

# Approximation and the Spectral Multiplicity of Special Automorphisms

G.R. Goodson and P.N. Whitman

Department of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand Johannesburg, South Africa

**Summary.** Conditions have been given [7] under which a special automorphism over an automorphism admitting a simple approximation again admits a simple approximation, and so has simple spectrum.

In this paper using different techniques to those employed in [7], we obtain improved results in the same direction. Specifically, conditions are given for a special automorphism over an automorphism, which admits either a simple approximation, or an approximation with suitable speed, to have bounded spectral multiplicity. Furthermore, we obtain as a corollary a result on primitive automorphisms, which partially generalises a result appearing in [4].

## 1. Preliminaries

Throughout  $(X, F, \mu)$  is a measure space isomorphic to the unit interval with Lebesgue measure. A measure-preserving invertible point transformation of  $X$  is an *automorphism* of  $(X, F, \mu)$ .

An ordered collection  $\xi = \{C_i; i=1, 2, \dots\}$  of pairwise-disjoint measurable sets in  $X$  is called a *partition*. In most cases our partitions will be finite.

If  $A \in F$ , we write  $A \leq \xi$ , if  $A$  is a union of members of  $\xi$ .

If  $A \in F$ , we denote by  $A(\xi)$  a set such that  $A(\xi) \leq \xi$  and  $\mu(A \Delta A(\xi))$  is a minimum.

A sequence  $\{\xi(n)\}$  of partitions converges to the *unit partition*, written  $\xi(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$ , provided that for each measurable set  $A$ ,  $\mu(A \Delta A(\xi(n))) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We are interested in the following two related, but different types of approximation of automorphisms.

*Definition 1.* The automorphism  $T$  admits an *approximation with speed*  $f(n)$  if there exists a sequence of partitions  $\{\xi(n)\}$  with  $\xi(n) = \{C_i(n); 1 \leq i \leq q(n)\}$  such that:

- (i)  $\xi(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;

- (ii)  $\mu(C_i(n)) = \mu(C_{i+1}(n)), 1 \leq i \leq q(n) - 1;$
- (iii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{q(n)-1} \mu(TC_i(n) \Delta C_{i+1}(n)) < f(q(n)).$

*Definition 2.* The automorphism  $T$  admits a *simple approximation* if there exists a sequence of partitions  $\{\xi(n)\}$  with  $\xi(n) = \{C_i(n): 1 \leq i \leq q(n)\}$  such that:

- (i)  $\xi(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty;$
- (ii)  $TC_i(n) = C_{i+1}(n), 1 \leq i \leq q(n) - 1.$

Stepin [5] has shown that if  $T$  admits an approximation with speed  $\theta/n, \theta < 2m/(m+1)$  then  $T$  has spectral multiplicity at most  $m$ , and Baxter [1] has shown that if  $T$  admits a simple approximation then  $T$  has simple spectrum.

*Definition 3.* We say a partition  $\eta = \{A_i: 1 \leq i \leq N\}$  is *approximated with speed*  $g(n)$  by a sequence of finite partitions  $\{\xi(n)\}$ , such that  $\xi(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon$ , if there exist sets  $A_i(n) \leq \xi(n)$  such that

$$\mu \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^N (A_i \Delta A_i(n)) \right] < g(q(n))$$

where  $q(n)$  is the number of elements in  $\xi(n)$ .

Let  $T$  be an automorphism of  $X$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of non-negative integers, and let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be an integrable function. Put  $B(k, n) = \{(x, n): x \in X, f(x) = k\}$ , and define

$$X(f) = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \bigcup_{n=0}^k B(k, n).$$

We identify  $X$  with the set  $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} B(k, 0)$ .

We may regard each set  $B(k, n), 0 < n \leq k$ , as a copy of  $B(k, 0)$ . Consequently we may extend  $\mu$  to  $X(f)$  and form a normalised measure  $\mu^f$  on  $X(f)$  in the obvious way.

*Definition 4.* Let the transformation  $T_f$  on  $X(f)$  be defined by

$$T_f(x, n) = (x, n + 1), \quad 0 \leq n < f(x),$$

$$T_f(x, f(x)) = (Tx, 0).$$

$T_f$  is called the *special automorphism* over  $T$  built under the function  $f$ .

If  $f$  is the characteristic function of a set  $A \in F$ , then the special automorphism  $T_f$  is denoted  $T^A$ , and called a *primitive automorphism* over  $T$ .

