Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 15, 263-272 (1970) © by Springer-Verlag 1970

On the Convergence of Convolutions of Distributions with Regularly Varying Tails

Thomas Höglund

Summary. Let $x_1, ..., x_n$ be a sequence of independent random variables with the common distribution F. Suppose $E x_k = 0$ and that F belongs to the domain of attraction of the normal distribution. Under conditions which do not involve the existence of any particular moment we show that

$$P\{x_1 + \dots + x_n \le x \ a_n\} = \Phi(x) - \frac{n}{a_n^3} \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} |y|^3 F(dy) \left(\omega \ \Phi(x) + o(1)\right)$$

uniformly in x, provided the norming constants a_1, a_2, \ldots are properly chosen. Here Φ is the standard normal distribution and ω a certain operator (depending on F).

The local counterparts are also treated.

1. Introduction and Results

Suppose x_1, \ldots, x_n are independent, identically distributed random variables with zero expectation and with a distribution F that belongs to the domain of attraction of the normal distribution. Then there is a sequence of numbers $a_1, a_2, \ldots \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying

$$\frac{n}{a_n^2} \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} x^2 F(dx) \to 1 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(1)

Obviously $a_n \sim \sqrt{n} \sigma$ if the variance exists and equals σ^2 . Let F_n denote the distribution of the normed sum $a_n^{-1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$. If we require that the third moment μ_3 exists and that F is not a lattice distribution, then (Esséen [2] p. 49)

$$F_n(x) = \Phi(x) - \frac{\mu_3}{6\sigma^3 \sqrt{n}} (x^2 - 1) \varphi(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

uniformly in x. Here Φ stands for the normal distribution with zero expectation and unit variance and φ for its density.

The aim of the present paper is to prove an analogous result when the third moment does not exist. (Concerning estimates of the Berry-Esséen type in this case, see Feller [5], Esséen [3] and the references given there.) Instead we have to impose other conditions. To formulate these we shall say that a positive function R defined on $(0, \infty)$ varies regularly with exponent $\alpha(-\infty < \alpha < \infty)$ if, for each x > 0, $\frac{R(tx)}{R(t)} \rightarrow x^{\alpha}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. R varies slowly if R varies regularly with exponent zero.

Our conditions will be: for some slowly varying function L, as $x \to \infty$,

$$\int_{-x}^{x} |y|^{3} F(dy) \sim x^{1-\delta} L(x), \quad 0 \le \delta \le 1,$$
(2)

and

$$\frac{\int\limits_{0}^{x} y^{3} F(dy)}{\int\limits_{-x}^{x} |y|^{3} F(dy)} \rightarrow p, \qquad \frac{\int\limits_{-x}^{0} |y|^{3} F(dy)}{\int\limits_{-x}^{x} |y|^{3} F(dy)} \rightarrow q.$$
(3)

Except when $\delta = 1$, (2) and (3) are equivalent to

$$1 - F(x) + F(-x) \sim \frac{1 - \delta}{2 + \delta} x^{-2 - \delta} L(x)$$
(2)'

and

and the functions

$$\frac{1-F(x)}{1-F(x)+F(-x)} \to p, \qquad \frac{F(-x)}{1-F(x)+F(-x)} \to q.$$
(3)'

The verification of this equivalence is immediate, given Lemma 1 below. Conditions similar to those above have proved successful in connection with large deviation probabilities, see Heyde [8] and especially Heyde [6] where a result of McLaren concerning the convergences toward a normal distribution is cited.

Introduce the truncation function

$$\tau(x) = x \text{ if } |x| \le 1, = 0 \text{ if } |x| > 1$$
$$\Omega_{\delta}(x) = \begin{cases} p \, x^{1-\delta}, & x > 0, \\ a \, |x|^{1-\delta}, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

 $(q | x|^{1-\sigma}, x < 0,$ where p and q are defined in (3). The results will be formulated in terms of the derivation operator D and the operators ω_{δ} and $\tilde{\omega}_{\delta}$ defined, for those u for which the definitions make sense by

e definitions make sense, by

$$\omega_{\delta} u(x) = \frac{1}{\delta(\delta+1)(\delta+2)} \int u(x-y) \Omega_{\delta}(dy), \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1$$

$$u(x-y) = u(y) + y Du(y) - \frac{1}{2} \tau(y)^2 D^2 u(y)$$
(4)

