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#### Abstract

Summary. A method for construction of almost periodic points in the shift space on two symbols is developed, and a necessary and sufficient condition is given for the orbit closure of such a point to be strictly ergodic. Points satisfying this condition are called generalized Morse sequences. The spectral properties of the shift operator in strictly ergodic systems arising from generalized Morse sequences are investigated. It is shown that under certain broad regularity conditions both the continuous and discrete parts of the spectrum are nontrivial. The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are calculated. Using the results, given any subgroup of the group of roots of unity, a generalized Morse sequence can be constructed whose continuous spectrum is non-trivial and whose eigenvalue group is precisely the given group. New examples are given for almost periodic points whose orbit closure is not strictly ergodic.


## Introduction

In order to explain our results we shall need some notation. A sequence $b=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{m}\right)$ of zeroes and ones is called a block. The block obtained from $b$ by changing zeroes into ones and vice-versa is called the mirror image of $b$ and denoted by $\tilde{b}$. A fixed block $c=\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ may be used as a rule to construct new blocks from old ones: if $b$ is a block, then we form the block $b \times c$ by putting $n+1$ copies of either $b$ or $\tilde{b}$ next to each other, choosing the $i^{t h}$ copy as $b$ if $c_{i}=0$ and as $\tilde{b}$ if $c_{i}=1$. Now if $c_{0}=0$, then the block $b \times c$ is simply an extension of the block $b$.

Using the notation, we may define the well-known Morse sequence $x$ (see e.g. [4], [7], [8]) as an infinite "product" of blocks: set $b=(01)$ and $x=b \times b$ $\times b \times \cdots$. In words, this rule says: first write down 01, and then at each succeeding step write the mirror image of the complete previous production to the right of the same. The first 32 members of $x$ are

$$
01|10| 1001|10010110| 1001011001101001 \mid \ldots
$$

Let us denote by $\Omega$ the space of two-sided sequences of zeroes and ones, and by $T$ the shift transformation on $\Omega$. The following results were announced by S. Kakutani in [4]. If the Morse sequence $x$ is continued to the left in a suitable manner to produce a point of $\Omega$, then the orbit closure $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ of this point under $T$ is a strictly ergodic subsystem of $(\Omega, T)$. Furthermore, $T$ possesses partly continuous and partly discrete spectrum on $\mathscr{O}_{x}$ with respect to the uniquely determined probability measure on $\mathscr{O}_{x}$, and the group $\mathscr{G}_{x}$ of eigenvalues of $T$ on $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ coincides with the group of all $2^{k}$-th roots of unity.

In this paper we consider the infinite sequences which can be produced by the above-mentioned method of generating new sequences from old ones. For instance, if we set $b=(001)$, then $x=b \times b \times b \times \ldots$ defines a "ternary" sequence

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
001 & 001 & 110 & 001 & 001 & 110 & 110 \\
110 & 001 & \ldots
\end{array}\right),
$$

and the statements above are shown to be valid for this $x$, the group of eigenvalues this time being the group of all $3^{k}$-th roots of unity. In general, if $b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots$ are blocks all beginning with a zero and having length greater than two, then $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \ldots$ defines an infinite sequence. We determine a necessary and sufficient condition for $x$ to be periodic. Then, restricting our attention to nonperiodic $x$, we show that a necessary and sufficient condition for the corresponding (canonically defined) subset $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ of $\Omega$ to be strictly ergodic is that a sufficient portion of both zeroes and ones occur in the blocks $b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots$ which define $x$. If $r_{0}(b), r_{1}(b)$ denote the relative frequencies of occurrence of zeroes and ones respectively in the block $b$, then this condition is simply that the sum

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \min \left(r_{0}\left(b^{t}\right), r_{1}\left(b^{t}\right)\right)
$$

diverge. Non-periodic sequences $x$ for which this condition is satisfied are called (generalized) Morse sequences.

If $x$ is a Morse sequence, then we show that the spectrum of $T$ on the subspace of functions invariant under mirroring is discrete; the eigenvalue group is the group of all $n\left(b^{0}\right) n\left(b^{1}\right) \ldots n\left(b^{t}\right)$-th roots of unity, where $n(b)$ denotes the length of a block $b$.