Order the sets  $B(k, n), k \geq 0, 0 \leq n \leq k$ , lexicographically.

*Definition 5.* Let  $\xi$  be a partition such that every element of  $\xi$  is contained in exactly one of the sets  $B(k, 0)$  for some  $k$ . Then  $\xi^f$  is the partition in  $X(f)$  consisting of the elements  $C \in \xi$ , together with for each  $C \in \xi$ , where  $C \subset B(k, 0)$ , a copy of  $C$  in each of the sets  $B(k, n), 0 < n \leq k$ .

It is easily seen that if  $\xi(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$ , then  $\xi^f(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{X(f)}$ .

*Definition 6.* If  $U$  is a unitary operator on a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $f \in H$ , we put

$$Z(f) = \overline{\{\dots U^{-1}f, f, Uf, \dots\}}$$

the closed subspace generated by the vectors  $U^n f, n=0, \pm 1, \dots$

The principal tool used in the proof of our main theorems, is the following result of Chacon [2].

**Theorem 1.** *If  $U$  is a unitary operator on a separable Hilbert space  $H$ , and if the spectral multiplicity of  $U$  is at least  $k$ , then there exist  $k$  orthonormal vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$  in  $H$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d^2(u_i, Z(w)) \geq k - 1$$

for any  $w \in H$ . ( $d$  is the matrix arising from the norm in  $H$ .)

## 2. Main Results

We first state without proof a simple lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{\xi(n)\}$  be a sequence of partitions,  $\xi(n) = \{C_i(n) : 1 \leq i \leq q(n)\}$  with  $\xi(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$ . Let  $\{\eta(n)\}$  be a sequence of partitions,  $\eta(n) = \{D_i(n) : 1 \leq i \leq q(n)\}$ , and suppose that*

$$\rho(\eta(n), \xi(n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{q(n)} \mu(D_i(n) \Delta C_i(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\eta(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$ .

Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is integrable. Let  $\zeta = \{B(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $B(k) = B(k, 0) = f^{-1}(k)$ .

Suppose further that  $\{\xi(n)\}$  is a sequence of partitions,  $\xi(n) = \{C_i(n) : 1 \leq i \leq q(n)\}$ , with  $\xi(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$ . Following Definition 3, we shall agree to say that  $\zeta$  is approximated with speed  $\delta/n$ ,  $0 < \delta < m/m + 1$ , for some fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , if there exist sets  $F_k(n) \subseteq \xi(n)$  such that

$$\mu \left[ \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} B(k) \Delta F_k(n) \right] < \delta/q(n).$$

Note that it is implicit in this definition that all but a finite number of the sets  $F_k(n)$  will be empty. Furthermore we can ensure that the sets  $F_k(n)$  are pairwise disjoint and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{q(n)} C_i(n) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k(n)$ . That this equality holds is a consequence of the speed of approximation: Let

$$I(n) = \left\{ k : C_k(n) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{q(n)} C_i(n) \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k(n) \right\}.$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{k \in I(n)} C_k(n) \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (B(k) \Delta F_k(n)).$$

Hence

$$\mu \left[ \bigcup_{k \in I(n)} C_k(n) \right] < \delta/q(n).$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left[ \bigcup_{k \in I(n)} C_k(n) \right] &= \text{card}(I(n)) \mu(C_1(n)) \\ &> \text{card}(I(n)) m/(m+1) q(n) \\ &> \text{card}(I(n)) \delta/q(n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{card}(I(n)) = 0.$$

We define functions  $f_n$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) &= k \quad \text{if } x \in F_k(n), \\ &= 0 \quad \text{if } x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{q(n)} C_i(n). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\{x: f_n(x) \neq f(x)\} \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (B(k) \Delta F_k(n)).$$

Hence

$$\mu \{x: f_n(x) \neq f(x)\} < \delta/q(n).$$

Following is our main result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $T$  admit an approximation with speed  $\theta/n$  w.r.t.  $\{\xi(n)\}$ , and suppose that  $\zeta$  can be approximated with speed  $\delta/n$ , where  $\theta + 2\delta < 2m/m + 1$ , by  $\{\xi(n)\}$ . Then  $T_f$  has spectral multiplicity at most  $m$ .*