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\delta} u(x) = \int \frac{u(x-y) - u(x) + y D u(x) - \frac{1}{2}\tau(y)^2 D^2 u(x)}{y^3} \Omega_{\delta}(dy), \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1.$$

For later use we here mention that $\tilde{\omega}_{\delta} \Phi$ has the Fourier-Stieltjes transform $e^{-t^2/2} h(t)$, where $e^{itx} - 1 - it x - \frac{1}{2}(it \tau(x))^2$

$$h(t) = \int \frac{e^{ix} - 1 - it x - \frac{1}{2} (it \tau(x))^2}{x^3} \Omega_{\delta}(dx).$$
 (5)

This is seen if we use the representation (4) of $\tilde{\omega}_{\delta} \Phi$, then apply Fubinis theorem and remember that

$$\int e^{itx} D^k \Phi(dx) = (-it)^k e^{-t^2/2}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

The following theorem is closely related to the theorem of Bergström, [1] and also to that of Heyde, [7] in which he shows that (with $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$ and without

264

any extra assumptions such as ours (2) and (3)).

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+\delta/2} \sup_{x} |F_n(x) - \Phi(x)| < \infty$$

if and only if

 $E |x_k|^{2+\delta} < \infty, \quad 0 < \delta < 1, \quad E |x_k| \log(1+|x_k|) < \infty, \quad \delta = 0.$

Theorem 1. Suppose F satisfies (2) and (3) and has an infinite absolute third moment.

(i) If $\delta > 0$ we choose $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$, where $\sigma^2 = \int x^2 F(dx)$; then

$$F_n(x) = \left(1 - \frac{L(\sqrt{n})}{\sigma^{2+\delta} n^{\delta/2}} \omega_{\delta} D^3\right) \Phi(x) + o\left(\frac{L(\sqrt{n})}{n^{\delta/2}}\right)$$
(6)

uniformly in x.

(ii) If $\delta = 0$ then it is possible to choose the sequence a_1, a_2, \dots such that

$$\frac{n}{a_n^2} \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} y^2 F(dy) = 1 + o\left(\frac{n L(a_n)}{a_n^2}\right).$$
(7)

With such a choice of a_1, a_2, \ldots ,

$$F_n(x) = \left(1 + \frac{n L(a_n)}{a_n^2} \tilde{\omega}_0\right) \Phi(x) + o\left(\frac{n L(a_n)}{a_n^2}\right)$$

uniformly in x.

Remark. A choice of a_1, a_2, \ldots according to (7) gives the fastest possible rate of convergence if $\delta = 0$; if $\delta > 0$ we have nothing to gain by another choice than $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$. In order to show this, it will in the proof of Theorem 1 be shown that $F_n(x) = (1 + c_n \tilde{\omega}_{\delta} + \rho_n \frac{1}{2}D^2) \Phi(x) + o(c_n) + o(\rho_n)$ uniformly in x, where

$$c_n = \frac{n}{a_n^3} \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} |y|^3 F(dy) \sim \frac{n L(a_n)}{a_n^{2+\delta}}, \quad \rho_n = \frac{n}{a_n^2} \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} y^2 F(dy) - 1.$$
(8)

We first show that the choice of a_n does not influence the order of magnitude of c_n . Suppose $a_1^{(i)}, a_2^{(i)}, \dots, i=1, 2$, are two sequences satisfying (1), then

$$a_n^{(1)} \sim a_n^{(2)}$$
. (9)

Let $c_n^{(i)}$ and $\rho_n^{(i)}$ stand for the c_n and ρ_n corresponding to $a_n^{(i)}$, i=1, 2. Now $c_n^{(i)} = n a_n^{(i)^{-3}} R(a_n^{(i)})$, where R is a nondecreasing, regularly varying function; because of (9) we therefore have $c_n^{(1)} \sim c_n^{(2)}$.

Thus we obtain the fastest possible rate of convergence if we choose a_n such that $\rho_n = O(c_n)$; this is certainly satisfied if we choose a_n according to (7). If the variance σ^2 exists and $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$, then

$$-\rho_n = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{|y| > \sigma} \sqrt{y^2} F(dy).$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that in this case

$$-\frac{\rho_n}{c_n} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1-\delta}{\delta} & \text{if } \delta > 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } \delta = 0. \end{cases}$$
(10)

This shows that $\rho_n = O(c_n)$ if $\delta > 0$ and $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$; however, if $\delta = 0$, then a choice according to (7) is preferable to the choice $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$.