We derive a necessary and sufficient condition on $x$ for $T$ to have continuous spectrum on the orthogonal complement of the above subspace ${ }^{1}$. It is somewhat surprising that $T$ always has continuous spectrum on this subspace if $n\left(b^{t}\right)$ is even for an infinite number of $t$; if this is not the case, then the condition is, roughly speaking, that a sufficient portion of odd (or even) zeroes and ones exist in the blocks $b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots$ and is expressed in a sum as above. In the course of investigation we prove that if $\left(\Omega_{0}, T_{0}\right)$ is any strictly ergodic system and if $n$ is such that $T_{0}^{n}$ is ergodic, then $\left(\Omega_{0}, T_{0}^{n}\right)$ is strictly ergodic.

We show that the entropy of $T$ on $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is zero if $x$ is a Morse sequence.
In answer to a question raised by K. Jacobs as to which groups of roots of unity can possibly occur as eigenvalue groups of strictly ergodic subsystems of ( $\Omega, T$ ), we construct, using the above results, for any infinite group of roots of unity a continuous Morse sequence having the given group as its group of eigenvalues.

Finally, the necessary and sufficient condition for strict ergodicity of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ and the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is always a minimal set provide numerous examples of minimal sets which are not strictly ergodic. We give these and other examples and discuss further problems in the last paragraph.

## § 1. Preliminaries

We shall be dealing with finite or infinite sequences $b=\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots\right)$ of zeroes and ones, called finite or infinite blocks. Let $B$ be the set of finite blocks, $X$ the set of infinite blocks. The length of $b \in B$ is denoted by $n(b)$. Denote by $\Omega$ the set of two-sided sequences of zeroes and ones. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\prod_{N}^{N}\{0,1\}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots\right) \mid x_{i} \in\{0,1\}(i \in \mathbf{N})\right\} \\
& \Omega=\prod_{Z}\{0,1\}=\left\{\omega=\left(\ldots, \omega_{-1}, \omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \ldots\right) \mid \omega_{i} \in\{0,1\}(i \in \mathbf{Z})\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]where $\mathbf{Z}$ denotes the integers, $\mathbf{N}$ the non-negative integers. $\Omega^{\prime}$ will stand for either $\Omega$ or $X$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$ for $\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{N}$ respectively in parallel statements about $\Omega$ and $X$.

Provided with the product topology, $\Omega^{\prime}$ is a compact, metrisable, totally disconnected Hausdorff space, a clopen base for the topology being given by the set of all (finite-dimensional) cylinders

$$
{ }_{t}[b]:=\left\{\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime} \mid \omega_{t}^{\prime}=b_{0}, \ldots, \omega_{t+n}^{\prime}=b_{n}\right\}
$$

$n \in \mathbf{N}, t \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}, b=\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in B$.
We define $T: \Omega^{\prime} \rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}$ by

$$
\left(T \omega^{\prime}\right)_{i}:=\omega_{i+1}^{\prime} \quad\left(i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}\right)
$$

the shift. $T^{-1}$ carries cylinders into cylinders and thus $T$ is continuous. On $\Omega$, $T$ is a homeomorphism.

The orbit $\mathcal{O}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ of a point $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right):=\left\{T^{t} \omega^{\prime} \mid t \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

its orbit closure is $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)}$.
We use the symbol " $\sim$ " to designate mirroring, i.e. interchange of zeroes and ones, in all situations. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{0}=1, \quad \tilde{1}=0 \\
& \left(\tilde{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i}=1-\omega_{i}^{\prime} \quad\left(\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime}, i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}\right) \\
& A \subseteq \Omega^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{A}=\left\{\tilde{\omega}^{\prime} \mid \omega^{\prime} \in A\right\} \\
& \tilde{f}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=f\left(\tilde{\omega}^{\prime}\right)\left(\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime}, f \text { a function on } \Omega^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall need the following definitions and theorem only for $\Omega^{\prime}$ and $T$; for a general treatment and proofs see Gotischali-Hedlund [2]. A subset $\Omega_{0}$ of $\Omega^{\prime}$ is invariant if $T \Omega_{0} \subseteq \Omega_{0}$.

Definition 1. A subset $M$ of $\mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$ is dense if there exists a $D \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $t \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$ implies $\{t, t+1, \ldots, t+D\} \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2. A point $\omega^{\prime}$ of $\Omega^{\prime}$ is almost periodic if $U$ open, $\omega^{\prime} \in U$ implies that $\left\{t \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime} \mid T^{t} \omega^{\prime} \in U\right\}$ is dense.