*Proof.* Put

$$D(n) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{q(n)-1} T^{-i} [C_{i+1}(n) \cap \{x: f_n(x) = f(x)\}]$$

then we claim

$$\mu(C_1(n)) - \mu(D(n)) < (\theta + 2\delta)/2 q(n).$$

For, putting

$$K(n) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{q(n)-1} T^{-i} C_{i+1}(n)$$

then

$$T^{i-1} K(n) \subset C_i(n) \quad i = 1, \dots, q(n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(D(n)) &= \mu\left(K(n) \cap \bigcap_{i=0}^{q(n)-1} T^{-i}\{x: f_n(x) = f(x)\}\right) \\
 &= \mu(K(n)) - \mu\left(K(n) \setminus \bigcap_{i=0}^{q(n)-1} T^{-i}\{x: f_n(x) = f(x)\}\right) \\
 &= \mu(K(n)) - \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{q(n)-1} (K(n) \setminus T^{-i}\{x: f_n(x) = f(x)\})\right) \\
 &\geq \mu(K(n)) - \sum_{i=0}^{q(n)-1} \mu[T^i K(n) \setminus \{x: f_n(x) = f(x)\}] \\
 &= \mu(K(n)) - \mu\left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{q(n)-1} T^i K(n) \setminus \{x: f_n(x) = f(x)\}\right] \\
 &\geq \mu(K(n)) - \mu\{x: f_n(x) \neq f(x)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$K(n) \supset C_1(n) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{q(n)} T^{-i}(T C_i(n) \cap (X \setminus C_{i+1}(n)))$$

so

$$\mu(K(n)) \geq \mu(C_1(n)) - \theta/2 q(n)$$

hence

$$\mu(C_1(n)) - \mu(D(n)) < (\theta + 2\delta)/2 q(n).$$

It follows that  $\mu(D(n)) > 0$ .

Now define  $\eta(n) = \{(C_i(n) \cap \{x: f_n(x) = f(x)\}): 1 \leq i \leq q(n)\}$ . Then,

$$\rho(\eta(n), \xi(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and so  $\eta(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$  by Lemma 1. Consequently  $\eta^f(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{X(f)}$ . Let  $\eta^f(n)$  have  $q^f(n)$  elements, and  $C_k^f(n)$  be the element of  $\eta^f(n)$  containing  $T_f^{k-1} D(n)$ .

Now suppose that  $U_{T_f}$  has spectral multiplicity at least  $m+1$ . Then by Theorem 1 there exist  $m+1$  orthonormal vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m+1}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} d^2(u_i, Z(w(n))) \geq m$$

where  $w(n) = \chi_{D(n)}$  and  $Z(w(n))$  is the cycle generated by  $w(n)$ .

Since  $\eta^f(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{X(f)}$ , the  $u_i$  may be arbitrarily closely approximated by simple functions of the form

$$u_j(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{q^f(n)} a_k^j \chi_{C_k^f(n)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m+1.$$

Define

$$h_j(n) \in Z(w(n))$$

by

$$h_j(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{q^f(n)} a_k^j \chi_{T_f^{k-1} D(n)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m+1.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(u_j(n), Z(w(n))) &\leq \|u_j(n) - h_j(n)\|^2 \\ &= \int_{X(f)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{q^f(n)} a_k^j \chi_{C_k^f(n)} - \sum_{k=1}^{q^f(n)} a_k^j \chi_{T_f^{k-1} D(n)} \right|^2 d\mu^f \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{q^f(n)} |a_k^j|^2 \mu^f(C_k^f(n) \setminus T_f^{k-1} D(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^f(C_k^f(n) \setminus T_f^{k-1} D(n)) / \mu^f(C_k^f(n)) &\leq \mu^f(C_1(n) \setminus D(n)) / \mu^f(C_1(n)) \\ &= \mu(C_1(n) \setminus D(n)) / \mu(C_1(n)) < (\theta + 2\delta) / 2q(n) \mu(C_1(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(u_j(n), Z(w(n))) &< [(\theta + 2\delta) / 2q(n) \mu(C_1(n))] \sum_{i=1}^{q^f(n)} |a_k^i|^2 \mu^f(C_k^f(n)) \\ &= [(\theta + 2\delta) / 2q(n) \mu(C_1(n))] \|u_j(n)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} d^2(u_j(n), Z(w(n))) < [(\theta + 2\delta) / 2q(n) \mu(C_1(n))] \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \|u_j(n)\|^2.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(\theta + 2\delta) / 2q(n) \mu(C_1(n))] \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \|u_j(n)\|^2 \geq m,$$