Theorems 2 and 3 are the local counterparts of Theorem 1, for absolutely continuous and lattice distributions respectively.

Theorem 2. Suppose F satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, is absolutely continuous, and has characteristic function $f \in \mathcal{L}$ for some $1 \leq r < \infty$. Denote the density of F_n by v_n .

(i) If $\delta > 0$ we choose $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$, where $\sigma^2 = \int x^2 F(dx)$; then

$$v_n(x) = \left(1 - \frac{L(\sqrt{n})}{\sigma^{2+\delta} n^{\delta/2}} \omega_{\delta} D^3\right) \varphi(x) + o\left(\frac{L(\sqrt{n})}{n^{\delta/2}}\right)$$

uniformly in x.

(ii) If $\delta = 0$ then it is possible to choose the sequence a_1, a_2, \ldots such that (7) is valid. With such a choice of a_1, a_2, \ldots

$$v_n(x) = \left(1 + \frac{n L(a_n)}{a_n^2} \tilde{\omega}_0\right) \varphi(x) + o\left(\frac{n L(a_n)}{a_n^2}\right)$$

uniformly in x.

If F is a lattice distribution with span h then the variables x_j are restricted to values of the form $b, b \pm h, b \pm 2h, ...$ and h is the largest positive number with this property. The atoms of the distribution of $a_n^{-1}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)$ are among the points of form $x = a_n^{-1}(nb + kh)$, where $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ For such x we define

$$p_n(x) = P(a_n^{-1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n) = x).$$

Theorem 3. Suppose F is a lattice distribution with span h and assume that F satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. Let x be of form $a_n^{-1}(nb+kh)$, k integer.

(i) If $\delta > 0$ we choose $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$, where $\sigma^2 = \int x^2 F(dx)$, then

$$p_n(x) = \left(1 - \frac{L(\sqrt{n})}{\sigma^{2+\delta} n^{\delta/2}} \omega_{\delta} D^3\right) \varphi(x) + o\left(\frac{L(\sqrt{n})}{n^{\delta/2}}\right)$$

uniformly in x.

(ii) If $\delta = 0$ then it is possible to choose the sequence a_1, a_2, \ldots such that (7) is valid. With such a choice of a_1, a_2, \ldots

$$p_n(x) = \left(1 + \frac{n L(a_n)}{a_n^2} \tilde{\omega}_0\right) \varphi(x) + o\left(\frac{n L(a_n)}{a_n^2}\right)$$

uniformly in x.

2. Three Lemmas

The following lemma can be found in problem 30, p. 279 of [4].

Lemma 1. Let F be a probability distribution concentrated on $(0, \infty)$. Put

$$U_{\zeta}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} y^{\zeta} F(dy), \qquad V_{\sigma}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} y^{-\sigma} F(dy).$$

266

If F possesses moments of order $\langle \alpha, but$ not of order $\rangle \alpha \rangle 0$, then either U_{ζ} and V_{σ} vary regularly for all $\zeta \rangle \alpha$ and all $\sigma \rangle - \alpha$ or for no such value. In the first case the exponents are $\zeta - \alpha$ and $\sigma - \alpha$, and this case arises if and only if

$$\frac{x^{\sigma+\zeta} V_{\sigma}(x)}{U_{r}(x)} \xrightarrow{\zeta-\alpha} \sigma+\alpha$$
(11)

 $(0 < \alpha < \zeta)$. If U_{ζ} varies slowly, (11) holds with $\alpha = \zeta$; if V_{σ} varies slowly the left side tends to ∞ .

In applications of Lemma 1 to distributions not concentrated on $(0, \infty)$ we treat the two tails separately.

We also state a lemma which is contained in Theorem 2a, p. 32 of [2].

Lemma 2. Let F be a probability distribution with characteristic function f. Let G be a real function of bounded variation over the whole real axis, such that $G(-\infty)=0$, $G(+\infty)=1$, while the derivative G' exists everywhere and satisfies |G'| < K for some constant K. Write

$$g(t) = \int e^{itx} G(dx).$$

Suppose that for some positive constants T and η we have

$$\int_{-T}^{T} \left| \frac{f(t) - g(t)}{t} \right| dt = \eta.$$

Then there are positive constants A and B independent of T and η such that for all real x B

$$|F(x) - G(x)| < A\eta + \frac{B}{T}$$

Finally we need a bound for characteristic functions of distributions in the domain of attraction of the normal distribution.

