

# A Dissipative Transformation with a Trivial Tail-Algebra

F. H. SIMONS

*Summary.* In [1], an example was given of a measure-preserving dissipative transformation  $T$  in a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{R}, \mu)$ , such that  $T$  is conservative in the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{R}_\infty, \mu)$  where  $\mathcal{R}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n} \mathcal{R}$ . Here we shall show that for this transformation we actually have  $\mathcal{R}_\infty = \{\emptyset, X\} [\mu]$ .

For every integer  $n$  let  $I_n$  be the set  $\{(x, n) | 0 \leq x < 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_n$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borelsets in  $I_n$  and  $\mu_n$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $(X_n, \mathcal{R}_n)$ . Define

$$X = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_n$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \{A | \forall_n (A \cap I_n \in \mathcal{R}_n)\}$$

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mu_n(A \cap I_n) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{R},$$

then  $(X, \mathcal{R}, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.

For  $(x, n) \in X$  define

$$T(x, n) = \begin{cases} (2x, n+1) & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\ (2x-1, n+2) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1, \end{cases}$$

then  $T$  is a measurable measure-preserving transformation in  $(X, \mathcal{R}, \mu)$ , and since each of the sets  $I_n$  is wandering,  $T$  is dissipative.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the class of all functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $f$  is integrable on each interval  $I_n$ . If  $f$  is  $\mathcal{R}_\infty$ -measurable,  $f$  is  $T^{-k} \mathcal{R}$ -measurable for every  $k \geq 0$ ; therefore for every  $k \geq 0$  we must have for every sequence  $(b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \dots)$  with  $b_i = 0$  or  $b_i = 1$

$$f \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i}{2^i} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{2^i}, n \right) = f \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a'_i}{2^i} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{2^i}, n' \right) \quad (1)$$

as soon as  $n + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i = n' + \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i$ , where  $a_i$  and  $a'_i$  are 0 or 1.

We shall prove the triviality of  $\mathcal{R}_\infty$  by showing that every  $\mathcal{R}_\infty$ -measurable function  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  necessarily must be constant almost everywhere on  $X$ . Because of (1) it is sufficient to show that such a function is almost everywhere constant on any of the intervals  $I_n$ .

Let  $[a_1 \dots a_k]$  ( $a_i = 0$  or  $a_i = 1$ ) denote the dyadic interval of those points of  $I_n$  for which the dyadic expansion starts with  $a_1 \dots a_k$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  which is  $\mathcal{R}_\infty$ -measurable we first show

$$\int_{[a_1 \dots a_k 0]} f d\mu_n = \int_{[a_1 \dots a_k 1]} f d\mu_n. \quad (2)$$

For any natural number  $m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{[a_1 \dots a_k 0]} f d\mu_n - \int_{[a_1 \dots a_k 1]} f d\mu_n \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{[a_1 \dots a_k 0 b_{k+2} \dots b_{k+2m}] } f d\mu_n - \sum_{[a_1 \dots a_k 1 b_{k+2} \dots b_{k+2m}] } f d\mu_n \right| \end{aligned}$$

where both sums have to be taken over all sequences  $(b_{k+2} \dots b_{k+2m})$  with  $b_i = 0$  or  $b_i = 1$ . Let  $j$  be given such that  $0 \leq j \leq 2m$ . In the first sum there are  $\binom{2m-1}{j}$  intervals for which exactly  $j$  of the  $b_i$  are 1, and in the second sum there are  $\binom{2m-1}{j-1}$  intervals for which exactly  $j-1$  of the  $b_i$  are 1. Because of (1) the contribution of  $2 \min \left( \binom{2m-1}{j}, \binom{2m-1}{j-1} \right)$  of these intervals to the difference vanishes. Hence

$$\left| \int_{[a_1 \dots a_k 0]} f d\mu_n - \int_{[a_1 \dots a_k 1]} f d\mu_n \right| \leq \int_{B_m} |f| d\mu_n$$

where  $B_m$  is the union of  $\sum_{j=0}^{2m} \left| \binom{2m-1}{j} - \binom{2m-1}{j-1} \right|$  intervals of measure  $2^{-k-2m}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(B_m) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2m} \left| \binom{2m-1}{j} - \binom{2m-1}{j-1} \right| \frac{1}{2^{k+2m}} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^m \left( \binom{2m-1}{j} - \binom{2m-1}{j-1} \right) \frac{1}{2^{k+2m}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \binom{2m}{j} \left( 1 - \frac{j}{m} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \left( \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{2m}{j} - \frac{1}{m 2^{2m}} \sum_{j=0}^m j \binom{2m}{j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{m 2^{2m}} \sum_{i=0}^{2m} i \binom{2m}{i} = 1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m i \binom{2m}{i} = \sum_{i=m+1}^{2m} i \binom{2m}{i} \quad (\text{for } i \binom{2m}{i} = (2m-i+1) \binom{2m}{2m-i+1}), \quad i=1, \dots, m,$$

the second sum between the brackets is  $\frac{1}{2}$  for every  $m$ . For the first sum we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{2m}{j} &= \frac{1}{2^{2m+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{j} + \frac{1}{2^{2m+1}} \binom{2m}{m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{m}(2m)!}{2^{2m}(m!)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}} \end{aligned}$$

which, because of Wallis's product, tends to  $\frac{1}{2}$  if  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves  $\mu_n(B_m) \rightarrow 0$  if  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , and thereby (2).

Now let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  be  $\mathcal{R}_\infty$ -measurable. If for some  $n$  we have  $\int_{I_n} f(x, n) d\mu \neq 0$ , without loss of generality we may assume  $\int_{I_n} f d\mu_n = 1$ .

For every  $A \in \mathcal{R}_n$  define  $P(A) = \int_A f d\mu_n$ . Let  $F(x) = P([0, x])$  be the distribution function of  $P$  on  $I_n$ , then it easily follows from (2) that we must have

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i}{2^i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i}{2^i}$$

and therefore  $F(x) = x$  on  $I_n$ .

It follows that  $P = \mu_n$  on  $(I_n, \mathcal{R}_n)$  and therefore  $f(x, n) = 1$   $\mu_n$ -almost everywhere on  $I_n$ . This proves the triviality of  $\mathcal{R}_\infty$ .

### Reference

1. Helmberg, G., Simons, F. H.: On the conservative parts of the Markov processes induced by a measurable transformation. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **11**, 165–180 (1969).

F. H. Simons  
 Technological University Eindhoven  
 Department of Mathematics  
 P. O. Box 513  
 Eindhoven, Netherlands

(Received June 9, 1969)