

## A Note on the Random Mean Ergodic Theorem

Alan Saleski

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loyola University of Chicago, 6525 North Sheridan Road,  
Chicago, Illinois 60626, USA

**Summary.** Let  $\{S_n\}$  be any non-trivial random walk on the integers. Then, almost surely, for all  $p \geq 1$ , for any probability space  $X$ , for any mixing automorphism  $T$  on  $X$ , for all  $f \in L_p(X)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} \rightarrow E(f) \quad \text{in } L_p(X).$$

Let  $T$  be an automorphism (that is, a bimeasurable measure-preserving bijection) of a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{L}, m)$ . Let  $\{S_n\}_0^\infty$  denote a random walk on the integers (that is,  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  where  $\{X_i\}$  are independent identically distributed integer-valued random variables) and  $P$  be the probability measure associated with the random walk. Assume  $P(S_1 = 0) \neq 1$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

As a consequence of the random mean ergodic theorem [4,7], if  $T$  is totally ergodic:

$$(A) \quad \forall f \in L_p(X) \quad P \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} \xrightarrow{L_p} E(f) \right) = 1.$$

If  $X$  (and hence  $L_p(X)$ ) is separable, then it follows easily from (A) that:

$$(B) \quad P \left( \forall p \geq 1 \forall f \in L_p(X) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} \xrightarrow{L_p} E(f) \right) = 1.$$

As a result of the recent work of Reich (Theorem 6.3 of [5]), if the  $X_i$  are positive and possess finite mean, then:

$$(C) \quad P \left( \forall p \geq 1 \forall \text{ weakly mixing } T \text{ on any probability space } X \right. \\ \left. \forall f \in L_p(X) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} \xrightarrow{L_p} E(f) \right) = 1.$$

In contradistinction to (A) or (B), (C) asserts that the set of probability one for which convergence takes place does not depend upon  $p$ ,  $X$ ,  $T$  or  $f$ .

The objective of this note is to demonstrate that (C) is valid for arbitrary one-dimensional random walk provided  $T$  is mixing. The proof given is similar in spirit to that of Blum and Hanson [1]. For the sake of completeness, a direct proof of (C) for random walk having finite first absolute moment is also provided.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $T$  be an ergodic automorphism of a non-atomic probability space  $(X, \mathcal{Z}, m)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $M \geq 1$ . Then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $0 < m(A) < \varepsilon$  and  $m(T^i(A) \cap A) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)m(A)$  for  $-M \leq i \leq M$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $n$  so large that  $(n - 2M)/n > 1 - \varepsilon$ . Then, according to Rohlin's theorem, there exists a set  $F \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\{T^{-i}F \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  are pairwise disjoint and  $nm(F) > 1 - \varepsilon$ . Choose  $G \subset F$  such that  $0 < m(G) < \varepsilon/n$ . Let  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n T^{-i}G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\{S_n\}$  be a random walk on the integers and let  $M \geq 1$ . Define the random variable  $L_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{card} \{(i, j) \mid |S_i - S_j| \leq M, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ . Then  $L_n \rightarrow 0$  a.s.*

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $L_n \geq 0$ , it suffices to show that  $P(\limsup L_n \leq \varepsilon) = 1$ . Let  $T$  be any totally ergodic automorphism of a non-atomic probability space  $(X, \mathcal{Z}, m)$ . As a consequence of Lemma 1, there exists  $A \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $0 < m(A) < \varepsilon$  and  $m(T^i A \mid A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$  for  $-M \leq i \leq M$ . Let  $f$  denote the characteristic function of  $A$ . Invoking the random mean ergodic theorem and noting that the family  $\{T^{X_i}\}$

of transformations is ergodic yields  $\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} - E(f) \right\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  a.s. Hence

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} \right\|_2^2 \rightarrow \|E(f)\|_2^2 = (m(A))^2 \text{ a.s.}$$

Now, a simple calculation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} \right\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i, j=1}^n \langle f, f \circ T^{S_i - S_j} \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum \{ \langle f, f \circ T^{S_i - S_j} \rangle \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, |S_i - S_j| \leq M \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (m(A))^2 &\geq \limsup \frac{1}{n^2} \sum \{ \langle f, f \circ T^{S_i - S_j} \rangle \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, |S_i - S_j| \leq M \} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) m(A) \limsup L_n \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\limsup L_n \leq m(A)/(1 - \varepsilon) < 2\varepsilon$  a.s.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{S_n\}$  be a one-dimensional random walk. Then, with probability one, for any mixing automorphism  $T$  of a probability space  $X$ , for all  $p \geq 1$ , for all  $f \in L_p(X)$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} \xrightarrow{L_p} E(f).$$