Lemma 3. Let F be a probability distribution with characteristic function f. Suppose $\int_{-x}^{x} y^2 F(dy)$ is slowly varying and the sequence a_1, a_2, \ldots satisfies (1). Then there are positive constants ε and c such that

$$|f^n(t/a_n)| \le e^{-c|t|} \tag{12}$$

for $1 < |t| < \varepsilon a_n$ and all sufficiently large n.

is slowly varying, and

Proof. We may without loss of generality assume that $\int x F(dx) = 0$. Introduce the symmetrized distribution ${}^{0}F(x) = \int F(x+y) F(dy)$; then also

$$M(x) = \int_{-x}^{x} y^{2} \, {}^{0}F(dy)$$
$$\frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}} M(a_{n}) \rightarrow 2.$$
(13)

This becomes obvious if we use the fact that a distribution G with zero expectation belongs to the domain of attraction of the normal distribution if and only if $\int_{0}^{x} y^{2} G(dy)$ varies slowly. The variance of the corresponding normal distribution then equals the limit $\lim_{n} \frac{n}{a_n^2} \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} y^2 G(dy)$ if we use a_n as norming constants. (See [3] p. 544.) Further ⁰F has characteristic function $|f(t)|^2$ and because ⁰F is symmetric $1 - |f(t)|^2 = \int (1 - \cos t x) {}^0 F(dx).$

Now $\frac{1-\cos y}{y^2}$ is non negative for each y and larger than $\frac{2}{5}$ for $|y| \leq 1$, hence

$$1 - |f(t)|^2 \ge \int_{|x| \le 1/|t|} \frac{1 - \cos tx}{(tx)^2} (tx)^2 \, {}^0F(dx) \ge \frac{2}{5} t^2 M\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right)$$

From this and the inequality $1-x \leq e^{-x}$ valid for all $x \geq 0$ we obtain

i.e.,
$$|f(t)|^{2} \leq e^{-\frac{2}{5}t^{2}M(1/|t|)},$$
$$|f(t)| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{5}t^{2}M(1/|t|)}.$$

Thus it suffices to show that there are positive numbers ε and c such that

$$|t|\frac{n}{a_n^2}M\left(\frac{a_n}{|t|}\right) > c \tag{14}$$

for $1 < |t| < \varepsilon a_n$ and all sufficiently large *n*. From the representation

$$M(x) = b(x) \exp\left(\int_{1}^{x} \eta(y) \frac{dy}{y}\right)$$

where $b(x) \rightarrow b > 0$ and $\eta(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (see [4], p. 274) it now follows that

$$\frac{M\left(\frac{a_n}{|t|}\right)}{M(a_n)} \ge \frac{b\left(\frac{a_n}{|t|}\right)}{b(a_n)} \exp\left(-\sup_{y > a_n/|t|} |\eta(y)| \log |t|\right) \ge \frac{1}{2} |t|^{-1}$$

for $1 \le |t| \le \varepsilon a_n$ and all sufficiently large *n*, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen so small that $|\eta(y)| < 1$ and $b(y) > \frac{2}{3}b$ for all $y > 1/\varepsilon$.

Because of (13), (14) follows.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Below we will be concerned with the sequence U_1, U_2, \ldots of measures defined by

$$U_n(dx) = \frac{(a_n x)^3 F(a_n dx)}{\int\limits_{-a_n}^{a_n} |y|^3 F(dy)}.$$

Convergence of Convolutions of Distributions with Regularly Varying Tails

Let us point out that

$$U_n(I) \to \int_I \Omega_\delta(dx)$$
 (15)

for each bounded interval I whose boundary has Ω_{δ} -measure zero, and that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an x > 0 such that for all n

$$\int_{|y|>x} y^{-2} |U_n|(dy) < \varepsilon.$$
(16)

The first of these statements is a direct consequence of (2) and (3). If we treat each tail of U_n separately and apply Lemma 1 we get

$$\int_{|y|>x} y^{-2} |U_n|(dy) \to \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} x^{-1-\delta} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

from which the second statement follows.

