eta>0$ we can choose $d$ so large, that

$$
\liminf P\left\{\bar{D}_{n}\right\} \geqq 1-\eta
$$

Thus it is sufficient to chow that

$$
\frac{1}{n} \int_{\bar{D}_{n}}\left(\sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m} L_{i}-M_{i}\right)^{2} d P \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \text { tends to } \infty .
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \int_{\bar{D}_{n}}\left(\sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m} L_{i}-M_{i}\right)^{2} d P & =\frac{1}{n} \int_{\bar{D}_{n}}\left(\sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq N} \sum_{\beta_{j-1}+1 \leqq i \leqq \beta_{j}}\left(L_{i}-M_{i}\right)\right)^{2} d P \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{n} N \sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq N} \int_{D_{i}}\left(\sum_{\beta_{j-1}+1 \leqq i \leqq \beta_{j}} L_{i}-M_{i}\right)^{2} d P .
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction $W_{i}, \bar{W}_{i}, i=\beta_{j-1}+1, \ldots, \beta_{j}$, are independent of $V_{j(i)}, \bar{V}_{j(i)}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D_{i}}\left(\sum_{\beta_{j-1}+1 \leqq i \leqq \beta_{j}} L_{i}-M_{i}\right)^{2} d P \\
& \quad=\int_{D_{i}} E\left(\left(_{\beta_{j-1}+1} \sum_{i \leqq i \leqq \beta_{j}} L_{i}-M_{i}\right)^{2} \mid V_{j(i)}, \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}_{j(i)}\right) d P \\
& \quad=\int_{D_{i}} \sum_{\beta_{j-1}+1 \leqq i \leqq \beta_{j}} E\left(\left(L_{i}-M_{i}\right)^{2} \mid V_{j(i)}, \overline{\bar{V}}_{j(i)}\right) d P \\
& \quad \leqq \int_{D_{i} \beta_{j-1}+1 \leqq i \leqq \beta_{j}} E\left(L_{i}^{2} \mid V_{j(i)}, \overline{\vec{V}}_{j(i)}\right) d P .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(L_{i}^{2} \mid V_{j(i)}, \overline{\bar{V}}_{j(i)}\right) \\
& \quad=P\left\{\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i}>0 \mid V_{j(i)},{\overline{V_{V}^{j(i)}}}\right\} \\
& \quad+P\left\{\tilde{Z}_{i}>0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0 \mid V_{j(i)}, \bar{V}_{j(i)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using independence, we obtain for the first term of the right-hand side:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i}>0 \mid V_{j(i)}, \bar{V}_{j i(i)}\right\} I\left(D_{i}\right) \\
& \leqq P\left\{W_{i}-\left(d+\left|g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right)\right|\right) n^{-1 / 2} \log n\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\bar{W}_{i}-\left(d+\left|\bar{g}^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right)\right|\right) n^{-1 / 2} \log n \leqq 0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i}>0\right\} \\
&+P\left\{W_{i}>\log n\right\}+P\left\{\bar{W}_{i}>\log n\right\} \\
& \leqq C n^{-1 / 2} \log n+2 n^{-1}, \quad \text { for all } i=l, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