*Proof.* Let  $E_M$  denote the event:  $\frac{1}{n^2} \text{card} \{(i, j) | 1 \leq i, j \leq n \text{ and } |S_i - S_j| \leq M\} \rightarrow 0$ ; let

$E = \bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} E_M$ . Lemma 2 asserts that each  $E_M$  occurs a.s.; hence  $E$  occurs a.s. Let  $f \in L_2(X), E(f) = 0$ . Then, if  $E$  occurs,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} - E(f) \right\|_2 = \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i, j=1}^n \langle f, f \circ T^{S_i - S_j} \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$$

since  $T$  is mixing. For arbitrary  $g \in L_2(X)$ , replace  $g$  by  $g - E(g)$ . To show convergence in  $L_p(X)$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , a standard approximation argument will succeed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Assume the random walk  $\{S_n\}$  possesses finite first absolute moment. Then there exists a set  $C$  of probability one such that, for each  $\omega \in C$ , for every sequence  $\beta$  of positive integers having zero density,*

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \text{card} \{(i, j) | |S_i(\omega) - S_j(\omega)| \in \beta, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Applying the strong law of large numbers and Lemma 2, there exists a set  $C$  of probability one such that for any  $\omega \in C$  there exist integers

$K$  and  $L$  satisfying  $|S_n(\omega)| < Kn$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\frac{1}{n^2} \text{card} \{(i, j) | |S_i(\omega) - S_j(\omega)| \geq 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq L$ . Hence, for any  $\omega \in C$  and for each  $n \geq L$ , there exists a subset  $G_n$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\text{card } G_n \geq (1 - \varepsilon)n$  and  $S_i(\omega) \neq S_j(\omega)$  whenever  $i$  and  $j$  are distinct elements of  $G_n$ . Let  $A_{ij}(\omega) = |S_i(\omega) - S_j(\omega)|$ ; note that  $A_{ij}(\omega) \leq 2Kn$  if  $i, j \leq n$ . A simple counting argument shows that, for any integer  $p$ ,  $\text{card} \{(i, j) | i, j \in G_n, A_{ij}(\omega) = p\} \leq 2n$ . Thus, for each  $\omega \in C$  and any sequence  $\beta = \{\beta_i\}$  of zero density,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n^2} \text{card} \{(i, j) | A_{ij}(\omega) \in \beta, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^2} ((2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2)n^2 + 2n \text{card} \{i | \beta_i \leq 2Kn\}) \\ & = 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 + 4K \left( \frac{1}{2Kn} \text{card} \{i | \beta_i \leq 2Kn\} \right) \rightarrow 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\{S_n\}$  be a one-dimensional random walk on the integers having finite first absolute moment. Then, with probability one, for any weakly mixing automorphism  $T$  of a probability space  $X$ , for all  $p \geq 1$ , for all  $f \in L_p(X)$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i} \xrightarrow{L_p} E(f).$$

*Proof.* Choose  $C$  to be the set of probability one defined in Lemma 3. Let  $(T, X)$  be a weakly mixing dynamical system and let  $f$  be any simple function on  $X$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\beta$  of positive integers having zero density such that, if  $|a_n| \notin \beta$  and  $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, f \circ T^{a_n} \rangle = 0$ . If  $\omega \in C$  then, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^{S_i(\omega)} \rightarrow E(f)$  in  $L_2$ . A routine approximation argument completes the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

## References

1. Blum, J.R., Hanson, D.L.: On the mean ergodic theorem for subsequences. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **66**, 308-311 (1960)
2. Friedman, N.A.: *Introduction to Ergodic Theory*. New York-Cincinnati-Toronto-London-Melbourne: Van Nostrand Reinhold 1970
3. Halmos, P.R.: *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*. New York: Chelsea 1956
4. Kakutani, S.: Random ergodic theorems and Markoff processes with a stable distribution. In: *Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probab.* pp. 247-261. Berkeley-Los Angeles: Univ. Calif. Press 1951
5. Reich, J.I.: On the individual ergodic theorem for subsequences. *Ann. Probability* **5**, 1039-1046 (1977)
6. Spitzer, F.: *Principles of Random Walk* (2nd Edition). New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer 1976
7. Ulam, S.M., von Neumann, J.: Random ergodic theorem (abstract). *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51**, 660 (1945)

Received December 28, 1978