

Continuity and Convergence of Some Processes Parameterized by the Compact Convex Sets in R^s *

A. de Hoyos

1. Counting Random Partitions

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent identically distributed $N(0, I)$ random variables in R^s . Let $F_m = \{X_1, \dots, X_{2^m}\}$ be a random set of points. Denote by $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$ the closed convex hull of A . Let K be the set of all closed convex sets in R^s and $K_m = \{\overline{\text{co}}(C \cap F_m) : C \in K\}$. We give an upper bound on the expected number of sets in K_m .

Lemma 1. For any integer $b > 0$

$$E \text{ card}^b(K_m) \leq 0(1) \cdot \begin{cases} 2^{2bm}, & s=1 \\ 2^{2bm/(b+1)}, & s \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Note 1. The same bound is obtained if we replace $N(0, I)$ by the uniform distribution on the unit ball of R^s .

Note 2. In [4] we showed that if we let

$$F_m = \bigtimes_1^s \{j 2^{-m} : j=0, \dots, 2^m\},$$

then for $s \leq 2$ the bound of Lemma 1 is obtained for $\text{card}^b K_m$, however in order to get a useful bound for $s > 2$ it is necessary to consider random partitions as in Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 1.

The Case $s=1$. This is trivial since

$$E \text{ card}^b(K_m) = \binom{2^m}{2}^b.$$

The Case $s \geq 2$. Let Φ denote the normal cumulative distribution $N(0, 1)$ in R and φ its density with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Set $a_n^2 = 2s \log n$ and denote by CTE a generic positive constant that may depend on s but not on any free index.

* This paper is –essentially– the author's dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree in statistics at the University of California, Berkeley, June 1970. The paper was prepared with the partial support of the National Science Foundation Grant GP-8690, of INIC of Mexico, and of CONICYT of Chile.

Now by integrating by parts and then iterating we have,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{a_n} \Phi^n(x) \varphi^s(x) dx \leq (CTE/n) [\varphi(a_n) + (a_n/n)^{s-1}].$$

Also

$$\int_{a_n}^{\infty} \exp(-x^2/2) dx \leq \exp(-a_n^2/2)$$

and by [8]:

$$P(X_{n+1} \notin \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \leq CTE n^{s-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^{n-s}(x) \varphi^s(x) dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{n+1} \notin \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) &\leq CTE n^{s-1} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{a_n} \Phi^{n-s}(x) \varphi^s(x) dx + \varphi(a_n) \right] \\ &\leq CTE n^{s-1} [(a_n/n)^{s-1} (1/n) + \varphi(a_n)] \leq CTE n^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ as soon as $n > n_\alpha$ large.

Let B_{j-1} be the event $\{X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}$ are the extreme points of $\bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1})\}$ and B_{j-1}^c denote its complement. Then

$$B_{j-2} = B_{j-2} B_{j-1}^c + B_{j-2} B_{j-1} = B_{j-2} B_{j-1}^c + B_{j-1}.$$

Moreover, given $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{j-2} = x_{j-2}$, $\bar{c}\bar{o}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-2}, X_{j-1})$ is at least as large if B_{j-1} occurs as it is if $B_{j-2} B_{j-1}^c$ occurs. Hence

$$Pr(X_j \in \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}) | B_{j-1}) \geq Pr(X_j \in \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}) | B_{j-2} B_{j-1}^c)$$

so that

$$Pr(X_j \in \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}) | B_{j-1}) \geq Pr(X_j \in \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}) | B_{j-2}),$$

and by proceeding similarly we get

$$Pr(X_j \in \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}) | B_{j-2}) \geq Pr(X_j \in \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1})).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_k) &= \prod_{j=1}^k Pr(X_j \notin \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}) | B_{j-1}) \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^k Pr(X_j \notin \bar{c}\bar{o}(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1})) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k CTE j^{-\alpha} = (CTE)^k (k!)^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

so that by Stirling's approximation

$$P(B_k) \leq O(1) (CTE/k)^{\alpha k}.$$

Finally, if we let

$$K_{km} = \{C \in K_m : \text{card}(\text{extreme points of } C) = k\}.$$

then by symmetry it follows that for any integer $b \geq 1$,

$$E \text{card}^b(K_{km}) \leq \binom{2^m}{k}^b P(B_k)$$

and hence

$$E \text{card}^b(K_m) = E \left[\prod_{k=1}^{2^m} \text{card}(K_{km}) \right]^b \leq 2^{bm} \max_{0 \leq k \leq 2^m} \left[\binom{2^m}{k} P(B_k) \right],$$