which implies  $(\theta + 2\delta)(m+1)/2 \geq m$ ; that is

$$\theta + 2\delta \geq 2m/m + 1,$$

which contradicts

$$\theta + 2\delta < 2m/m + 1.$$

### 3. Special Automorphisms over Automorphisms Admitting a Simple Approximation

A similar result to that shown above can be obtained when the automorphism  $T$  admits a simple approximation.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $T$  admit a simple approximation with respect to the sequence  $\{\xi(n)\}$ , and suppose that  $\zeta$  can be approximated with speed  $\delta/n$ ,  $\delta < m/m + 1$ , by  $\{\xi(n)\}$ . Then the spectral multiplicity of  $T_f$  is at most  $m$ .*

The Corollary below generalises a result appearing in [4].

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $T$  admit a simple approximation with respect to a sequence of partitions  $\{\xi(n)\}$ ,  $\xi(n) = \{C_i(n) : 1 \leq i \leq q(n)\}$ , with  $\xi(n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_X$ , and such that*

$$\mu\left(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{q(n)} C_i(n)\right) < \varepsilon/q(n).$$

*Let  $A \in F$  be such that there exist sets  $A(n) \subseteq \xi(n)$  with  $\mu(A \Delta A(n)) < \delta/q(n)$ .*

*If  $\varepsilon + \delta < m/m + 1$  then the spectral multiplicity of  $T^A$  is at most  $m$ .*

#### 4. Remarks

(i) In [7], it is shown that if  $T$  admits a simple approximation with respect to  $\xi(n)$ , and for each  $C_i(n) \in \xi(n)$  there exists  $K_i(n)$  such that

$$\mu[C_i(n) \cap B(K_i(n))] > (1 - \delta(n)) \mu(C_i(n))$$

where  $\delta(n) = o\left(\frac{1}{q(n)}\right)$ , then  $T_f$  admits a simple approximation and so has simple spectrum.

A considerable improvement to this result may be obtained using the techniques of Theorem 2. We can replace  $\delta(n)$  by  $\delta/q(n)$ ,  $\delta < m/m + 1$ , and conclude that the spectral multiplicity of  $T$  is at most  $m$ .

(ii) Using the same method as in [7] it can be shown that if  $T$  admits a cyclic approximation (see Katok and Stepin [5]) with speed  $\theta/n^2$  and  $\delta(n)$  in (i) above is taken to be  $\delta(n) = \delta/q(n)^2$ , then  $T_f$  admits a cyclic approximation with speed  $\alpha/n$ ,  $\alpha < 1$  (provided  $\theta$  and  $\delta$  are chosen small enough). It follows that in this case  $T_f$  has simple and singular spectrum.

(iii) The relationship between the result of [7] mentioned in (i) above, and Theorem 2 may be clarified if we assume  $\mu\left(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{q(n)} C_i(n)\right) = 0$ . Then the statement “for each  $C_i(n)$  there exists  $K_i(n)$  such that  $\mu[C_i(n) \cap B(K_i(n))] > (1 - \delta/q(n)) \mu(C_i(n))$ ” implies  $\zeta$  is approximated with speed  $2\delta/n$  by  $\{\xi(n)\}$ . Conversely the statement “ $\zeta$  is approximated with speed  $\delta/n$  by  $\{\xi(n)\}$ ” implies that for each  $C_i(n)$ , there exists  $K_i(n)$  such that  $\mu[C_i(n) \cap B(K_i(n))] > (1 - \delta) \mu(C_i(n))$ .

#### References

1. Baxter, J.R.: A class of ergodic transformations having simple spectrum. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **27**, 275–279 (1971)
2. Chacon, R.V.: Approximation and spectral multiplicity. Lecture Notes in Math. **160**, 18–27, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer

3. Goodson, G.R.: Approximation and the spectral multiplicity of finite skew products. *J. London Math. Soc.* **14**, 249–259 (1976)
4. Goodson, G.R.: Induced automorphisms and simple approximations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54**, 141–145 (1976)
5. Katok, A.B., Stepin, A.M.: Approximations in ergodic theory. *Russian Math. Surveys* **22**, 77–102 (1967)
6. Stepin, A.M.: On the connection between approximation and spectral properties of automorphisms. *Mat. Zametki* **13**, 403–409 (1973)
7. Whitman, P.N.: Approximation of induced automorphisms and special automorphisms. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70**, 139–145 (1978)

Received April 1, 1978