Definition 3. A subset $\Omega_{0}$ of $\Omega^{\prime}$ is minimal if it is non-empty, closed, invariant, and contains no proper subset with these properties.

Theorem 1 (Gottschalk [1]). $\overline{\mathcal{O}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)}$ is minimal if and only if $\omega^{\prime}$ is almost periodic.

We fix now the notation used for calculating relative frequencies of occurrence of blocks in points of $B, X$, and $\Omega$. If $\omega^{\prime} \in B, X$, or $\Omega$ and $t \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, then we set

$$
\omega^{\prime}(t, n)=\left(\omega_{t}^{\prime}, \omega_{t+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega_{t+n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

whenever it is possible, and anything not occurring in this exposition otherwise. If $b \in B$ and $t \in \mathbf{Z}$, let

$$
1_{t[b]}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right):= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } b=\omega^{\prime}(t, n(b)-1) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $t=0$, then it is omitted along with the square brackets. We say that $b$ occurs in $\omega^{\prime}$ at $t$ iff

$$
1_{t[b]}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

If $c \in B$, then it is obvious that the quantity

$$
r_{b}(c):=\frac{1}{n(c)} \sum_{t=0}^{n(c)-1} 1_{d b]}(c)
$$

is the relative frequency of occurrence of $b$ in $c$. In this vein, $r_{0}(c)$ and $r_{1}(c)$ denote the relative frequencies of zeroes and ones in $c$ respectively; moreover,

$$
r_{0}(c)+r_{1}(c)=1 .
$$

For a point $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime}$ we set

$$
r_{b}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} 1_{b}\left(T^{j} \omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

if this limit exists, and say then that $r_{b}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ exists. The existence of $r_{b}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ is obviously equivalent with the existence of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{b}\left(\omega^{\prime}(t, n)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any one $t \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$; in this case all the limits (1) are equal. $r_{b}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ is said to exist uniformly if the limits (1) are uniform in $t \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$. In keeping with the usual terminology we make the following definitions (for details see Охтову [10]).

Definition 4. $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime}$ is quasi-regular if $r_{b}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ exists for each $b \in B$.
Definition 5. $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime}$ is strictly transitive if $r_{b}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ exists uniformly for each $b \in B$.

Turning now to measure-theoretical properties of $\Omega$ we denote by $\mathscr{B}$ the set of Borel subsets of $\Omega$. All measures considered will be defined on $\mathscr{B}$, normalized, and $T$-invariant (i.e. if $m$ is a measure, then it is to be understood that $m(\Omega)=1$. and $m(A)=m(T A)(A \in \mathscr{B}))$; for short we say simply invariant measure. For a development of the following in a more general setting and proofs see Оxтову [10], Kryloff-Bogoliouboff [6].

An invariant measure is ergodic if $A \in \mathscr{B}, T A=A$ implies $m(A) m(\Omega-A)=0$.
Definition 6. A compact invariant non-empty subset $\Omega_{0}$ of $\Omega$ is
a) uniquely ergodic if there exists exactly one invariant measure carried by $\Omega_{0}$,
b) strictly ergodic if $\Omega_{0}$ is uniquely ergodic and minimal.

Theorem 2 (Oxtoby [10], see also Kakutani [4]).
Let $\omega$ be a point of $\Omega$. Then
a) $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\omega)}$ is uniquely ergodic if and only if $\omega$ is strictly transitive,
b) $\overline{(0(\omega)}$ is strictly ergodic if and only if $\omega$ is strictly transitive and almost periodic.

We remark here that if $\omega$ is strictly transitive, then

$$
L\left(1_{t[b]}\right):=r_{b}(\omega)
$$

defines a positive $T$-invariant linear form $L$ on the set of all (continuous) indicator
functions of cylinders with $L(1)=1$. Since the linear hull of this set is dense in the space of continuous functions on $\Omega, L$ can be extended to a positive invariant normalized functional on the continuous functions, which is nothing other than an invariant measure on $\mathscr{B}$. We denote this measure by $m_{\omega}$. Thus the unique measure of a cylinder $t[b]$ is given in this case by

$$
m_{\omega}(t[b])=r_{b}(\omega) ;
$$

more generally, if $f$ is a continuous function on $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\omega)}$, then

$$
\int f d m_{\omega}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} f\left(T^{j} \omega\right)
$$