so that by Stirling's approximation

$$\begin{aligned} E \text{card}^b(K_m) &\leq O(1) 2^{bm} \max_{0 \leq k \leq 2^m} [(CTE 2^m/k)^{bk} (CTE/k)^{ak}] \\ &\leq O(1) 2^{bm} \max_{0 \leq \beta \leq 1} (CTE 2^m/2^{\beta m})^{b 2^{\beta m}} (CTE/2^{\beta m})^{a 2^{\beta m}} \leq O(1) 2^{2^{bm}/(b+1)} \end{aligned}$$

2. A Continuity Result

Let K be the space of all compact convex sets in R^s and μ the measure $\mu(A) = \lambda(A \cap S)$ where S denotes the unit ball of R^s and λ the Lebesgue measure on R^s . We endow K with the topology induced by the metric obtained by taking the measures of symmetric differences of sets in K . This metric is equivalent to the Hausdorff metric. We say that a process is path continuous or simply *continuous* if there is a version, having the same finite dimensional distributions, for which almost every path is continuous on K . The following theorem, which gives the continuity of Gaussian processes satisfying a natural Hölder condition, is the central result of this work.

Theorem 1. *Let Z be a Gaussian process parametrized by K and such that for some $c > 0$, and for all $A, B \in K$,*

$$E|Z(A) - Z(B)|^2 \leq c \mu(A \Delta B).$$

Then Z is continuous.

Other Related Results. Let F be the space of all nonempty closed subsets of $[0, 1]^s$ with the Hausdorff metric d . Then the minimum number of subsets of F of d -diameter less or equal to 2ε needed to cover F ,

$$N(F, \varepsilon) = O(1)^{\varepsilon^{-s}}$$

so that Dudley-Strassen's result (Theorem 3.1 in [6]) gives continuity of Gaussian processes Z parameterized by F , under the condition

$$E|Z(A) - Z(B)|^2 < c d^{2s}(A, B), \quad \text{for every } A, B \in F, \quad \text{where } c > 0.$$

For $s > 1$ this condition is too strong to yield the convergence of the series $\sum_m 2^{-m} \log^{1/2} N(F, 2^{-m})$ which is the Dudley-Strassen's continuity condition.

A result closer to the one in Theorem 1 is due to X. Fernique. As shown in Dudley [6] this result gives a condition for continuity of Gaussian processes parameterized by the space of all polyhedra in R^s with at most k vertexes.

This is accomplished by imbedding this parameter space into R^{ks} and by using in this space the following type of condition for continuity of Gaussian processes: $E|Z(x) - Z(y)|^2 \leq c|x - y|$.

Strength of the Result. Continuity conditions of the necessary and sufficient type are in general not known. However, in our case, and even for $s=1$, if we remove the condition that Z be Gaussian, then the Poisson process satisfies the Hölder condition but is a jump process, and if we remove the Hölder condition then, as is shown in Berman [1], the paths of Gaussian processes are not only discontinuous but actually extremely irregular.

Proof of Theorem 1. 1. Centering of Z . Given

$$E|Z(A) - Z(B)|^2 \leq c \mu(A \Delta B),$$

then

$$[E[Z(A) - Z(B)]]^2 \leq E[Z(A) - Z(B)]^2 \leq c \mu(A \Delta B).$$

Hence Z is continuous iff $Z - EZ$ is continuous. Therefore, we are going to assume from now on that $EZ(A) = 0$ for every $A \in K$.

2. Bounding the Oscillations of Z . Let P be the probability measure, on a space denoted by Ω , associated to the process Z . Let Q be the product measure, on a space denoted by Ξ , induced by a sequence X_1, X_2, \dots of independent identically distributed $N(0, I)$ random variables in R^s . Consider the product measure $P \times Q$.

Let $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and $\beta = \alpha - 2\gamma > 2/3$. As in Lemma 1 let

$$F_m = \{X_1, \dots, X_{2^m}\} \quad \text{and} \quad K_m = \{\bar{c}\bar{o}(A \cap F_m) : A \in K\}.$$

Given $A \in K$ denote by A_m the largest set in K_m contained in A or the empty set if there is none, and denote by A'_m the smallest set in K_m containing A or the unit ball S of R^s if there is none.