Denote the characteristic function of F by f. Using the identity

$$u^{n} - v^{n} = (u - v)(u^{n-1} + u^{n-2}v + \dots + v^{n-1})$$

with $u = f(t/a_n)$ and $v = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{t^2}{n}\right)$ we obtain

where

$$f^{n}(t/a_{n}) - e^{-t^{2}/2} = d_{n}(t) S_{n}(t)$$

$$d_{n}(t) = n \left[f(t/a_{n}) - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{t^{2}}{n}\right) \right],$$

$$S_{n}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{j}(t/a_{n}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}t^{2}\frac{n-j-1}{n}\right).$$

Remembering that F has zero expectation we get trivially

$$f(t/a_n) = \int \left[e^{itx} - 1 - itx - \frac{1}{2} (it \tau(x))^2 \right] F(a_n dx) + 1 + \frac{1}{2} (it)^2 \int \tau(x)^2 F(a_n dx). d_n(t) = c_n h_n(t) + \rho_n \frac{1}{2} (it)^2 + r_n(t)$$
(18)

Hence

where c_n and ρ_n are defined in (8), and

.

$$h_n(t) = \int \frac{e^{itx} - 1 - itx - \frac{1}{2}(it\tau(x))^2}{x^3} U_n(dx),$$

$$r_n(t) = -n \left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{t^2}{n}\right) - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{t^2}{n} \right].$$

From (15) and (16) we obtain $h_n(t) \to h(t)$, where h is defined in (5). The difference $S_n(t) - e^{-t^2/2}$ we estimate in the following way:

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u^{j} v^{n-1-j} - v^{n}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} v^{n-j-1} (u^{j} - v^{j}) + v^{n-1} - v^{n}\right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |u-v| + |1-v| \leq n |u-v| + |1-v|.$$

(17)

(Here we have used the fact that $|u| \leq 1$, $|v| \leq 1$ and that the inequality $|u^j - v^j| \leq j |u-v|$ is valid for such u and v.) Now $f^n(t/a_n) \to e^{-t^2/2}$ implies $n(f(t/a_n) - 1) \to -t^2/2$. Hence $S_n(t) \to e^{-t^2/2}$. The inequality $|e^{-x} - 1 + x| \leq \frac{1}{2}x^2$ valid for $x \geq 0$ gives

$$|r_n(t)| \le \frac{1}{n} t^4/8.$$
 (19)

A glance at (17) and (18) now suggest introducing the functions g_n defined by

$$g_n(t) - e^{-t^2/2} = [c_n h(t) + \rho_n \frac{1}{2} (it)^2] e^{-t^2/2}; \qquad (20)$$

then we have

$$f^{n}(t/a_{n}) - g_{n}(t) = r_{n}(t) S_{n}(t) + c_{n} [(h_{n}(t) - h(t)) e^{-t^{2}/2} + h_{n}(t) (S_{n}(t) - e^{-t^{2}/2})] + \rho_{n} \frac{1}{2} (it)^{2} [S_{n}(t) - e^{-t^{2}/2}].$$

Furthermore we have in connection with the definition (5) of h shown that g_n is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of

$$G_n(x) = (1 + c_n \tilde{\omega}_{\delta} + \rho_n \frac{1}{2} D^2) \Phi(x).$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we put $T = (\varepsilon c_n)^{-1} B$ in Lemma 2 and obtain

$$|F_n(x) - G_n(x)| \leq A(I_1 + I_2 + I_3) + \varepsilon c_n$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \int_{-T}^{T} |r_n(t) t^{-1} S_n(t)| dt, \\ I_2 &= c_n \int_{-T}^{T} \left(\left| \frac{h_n(t) - h(t)}{t} \right| e^{-t^2/2} + |h_n(t) t^{-1} (S_n(t) - e^{-t^2/2})| \right) dt, \\ I_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \rho_n \int_{-T}^{T} |t (S_n(t) - e^{-t^2/2})| dt. \end{split}$$

Since

$$a_n c_n = \frac{n}{a_n^2} \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} y^2 F(dy) \cdot b_n \sim b_n,$$

where

$$b_n = \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} |y|^3 F(dy) \Big/ \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} y^2 F(dy)$$

the assumption of an infinite absolute third moment implies $T=o(a_n)$. Thus according to Lemma 3 there is a positive constant c such that

 $|f^{n}(t/a_{n})| \leq e^{-c|t|}$ for 1 < |t| < T

and all sufficiently large n. Hence also

$$\left| f^{j}(t/a_{n}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}t^{2}\frac{n-1-j}{n}\right) \right| < e^{-c_{0}|t|}, \quad 0 \le j < n$$
(21)

for some $c_0 > 0$ if 1 < |t| < T and *n* is large enough (because (21) is trivial when j < n/2). Because of (21), for the above-mentioned *t* and *n*,

$$|S_n(t)| < e^{-c_0 |t|}.$$
(22)