$m_{\omega}$ is obviously carried by $\overline{(1(\omega)}$.
It is clear that if $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\omega)}$ is uniquely ergodic, then the unique invariant measure $m_{\omega}$ is ergodic. Denote by $\mathscr{L}_{\omega}^{2}$ the space of complex-valued square integrable functions with respect to $m_{\omega}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\omega)}$, and suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\omega)}$ and $m_{\omega}$ are invariant under mirroring, i.e. $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}(\omega)}=\overline{\mathcal{O}(\omega)}, \tilde{m}_{\omega}=m_{\omega}$. Then $T$ and " $\sim$ " induce isometries in $\mathscr{L}_{\omega}^{2}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}_{\omega} & :=\left\{f \in \mathscr{L}_{\omega}^{2} \mid f=\tilde{f}\right\} \\
\mathscr{C}_{\omega} & :=\left\{f \in \mathscr{L}_{\omega}^{2} \mid f=-\tilde{f}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T$ and " $\sim$ " commute, $\mathscr{D}_{\omega}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{\omega}$ are $T$-invariant. It is easily shown that $\mathscr{D}_{\omega}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{\omega}$ are closed linear subspaces of $\mathscr{L}_{\omega}^{2}$ and that

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\omega}^{2}=\mathscr{D}_{\omega} \oplus \mathscr{C}_{\omega}
$$

## § 2. Block Arithmetic and Recurrent Sequences

For $b \in B, c \in X \cup B$ we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b+c:=\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n(b)-1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots\right) \\
& b \times(0):=b \\
& b \times(1):=\tilde{b} \\
& b \times c:=\left(b \times c_{0}\right)+\left(b \times c_{1}\right)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

If $c \in B$, then $b+c, b \times c \in B$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(b+c)=n(b)+n(c) \\
& n(b \times c)=n(b) n(c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose now that $b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots \in B$ and that the first member of each $b^{i}$ is a zero. Since it is easily shown that the operation " $x$ " is associative,

$$
x:=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots
$$

defines a sequence $x$ of zeroes and ones in an obvious manner. If $n\left(b^{i}\right) \geqq 2$ for all $i$, then $x \in X$.

Definition 7. Every sequence of the form $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots \in X$ is called a (one-sided) recurrent sequence.

We now list some elementary formulas for calculating relative frequencies which can easily be checked by the reader. Suppose that $b, c, d \in B$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{0}(c)+r_{1}(c)=1 \\
& r_{d}(c) \\
&=r_{d}(\tilde{c}) \\
& r_{i}(b+c)=\frac{n(b)}{n(b)+n(c)} r_{i}(b)+\frac{n(c)}{n(b)+n(c)} r_{i}(c) \quad(i=0,1) \\
& r_{0}(b \times c)=r_{0}(b) r_{0}(c)+r_{1}(b) r_{1}(c) \\
& r_{1}(b \times c)=r_{0}(b) r_{1}(c)+r_{1}(b) r_{0}(c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For technical purposes we define

$$
s_{d}(b):=\left|r_{d}(b)-r_{\tilde{d}}(b)\right| .
$$

Obviously $s_{d}(b)=s_{\tilde{d}}(b)=s_{d}(\tilde{b})=s_{\tilde{d}}(\tilde{b})$, and if $d=(0)$, then $s_{0}(b)$ measures in a certain sense the "balance" of zeroes and ones in the block. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}(b+c) \leqq \max \left(s_{0}(b), s_{0}(c)\right) \\
& s_{0}(b \times c)=s_{0}(b) s_{0}(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we assume that $b$ is long compared with $d$, then we obtain approximations of the above rules for arbitrary $d$. More precisely, suppose that $\frac{n(d)}{n(b)}<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|r_{d}(b \times c)-\left\{r_{d}(b) r_{0}(c)+r_{\tilde{d}}(b) r_{1}(c)\right\}\right|<\varepsilon \\
& \left|r_{\tilde{d}}(b \times c)-\left\{r_{d}(b) r_{1}(c)+r_{\tilde{d}}(b) r_{0}(c)\right\}\right|<\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s_{d}(b \times c)-s_{d}(b) s_{0}(c)\right|<2 \varepsilon . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove some lemmas about relative frequencies in recurrent sequences and almost periodicity. In the following suppose that

$$
x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots
$$

is a recurrent sequence. We set $c^{t}=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times \cdots \times b^{t}(t \in \mathbf{N})$.
Lemma 1. $x$ is periodic if and only if there exists $a k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that either
a) $b^{k} \times b^{k+1} \times b^{k+2} \times \cdots=(0,0,0,0, \ldots)$, or
b) $b^{k} \times b^{k+1} \times b^{k+2} \times \cdots=(0,1,0,1, \ldots)$.