Set

$$c_m = \max[\mu(A_m \Delta A'_m) : A \in K]$$

and set

$$b'_m = (2^{\beta m} c_m)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from these definitions that

$$(A_m \cap S) \subseteq (A_{m+1} \cap S) \subseteq \dots \subseteq (A \cap S) \subseteq \dots \subseteq (A'_{m+1} \cap S) \subseteq (A'_m \cap S)$$

and

$$\mu(A_m \Delta A_{m+1}) \leq \mu(A_m \Delta A) \leq \mu(A_m \Delta A'_m) \leq c_m.$$

Observe that

$$(1/2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2} \int_{x>b} \exp(-x^2/2\sigma^2) dx \leq (1/2\pi)^{1/2} (\sigma/b) \exp(b^2/2\sigma^2).$$

Hence, given a $\xi_0 \in \Xi$ both

$$\max_{A \in K} P[\omega \in \Omega : |Z[A_m(\xi_0)](\omega) - Z[A_{m+1}(\xi_0)](\omega)| > b'_m(\xi_0)]$$

and

$$\max_{A \in K} P[\omega \in \Omega : |Z[A_m(\xi_0)](\omega) - Z[A'_m(\xi_0)](\omega)| > b'_m(\xi_0)]$$

are less than or equal to

$$CTE \cdot \exp[-(1/2)[b'_m(\xi_0)/c_m^{1/2}(\xi_0)]^2] = CTE \exp[-(1/2) 2^{\beta m}]$$

by definition of b'_m .

Now if we denote

$$P_m = P \times Q [(\omega, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{E} : \max_{A \in K} |Z[A_m(\xi)](\omega) - Z[A_{m+1}(\xi)](\omega)| > b'_m(\xi)]$$

and

$$P'_m = P \times Q [(\omega, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{E} : \max_{A \in K} |Z[A'_m(\xi)](\omega) - Z[A_m(\xi)](\omega)| > b'_m(\xi)],$$

then both P_m and P'_m are less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} CTE \int \text{card}^2(K_{m+1}(\xi)) \exp(-(1/2) 2^{\beta m}) dQ(\xi) \\ = CTE \exp(-(1/2) 2^{\beta m}) E \text{card}^2(K_{m+1}) \\ \leq O(1) 2^{-2[\beta - (2/3)]m}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1. On the other hand, given a $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{E}$

$$\begin{aligned} \max [P(\omega \in \Omega : |Z(A)(\omega) - Z(B)(\omega)| > 2^{-\gamma m}) : A, B \in K_m(\xi_0) \text{ and } \mu(A \Delta B) < 2^{-\alpha m}] \\ \leq CTE \cdot \exp[-(1/2)(2^{-\gamma m}/2^{-\alpha m/2})^2] \end{aligned}$$

so that if we let

$$\begin{aligned} P''_m = P \times Q [(\omega, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{E} : |Z(A) - Z(B)| > 2^{-\gamma m} \text{ for some } A, B \in K_m(\xi) \\ \text{such that } B \subseteq A \text{ and } \mu(A \Delta B) < 2^{-\alpha m}], \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} P''_m \leq CTE \int \text{card}^2(K_m(\xi)) \cdot \exp[-(1/2)(2^{-\gamma m}/2^{-\alpha m/2})^2] dQ(\xi) \\ \leq CTE \exp[-(1/2) 2^{\beta m}] E \text{card}^2(K_m) \\ \leq O(1) 2^{-2[\beta - (2/3)]m}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1. It follows that

$$\sum_m (P_m + P'_m + P''_m) \leq O(1) \sum_m 2^{-2[\beta - (2/3)]m} < \infty$$

so that if we let $b_m = \max(b'_m, 2^{-\gamma m})$, then by the Borel-Cantelli lemma for almost every (ω, ξ) , there is an $m_0(\omega, \xi)$ such that for all $m \geq m_0(\omega, \xi)$:

$$|Z(A_m) - Z(A_{m+1})| < b'_m < b_m \quad \text{and} \quad |Z(A'_m) - Z(A'_{m+1})| < b'_m < b_m$$

for every $A \in K$. Moreover, $|Z(A) - Z(B)| < 2^{-\gamma m} < b_m$, for every $A, B \in K_m$ such that $B \subseteq A$ and $\mu(A \Delta B) < 2^{-\alpha m}$.