From (19) and (22) we conclude $I_1 = O(1/n)$. The inequalities

$$|e^{itx} - 1 - itx - \frac{1}{2}(it\tau(x))^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}|tx|^3 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ 2|tx| & \text{if } |x| > 1, \end{cases}$$

give

$$|h_n(t)| \leq \frac{1}{6} |t|^3 \int_{|x| \leq 1} |U_n|(dx) + 2|t| \int_{|x| > 1} \frac{1}{x^2} |U_n|(dx).$$

This together with (15) and (16) shows that

$$|h_n(t)| \leq (\text{constant not depending on } n) |t| (1+t^2).$$
 (23)

The functions under the integral signs in I_2 and I_3 tend to zero and they are according to (22) and (23) dominated by integrable functions. Hence, by Lebesgues dominated convergence theorem, $I_2 = o(c_n)$, $I_3 = o(\rho_n)$.

Thus we have shown that

$$F_n(x) = (1 + c_n \,\tilde{\omega}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{2} \,\rho_n D^2) \,\Phi(x) + o(c_n) + o(\rho_n) \tag{24}$$

uniformly in x.

If $\delta > 0$ and $a_n = \sigma \sqrt{n}$ it follows from (10) that

$$\rho_n = -c_n \int_{|x|>1} \frac{1}{x} \Omega_{\delta}(dx) + o(c_n).$$

Hence we can get rid of the truncation in this case:

$$(c_n \,\tilde{\omega}_{\delta} + \rho_n \frac{1}{2} D^2) \,\Phi(x)$$

$$= c_n \int \frac{\Phi(x - y) - \Phi(x) + y D \,\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} y^2 D^2 \,\Phi(x)}{y^3} \,\Omega_{\delta}(dy) + o(c_n),$$
(25)

uniformly in x. Three partial integrations to the right in (25) show that (24) is equivalent to (6) if $\delta > 0$.

It remains to show that a choice of the sequence $a_1, a_2, ...$ according to (7) is possible. Put $V(x) = \int_{|y| \le x} y^2 F(dy)$ and

$$a_n = \sup\left\{x > 0 \left| \frac{n}{x^2} V(x) \ge 1 \right\}\right\}$$

for *n* large enough. Then, since *V* is nondecreasing, $n a_n^{-2} V(a_n) = 1$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2 and 3

From the inversion formula for Fourier transforms we obtain in the absolutely continuous case

$$v_n(x) - (1 + c_n \,\tilde{\omega}_{\delta} + \rho_n \frac{1}{2} D^2) \,\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-itx} \left(f^n(t/a_n) - g_n(t) \right) dt \tag{26}$$

272 Th. Höglund: Convergence of Convolutions of Distributions with Regularly Varying Tails

where g_n is defined in (20). We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that (12) is true for $1 < |t| < \varepsilon a_n$ and *n* sufficiently large and divide the integral to the right in (26) into two parts,

$$\int_{t|<\varepsilon a_n} + \int_{|t|\ge \varepsilon a_n} dt.$$

We proceed with the first integral in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 1 of this paper; with the second as in [4], p. 489.

The modifications necessary when F is a lattice distribution parallels those which permit us to conclude Theorem 3, p. 490 of [4], from Theorem 2, p. 489 of [4].

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank B. von Bahr for advice and criticism.

References

- 1. Bergström, H.: On distribution functions with a limiting stable distribution function. Ark. Mat. 2, 463-474 (1953).
- 2. Esséen, C.G.: Fourier analysis of distribution functions. A mathematical study of the Laplace-Gaussian law. Acta math. 77, 1-125 (1945).
- 3. On the remainder term in the central limit theorem. Ark. Mat. 8, 7-15 (1969).
- 4. Feller, W.: An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol. II. New York: Wiley 1966.
- 5. On the Berry-Esséen theorem. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 10, 261-268 (1968).
- 6. Heyde, C.C.: A contribution to the theory of large deviations for sums of independent random variables. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 7, 303-308 (1967).
- 7. On the influence of moments on the rate of convergence to the normal distribution. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 8, 12-18 (1967).
- 8. On large deviation problems for sums of random variables which are not attracted to the normal law. Ann. math. Statistics 38, 1575-1578 (1967).

Dr. Thomas Höglund Stockholms Universitet Hagagatan 25A, Box 6701 S-113 85 Stockholm

(Received April 18, 1969)