Proof Either a) or b) is obviously sufficient for periodicity of $x$. Thus we assume that $x$ is periodic with minimal period $p$ and we choose $t$ such that $n\left(c^{t}\right) \geqq p$. Select now $q$ with $0 \leqq q<p$ and $q=n\left(c^{t}\right) \bmod p$. If $q=0$, then it is clear that $b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots=(0,0,0,0, \ldots)$, so assume $q>0$. Then we assert that $2 q=p$. One way of seeing this is the following:

On the one hand,

$$
x\left(n\left(c^{t}\right), p-1\right)=x(0, p-1) \quad \text { or } \quad x(0, p-1)
$$

because $x=c^{t} \times b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots$;
on the other hand,

$$
x\left(n\left(c^{t}\right), p-1\right)=\left(x_{q}, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_{p-1}, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{q-1}\right)
$$

because of the $p$-periodicity of $x$. It follows that the function $n \rightarrow x_{n}$ defined on $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ is invariant under translation by $2 q \bmod p$. Therefore, the greatest common divisor of $2 q$ and $p$ is a period of this function, and since $p$ is the smallest period, we have $2 q=p$.

It follows that $n\left(c^{t}\right)=\frac{p}{2} \bmod p$;

$$
\left(x_{0, \ldots}, x_{p / 2-1}\right)=\left(x_{p / 2}, \ldots, x_{p-1}\right)
$$

and $b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots=(0,1,0,1, \ldots)$.
Lemma 2. Every recurrent sequence is almost periodic.
Proof. Let $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \ldots$ be a recurrent sequence. Since the set of cylinders ${ }_{0}\left[c^{t}\right](t \in \mathbf{N})$ forms a base of neighborhoods for $x \in X$, it suffices to show that for each $t \in \mathbf{N}$, the set

$$
\left\{k \mid T^{k} x \in{ }_{0}\left[c^{t}\right]\right\}
$$

is dense. Fix $t$ and look at $b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots$. If this sequence is $(0,0,0,0, \ldots)$, then $c^{t}$ occurs quite regularly in $x$ and the given set is dense. If not, then choose $s>t$ such that $b^{t+1} \times \cdots \times b^{s}$ contains both a zero and a one. Then the blocks $c^{t}$ and $\widetilde{c^{t}}$ both occur in $c^{s}$, hence also in $\widetilde{c^{s}}$. But

$$
x=c^{s} \times\left(b^{s+1} \times b^{s+2} \times \cdots\right)
$$

may be considered as an infinite sum of the blocks $c^{s}$ and $\tilde{c^{s}}$, and each of these blocks contains the block $c^{t}$. It follows that the given set is dense.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $x$ is non-periodic. Then $x$ is strictly transitive if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \min \left(r_{0}\left(b^{k}\right), r_{1}\left(b^{k}\right)\right)
$$

diverges.
Proof. I. Suppose that the given sum diverges.

1. We show that $\lim r_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$.

Obviously $\lim _{t} r_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ iff $\lim s_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)=0$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0}\left(c^{t}\right) & =\prod_{k=0}^{t} s_{0}\left(b^{k}\right)=\prod_{k=0}^{t}\left|r_{0}\left(b^{k}\right)-r_{1}\left(b^{k}\right)\right|= \\
& =\prod_{k=0}^{t}\left\{1-2 \min \left(r_{0}\left(b^{k}\right), r_{1}\left(b^{k}\right)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this product "converges" to zero if the given sum diverges, according to the well-known product convergence criterion (see e.g. Knopp [5]).
2. $\lim s_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)=0$ for every $d \in B$.