with a constant $C$ not depending on $n$. Here we used the fact that $W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i}$ has the density $\frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|}$. The same bound applies to the second term. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \int_{\bar{D}_{n}}\left(\sum_{I \leqq i \leqq m} L_{i}-M_{i}\right)^{2} d P \\
& \quad \leqq n^{-1} N \sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq N} \sum_{\beta_{j-1}+1 \leqq i \leqq \beta_{j}}\left(2 C n^{-1 / 2} \log n+4 n^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad \leqq N \tilde{C} n^{-1 / 2} \log n \sim n^{1-\gamma} \tilde{C} n^{-1 / 2} \log n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, the last expression converges to zero and our statement is proved.
Step 5. We now compute the variables $M_{i}$ to get the desired approximation of $S_{4, \varepsilon}$ by sums of independent variables. By independence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{i}= & P\left\{\tilde{Z}_{i} \leqq 0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i}>0 \mid V_{j(i)}, \overline{\vec{V}}_{j(i)}\right\} \\
& -P\left\{\tilde{Z}_{i}>0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0 \mid V_{j(i)}, \bar{V}_{j(i)}\right\} \\
= & P\left\{\lambda_{1} W_{i}-\lambda_{2} \bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i}>0\right\} \\
& -P\left\{\lambda_{1} W_{i}-\lambda_{2} \bar{W}_{i}>0, W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0\right\} \\
= & P\left\{\lambda_{1} W_{i}-\lambda_{2} \bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0\right\}-P\left\{W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ as defined before.
We may assume $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0$, since this is true on a set of large probability for all $i=l, \ldots, m$. Now, assuming $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0$, one can easily show, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{i} & =\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{2\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}\left(\overline{\bar{V}}_{j(i)}-V_{j(i)}\right)-n^{-1 / 2}\left(\overline { g } ^ { - 1 } \left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right)\right.\right.}{4+\mathscr{R}_{i, 5}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\max \left\{\left|\mathscr{R}_{i, 5}\right|: i=l, \ldots, m\right\}=O_{P}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. Since the numerator is of the same order, $\mathscr{R}_{i, 5}$ can be neglected. We may also replace $\left(\bar{V}_{j(i)}-V_{j(i)}\right)$ by $\left(\bar{U}_{i}-U_{i}\right)$, as proved above.

Therefore, our statistic is asymptotically equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left\{I\left(W_{i}-\bar{W}_{i} \leqq 0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right\} \\
& \quad+n^{-1 / 2} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m} \frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}\left(\bar{U}_{i}-U_{i}\right) \\
& \quad+n^{-1} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \leqq i \leqq m}\left(g^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right)-\bar{g}^{\prime}\left(\Psi\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term converges to $\frac{1}{4} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2}\left(g^{\prime}(\Psi(t))-g^{\prime}(-\Psi(t))\right) d t$, using $\overline{g^{\prime}}(x)=g^{\prime}(-x)$.

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m} & \frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}\left(\bar{U}_{i}-U_{i}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq n+1} W_{k}} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m}\left(\frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)} \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq i}\left(\bar{W}_{k}-W_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \sim \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq l-1} \sum_{l \leqq i \leqq m} \frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}\left(\bar{W}_{k}-W_{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{l \leqq k \leqq m} \sum_{k \leqq i \leqq m} \frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}{\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)}\left(\bar{W}_{k}-W_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \sim \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq l-1} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2} \frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\Psi^{\prime}(t)} d t\left(\bar{W}_{k}-W_{k}\right) \\
& +\sum_{l \leqq k \leqq m} \int_{k / n}^{1 / 2} \frac{\Psi^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\Psi^{\prime}(t)} d t\left(\bar{W}_{k}-W_{k}\right) \\
= & \sum_{1 \leqq k \leqq l-1}\left(\ln \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-\ln \Psi^{\prime}(\varepsilon)\right)\left(\bar{W}_{k}-W_{k}\right) \\
& +\sum_{l \leqq k \leqq m}\left(\ln \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-\ln \Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right)\left(\bar{W}_{k}-W_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorems 3 and 5 then follow from the central limit theorem. The calculation of the variance and covariance are easy and thus left to the reader.

## 1II.5. The Neyman-Pearson-Test

We show here how the mean and the variance of the Neyman-Pearson statistic can be computed for testing symmetry with normal, logistic, double exponential or Cauchy distribution against the alternative given by

$$
H_{n}(x)=F\left(x+\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) I(x \geqq 0)+F(x) I(x<0) .
$$

Consider the case where

$$
f(x)=F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

Let $h_{n}$ be the density of $H_{n}$.

By Taylor expansion,

$$
h_{n}(x) \sim\left(f(x)+\frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{f^{\prime}(x) x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) I(x \geqq 0)+f(x) I(x<0) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n} \log \frac{h_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}\right)} \sim n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left(1+\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}\right)} x_{i}\right) I\left(x_{i} \geqq 0\right) \\
& \quad=n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right) I\left(x_{i} \geqq 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When the $X_{i}$ are distributed according to $F(x)$, the last expression is asymptotically normal with mean 0 and variance $\sigma_{5}^{2}=1$.

Under the alternative, the variance remains the same, while the mean $\mu_{5}$ is given by

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{2}\right) f^{\prime}(x) x d x=1
$$

The same method applies to the other distributions. Since simple integrals only are involved, computation is left to the reader.
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