Now $\gamma > 0$ so that $\sum_m 2^{-\gamma m} < \infty$ and hence to show $\sum_m b_m < \infty$ Q -a.s. is equivalent to showing $\sum_m b'_m < \infty$ Q -a.s.

Let $\alpha' \in (0, 1)$. Then $Q(c_m > 2^{-\alpha' m}) \leq O(1) 2^{-2(1-\alpha')m}$.

Hence on the one hand given a positive $\alpha'' = (\alpha' - \beta)/2$ there is an m_1 sufficiently large so that outside a set of probability

$$\begin{aligned} Pr \left[\bigcup_{m > m_1} (b'_m > 2^{-\alpha'' m}) \right] \leq CTE \sum_{m > m_1} 2^{-2(1-\alpha')m} \\ \sum_m b'_m \leq \sum_{m \leq m_1} b'_m + \sum_{m > m_1} 2^{-\alpha'' m} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$Q[\mu(A \Delta A_m) > 2^{-\alpha' m}] \leq O(1) 2^{-2^{(1-\alpha') m}}.$$

Hence, since $K_m \uparrow \bigcup_m K_m$ this implies that $\bigcup_m K_m$ is dense in K with Q -probability 1. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} E|Z(A) - Z(A_m)|^2 &\leq E \text{CTE} \mu(A \Delta A_m) \\ &\leq O(1)[2^{-\alpha' m} + 2^{-2^{(1-\alpha') m}}] \end{aligned}$$

so that $Z(A_m)$ converges to $Z(A)$ in the L_2 -sense.

We shall show that on $\bigcup_m K_m$, Z is uniformly continuous with $P \times Q$ -probability 1. Its extension to K is then, by Fubini's theorem, a version of Z with continuous sample functions.

3. Uniform Continuity of Z on $\bigcup_m K_m$. Given $\eta, \delta > 0$ let

$$\sum_{m \geq m_{\eta, \delta}} (P_m + P'_m + P''_m) < \eta$$

and also

$$\sum_{m \geq m_{\eta, \delta}} b_m < \delta.$$

Given $A \in \bigcup_m K_m$ we have shown that $Z(A_m)$ converges in the L_2 -sense, hence in probability, to $Z(A)$. Observe now that outside the set

$$\bigcup_{k \geq m_{\eta, \delta}} \{ \max_{A \in K} |Z(A_k) - Z(A_{k+1})| > b_k \}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k \geq m_{\eta, \delta}} |Z(A_k) - Z(A_{k+1})| \leq \sum_{k > m_{\eta, \delta}} b_k < \delta$$

so that outside this set $Z(A_m)$ converges a.s. to $Z(A)$.

Let $A, B \in \bigcup_m K_m$ and assume without loss of generality that $B \subseteq A$. Observe that $\mu(A_m \Delta B) < \varepsilon$ implies $\mu(A_m \Delta (B \cap A_m)'_m) < \varepsilon$. The following inequality is satisfied for each m :

$$\begin{aligned} |Z(A) - Z(B)| &\leq |Z(A) - Z(A_{m+1})| + |Z(B) - Z(B_{m+1})| \\ &\quad + |Z(B_{m_{\eta, \delta}}) - Z((B \cap A_{m_{\eta, \delta}})'_{m_{\eta, \delta}})| + |Z(A_{m_{\eta, \delta}}) - Z((B \cap A_{m_{\eta, \delta}})'_{m_{\eta, \delta}})| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=m_{\eta, \delta}}^m |Z(A_k) - Z(A_{k+1})| + \sum_{k=m_{\eta, \delta}}^m |Z(B_k) - Z(B_{k+1})|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence outside a set of $P \times Q$ -probability less than 6η

$$\sup [|Z(A) - Z(B)| : A, B \in \bigcup_m K_m \text{ and } \mu(A \Delta B) \leq 2^{-\alpha m_{\eta, \delta}}] \leq 4\delta.$$

This implies that on $\bigcup_m K_m$, Z is uniformly continuous with $P \times Q$ -probability 1 and hence the desired result follows.

3. Applications

In this section we show how the results and methods of Theorem 1 can be used to obtain the convergence of some process parameterized by K . We refer to Billingsley's book [3] for the terminology.

Compactness of Gaussian Processes Parameterized by K . As in Section 2 we let K be the space of all compact convex sets in R^s and μ be the Lebesgue measure on the unit ball of R^s . We denote by $C(K)$ the space of all real valued continuous functions on K .