To show this, let $\varepsilon>0$ and select $T$ with $\frac{n(d)}{n(c T)}<\varepsilon$. We set

$$
e^{t}:=b^{T+1} \times b^{T+2} \times \cdots \times b^{t} \quad \text { for } \quad t>T
$$

Then

$$
c^{t}=c^{T} \times e^{t} \quad(t>T)
$$

because of associativity and therefore

$$
\left|s_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)-s_{d}\left(c^{T}\right) s_{0}\left(e^{t}\right)\right|<2 \varepsilon
$$

by way of (2). Now if

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \min \left(r_{0}\left(b^{k}\right), r_{1}\left(b^{k}\right)\right)=\infty,
$$

then

$$
\sum_{k=T+1}^{\infty} \min \left(r_{0}\left(b^{k}\right), r_{1}\left(b^{k}\right)\right)=\infty,
$$

and we may apply 1 . to the sequence $b^{T+1}, b^{T+2}, \ldots$ to obtain

$$
\lim _{t} s_{0}\left(e^{t}\right)=0
$$

Therefore

$$
s_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)<3 \varepsilon
$$

for sufficiently large $t$, which implies

$$
\lim _{t} s_{a}\left(c^{t}\right)=0 .
$$

3. $\lim r_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)$ exists for each $d \in B$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and choose according to $2 . T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{d}\left(c^{T}\right)=\left|r_{d}\left(c^{T}\right)-r_{\tilde{d}}\left(c^{T}\right)\right|<\varepsilon \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\frac{n(d)}{n(c T)}<\varepsilon .
$$

Then

$$
\left|r_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)-\left\{r_{d}\left(c^{T}\right) r_{0}\left(e^{t}\right)+r_{\tilde{d}}\left(c^{T}\right) r_{1}\left(e^{t}\right)\right\}\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for $t>I$, where $e^{t}=b^{T+1} \times b^{T+2} \times \cdots \times b^{t}$. Because of (3) and $r_{0}\left(e^{t}\right)+r_{1}\left(e^{t}\right)=1$, we conclude that

$$
\left|r_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)-r_{d}\left(c^{T}\right)\right|<2 \varepsilon \quad(t>T)
$$

and thus for $t$ and $t^{t}$ larger than $T$, we have

$$
\left|r_{a}\left(c^{t}\right)-r_{a}\left(c^{t^{\prime}}\right)\right|<4 \varepsilon .
$$

Therefore $\lim _{t} r_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)$ exists.
4. $x$ is strictly transitive.

To show this, let $d$ be an arbitrary finite block and $\varepsilon>0$. According to 2 . and 3., $\lim _{t} s_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)=0$ and $\lim _{t} r_{d}\left(c^{t}\right)=\alpha$ for a suitable $\alpha$. We may therefore choose $T$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\alpha-r_{d}\left(c^{T}\right)\right|<\varepsilon \\
& \left|\alpha-r_{\tilde{d}}\left(c^{T}\right)\right|<\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{n(d)}{n\left(c^{T}\right)}<\varepsilon
$$

Next choose $M$ such that $\frac{1}{M-2}<\varepsilon$ and set $N_{0}=M T$. For any $n>N_{0}$ and any $k$, the block $x(k, n)$ can be written as a sum of blocks as follows:

$$
a^{\prime}+a^{1}+a^{2}+\cdots+a^{m}+a^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $m \geqq M-2, n\left(a^{\prime}\right), n\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)<n\left(c^{T}\right)$, and where each $a^{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq m)$ is either $c^{T}$ or $c^{T}$. This follows because we may write $x$ in the form

$$
c^{T} \times\left(b^{T+1} \times b^{T+2} \times \cdots\right) .
$$

Using now the formulas at the beginning of this paragraph along with a bit of elbow grease, one calculates without difficulty that

$$
\left|\alpha-r_{d}(x(k, n))\right|<6 \varepsilon .
$$

This implies, since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, that $r_{d}(x)$ exists uniformly for each $d \in B$, i.e. $x$ is strictly transitive. An application of Lemma 2 produces the desired result.
II. Suppose that $x$ is strictly transitive and set $r_{0}(x)=\alpha$. For $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an $M$ such that

$$
\left|\alpha-r_{0}(x(k, n))\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $n \geqq M$ and all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Select $t$ such that $n\left(c^{t}\right) \geqq M$. Then

$$
\left|\alpha-r_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

and, since $x$ is not periodic, $b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots$ contains at least one I. It follows that $\tilde{c^{t}}$ occurs in $x$ at a certain place, thus

$$
\left|\alpha-r_{0}\left(\widetilde{c^{t}}\right)\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

We conclude that

$$
\left|1-\alpha-r_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

and

$$
|1-2 \alpha|<2 \varepsilon .
$$

This implies that $r_{0}(x)=\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ or

$$
\lim _{t} s_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)=0
$$

Using the reverse implication of the product convergence criterion, either the given sum diverges and we are finished, or there exists a $k$ with $r_{0}\left(b^{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. In the latter case, we simply apply the above argument to the (strictly transitive) sequence $b^{k+1} \times b^{k+2} \times \cdots$ to conclude that there exists a $k^{\prime}>k$ with $r_{0}\left(b k^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. By induction it follows that there exist infinitely many $k$ 's with this property, i.e. the sum in question diverges.

## § 3. Morse Sequences and Strictly Ergodic Subsets of $\Omega$

Let $\omega^{\prime}$ be a point of $\Omega, X$, or $B$. Then we define the set $B_{\omega^{\prime}} \subseteq B$ as the set of all finite blocks occurring in $\omega^{\prime}$ :

$$
B_{\omega^{\prime}}:=\left\{b \in B \mid \text { there exists a } \bar{k} \in \mathbf{Z} \text { such that } \omega^{\prime}(k, n(b)-1)=b\right\} .
$$

For each $x \in X$ define now a subset $\mathscr{O}_{x}$ of $\Omega$ by setting

$$
\mathcal{O}_{x}:=\left\{\omega \in \Omega \mid B_{\omega} \subseteq B_{x}\right\} .
$$

Definition 8. A one-sided non-periodic recurrent sequence $x=b^{a} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots$ is called a (one-sided) Morse sequence if

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \min \left(r_{0}\left(b^{k}\right), r_{1}\left(b^{k}\right)\right)=\infty
$$

The points of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ are then called two-sided Morse sequences.
In the following $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots$ is a recurrent sequence;

$$
c^{t}=b^{0} \times \cdots \times b^{t} ; n_{t}=n\left(c^{t}\right) \quad(t \in \mathbf{N})
$$

Lemma 4. There exists an $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_{x}$ with $x=\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots\right)$.
Proof. Obviously we may assume that $x$ is non-periodic. For any

$$
b=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in B \text { set } \hat{b}=\left(b_{n}, \ldots, b_{0}\right)
$$

Define for $i \in \mathbf{N}$

$$
d^{i}:=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
b^{i} & \text { if } & b_{n\left(b^{i}\right)-1}^{i}=0 \\
\tilde{b}^{i} & \text { if } & b_{n\left(b^{b}\right)-1}^{i}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\hat{x}:=\hat{d^{0}} \times \hat{d^{1}} \times \hat{d^{2}} \times \cdots$ is well defined and belongs to $X$. Define now $\omega$ by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \ldots\right) & :=x \\
\left(\omega_{-1}, \omega_{-2}, \ldots\right) & :=\hat{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_{x}$ it suffices to prove that for any $t \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
x\left(k, 2 n_{t}-1\right)=\omega\left(-n_{t}, 2 n_{t}-1\right)
$$

But if $b, c \in B$, then $\hat{\hat{b}}=b, \hat{b} \times \hat{c}=\widehat{b \times c}$, and $b \times c=\widehat{\hat{b} \times \hat{c}}$, as is easy to see, and by definition of $\omega$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega\left(-n_{t}, 2 n_{t}-1\right) & =\left(\widehat{\hat{d}^{0} \times \hat{d}^{1} \times \cdots \times \hat{d}^{t}}\right)+c^{t} \\
& =\left(d^{0} \times d^{1} \times \cdots \times d^{t}\right)+c^{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also if $b, c \in B$, then $b \times c=\tilde{b} \times \tilde{c}=\tilde{b \times \tilde{c}}=\tilde{b} \times c$, so that

$$
d^{0} \times d^{1} \times \cdots \times d^{t}=\widetilde{c^{t}} \text { or } c^{t}
$$

since each $d^{i}$ is either $b^{i}$ or $\widetilde{b^{i}}$. It follows that

$$
\omega\left(-n_{t}, 2 n_{t}-1\right)=c^{t}+c^{t} \quad \text { or } \tilde{c^{t}}+c^{t}
$$

But since $x$ is not periodic, both the blocks (00) and (10) appear in $b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots$; namely, since every sequence $b^{t^{\prime}} \times b^{t^{t+1}} \times \cdots$ contains a one, the statement " $(00)$ appears" is equivalent with the statement "(11) appears" and likewise for (01) and ( 10 ). If only ( 00 ) and (11) appear, then each $b^{t^{\prime}}$ consists only of zeros; if only (01) and (10) appear, then $b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots=(0,1,0,1, \ldots)$.