Corollary 1. *Let $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of Gaussian processes parameterized by K and satisfying the conditions:*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \in I} \Pr(|Z_i(A)| > k) = 0, \quad \text{for some } A \in K;$$

and

$$\sup_{i \in I} E |Z_i(A) - Z_i(B)|^2 \leq c \mu(A \Delta B), \quad \text{for every } A, B \in K,$$

where $c > 0$.

Then $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$ is tight on $C(K)$.

Proof of Corollary 1. We can make K into a compact metric space by metrizing it with the μ -measure of symmetric differences. Now

$$E |Z_i(A) - Z_i(B)|^2 \leq c \mu(A \Delta B) \quad \text{for every } i \in I$$

so that by Theorem 1 every Z_i is continuous.

Hence by Arzela-Ascoli's and Prohorov's theorems it follows that to obtain the desired result it suffices to present an equicontinuity set R on $C(K)$ which carries almost all of every one of the measures associated to the processes Z_i . This R is obtained from the proof of Theorem 1 by replacing Z by Z_i all throughout the proof.

A Central Limit Theorem. We follow Dudley [7] very closely in the next corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be mean zero independent identically distributed $C(K)$ -valued random variables satisfying*

$$E |X_1(A) - X_1(B)|^k \leq [c \mu(A \Delta B)]^{k/2} \quad \text{for every } A, B \in K,$$

and for every $k \geq 2$, where $c > 0$. Then $Z_n = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n^{1/2}$ converges in distribution on $C(K)$ to a continuous Gaussian process.

Proof of Corollary 2. The finite dimensional distributions of Z_n converge, by the multidimensional central limit theorem, to those of a Gaussian process Z . Moreover

$$EZ(A) = EZ_n(A) = EX_1(A) = 0, \quad EZ(A)Z(B) = EX_1(A)X_1(B),$$

$$\begin{aligned} E |Z(A) - Z(B)|^2 &= E |Z_n(A) - Z_n(B)|^2 \\ &= E |X_1(A) - X_1(B)|^2 \leq c \mu(A \Delta B), \end{aligned}$$

so that Z is continuous by Theorem 1.

Let

$$Y_j = n^{-1/2}(X_j(A) - X_j(B)), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

then

$$E Y_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E |Y_j|^k \leq [c \mu(A \Delta B)/n]^{k/2} \quad \text{for every } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now as in Lemma 1 of [7] we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(t Y_1) &= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t^k E |Y_1|^k / k! \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t^k [c \mu(A \Delta B)/n]^{k/2} / k! \\ &= \exp [t [c \mu(A \Delta B)/n]^{1/2}] - t [c \mu(A \Delta B)/n]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \exp [8 t^2 c \mu(A \Delta B) / 9 n]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for every n

$$\begin{aligned} Pr [|Z_n(A) - Z_n(B)| > \varepsilon] &= Pr \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j \right| > \varepsilon \right] \\ &= 2 Pr \exp \left[\left(t \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j \right) > \exp(t \varepsilon) \right] \\ &\leq 2 \exp(-t \varepsilon) E \exp \left(t \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j \right) \\ &\leq 2 \exp [-t \varepsilon + (8 t^2 / 9) c \mu(A \Delta B)] \\ &\leq 2 \exp [-\varepsilon^2 / 9 c \mu(A \Delta B)]. \end{aligned}$$

This exponential type of bound is of the same type as the one used for the Gaussian case in Theorem 1. Hence to obtain the convergence of Z_n we simply have to follow the proof of Dudley's central limit theorem [7] using the approach of Theorem 1.

Convergence of Empirical Processes Parameterized by K. The following corollary solves a problem posed by Peter Bickel, and helps to extend some of his results in [2] as well as some results by Dudley [5].

Corollary 3. *Let $X_1, X_2 \dots$ be independent random variables all uniformly distributed on the unit ball of R^s . Let*

$$Z_n(A) = (1/n)^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n (1_A(Y_j) - \mu(A)), \quad A \in K$$

then the empirical processes Z_n converge in distribution to a continuous Gaussian process Z parameterized by K and satisfying

$$EZ(A) = 0, \quad EZ(A)Z(B) = \mu(A \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B).$$

Proof of Corollary 3.

Let $S(X, \varepsilon)$ be the ball of radius ε and center X in R^s , and $S = S(0, 1)$. Let λ be the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit ball S of R^s .

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varepsilon A &= \{x \in R^s: |x - y| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for some } y \in \text{boundary of } A\}, \\ C_\varepsilon &= \lambda(S(0, \varepsilon)), \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^\varepsilon(A, X) = C_\varepsilon \lambda(A \cap S(X, \varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the $X_j(A) = \lambda^\varepsilon(A, Y_j) - \mu(A)$ are independent identically distributed $C(K)$ -valued random variables. Moreover for any $A \subseteq S \cap (\partial_\varepsilon S)^c$, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} E \lambda^\varepsilon(A, Y) &= \int (C_\varepsilon \int 1_{A \cap S(y, \varepsilon)}(x) d\lambda(x)) d\mu(y) \\ &= C_\varepsilon \lambda \times \mu \{(x, y): x \in A \cap S(y, \varepsilon)\} \\ &= C_\varepsilon \lambda \times \mu \{(x, y): y \in A \cap S(x, \varepsilon)\} \\ &= \int (C_\varepsilon \int 1_{A \cap S(x, \varepsilon)}(y) d\lambda(x)) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int 1_A(y) (C_\varepsilon \int 1_{S(x, \varepsilon)}(y) d\lambda(x)) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int 1_A(y) d\mu(y) = \mu(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence in this case $EX_j(A) = 0$.

Now

$$E |X_1(A) - X_1(B)|^k < [4 C_\varepsilon^{-2} \mu(A \Delta B)]^{k/2}.$$

Therefore, by corollary 2, the smoothed empirical processes

$$Z_n^\varepsilon = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n^{1/2}$$

converge in distribution to a continuous Gaussian process Z^ε , with

$$EZ^\varepsilon(A) = EZ_n^\varepsilon(A) = 0,$$

and

$$EZ^\varepsilon(A) Z^\varepsilon(B) = EZ_n^\varepsilon(A) Z_n^\varepsilon(B) = \lambda^\varepsilon(A, Y_1) \lambda^\varepsilon(B, Y_1) - \mu(A) \mu(B).$$

On the other hand, by the multidimensional central limit theorem, the finite dimensional distributions of Z_n converge to the finite dimensional distributions of the continuous (by Theorem 1) Gaussian process Z .

Finally, if we can show that both Z_n^ε and Z^ε converge in L_2 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to Z_n and Z respectively, then the convergence in distribution of Z_n to Z will follow. But

$$\mu(|\lambda^\varepsilon(A, Y_1) - 1_A(Y_1)| > 0) = \mu(Y_1 \in \partial_\varepsilon A) \leq CTE \varepsilon$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |EZ^\varepsilon(A) Z^\varepsilon(B) - EZ(A) Z(B)| &= |EZ_n^\varepsilon(A) Z_n^\varepsilon(B) - EZ_n(A) Z_n(B)| \\ &\leq E \lambda^\varepsilon(A, Y_1) \lambda^\varepsilon(B, Y_1) - \mu(A \cap B) \leq CTE \cdot \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

hence the corollary follows.

Acknowledgements. I wish to express my gratitude to Professor Lucien M. LeCam without whose continuous advice, encouragement, and support this research would not have been possible.

References

1. Berman, S. M.: Harmonic analysis of local times and sample functions of Gaussian processes. Trans. Amer. math. Soc. **137**, 261–289 (1969).
2. Bickel, P.J.: A Distribution free version of the Smirnov two sample test in the p -variate case. Ann. math. Statistics **40**, 1–23 (1969).
3. Billingsley, P.: Convergence of probability measures. New York: Wiley 1968.
4. De Hoyos, A.: Continuity of some Gaussian processes parameterized by the compact convex sets in R^n . Ph. D. Thesis University of California, Berkeley 1970.
5. Dudley, R. M.: Weak convergence of probabilities on nonseparable metric spaces and empirical measures on euclidean spaces. Illinois J. Math. **10**, 109–126 (1966).
6. Dudley, R. M.: The sizes of compact subsets of Hilbert space and continuity of Gaussian processes. J. functional Analysis **1**, 290–330 (1967).
7. Dudley, R. M. and Strassen, V.: The central limit theorem and ε -entropy. Probability and Information Theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. **89**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1969.
8. Efron, B.: The convex hull of a random set of points. Biometrika **52**, 331–343 (1965). Oral communication, 1970.

A. de Hoyos
CIENES
Casilla 10015
Santiago, Chile

(Received May 21, 1971)