Therefore there exists a $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
x\left(k, 2 n_{t}-1\right)=\omega\left(-n_{t}, 2 n_{t}-1\right)
$$

Theorem 3. Suppose that $x$ is a non-periodic recurrent sequence. Then $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is strictly ergodic if and only if $x$ is a Morse sequence.

Proof. By Lemma 4 there exists an $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_{x}$ with $x=\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \ldots\right)$, which implies $B_{\omega}=B_{x}$. But by definition of the topology on $\Omega$,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\omega}=\overline{\mathcal{O}(\omega)}=\left\{\eta \in \Omega \mid B_{\eta} \subseteq B_{\omega}\right\}=\mathcal{O}_{x},
$$

so that $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is the orbit closure of $\omega$ in $\Omega$. Since $x$ is a recurrent sequence, $x$ is almost periodic, and the fact that $x$ is a Morse sequence implies that $x$ is strictly transitive (Lemmas 2 and 3). From the definitions follows easily that $\omega$ is almost periodic and strictly transitive, and Theorem 2 shows then that $\mathcal{O}_{x}=\mathcal{O}_{\omega}$ is strictly ergodic.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is strictly ergodic, then $x$ is strictly transitive (because $\omega$ is strictly transitive) and Lemma 3 shows that $x$ is a Morse sequence.

## §4. The Diserete Spectrum of $0_{x}$

Let $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times \cdots$ be a fixed one-sided Morse sequence; $c^{t}=b^{0} \times \cdots \times b^{t}$; $n_{t}=n\left(c^{t}\right)(t \in \mathbf{N})$; denote by $m_{x}$ the unique normalized $T$-invariant measure on $\mathcal{O}_{x}$. The purpose of the following two paragraphs is to investigate the spectrum of the operator induced by $T$ on $\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{O}_{x}, m_{x}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{x}^{2}$. We denote this operator by $T$ also. First of all it is obvious, since $x$ is not periodic, that $\widetilde{c^{t}}$ appears in $x$ for each $t \in \mathbf{N}$; it follows from this that $B_{x}=B_{\tilde{x}}$ and thus $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is invariant with respect to " $\sim$ ". Moreover, if $d \in B$ and $t \in \mathbf{Z}$, then the measure of the cylinder $t[d]$ is given by

$$
m_{x}\left({ }_{t}[d]\right)=r_{d}(x),
$$

and therefore

$$
m_{x}\left({ }_{t}[\tilde{d}]\right)=r_{\tilde{d}}(x)
$$

By virtue of I.2. in the proof of Lemma 3, however, we have

$$
r_{d}(x)=r_{\tilde{d}}(x)
$$

so that the mapping " $\sim$ " preserves measures of cylinders. Since the set of cylinders is intersection-stable and generates the complete $\sigma$-field, we have $m_{x}=\tilde{m}_{x}$. The following theorem is a collection of the statements above.

Theorem 4. Let $x$ be a Morse sequence and $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ the corresponding strictly ergodic subset of $\Omega$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is mirror-invariant (" $\sim$ ") and mirroring preserves the unique invariant measure $m_{x}$.

As a consequence (see the end of $\S 1$ ), the space of $m_{x}$-square-integrable functions on $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ (which we denote by $\mathscr{L}_{x}^{2}$ ) is the direct sum of the two $T$-invariant subspaces

$$
\mathscr{D}_{x}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{L}_{x}^{2} \mid f=\tilde{f}\right\}
$$

and.

$$
\mathscr{C}_{x}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{L}_{x}^{2} \mid f=-\tilde{f}\right\}
$$

Since we are assuming that $x$ is non-periodic, we may also assume (by grouping the $b^{i}$ into new products in a suitable manner) that each of the four blocks of length two occur in each $b^{i}(i \in \mathbf{N})$. (See end of proof to Lemma 4.)

Now let $t$ be fixed and choose an $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_{x}$ with $x=\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots\right)$. We define the sets $D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n_{\iota}-1}$ as follows:

Lemma 5. $D_{0}=\left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{n\left(b^{t+1}\right)-1} T^{i n_{t}}\left(0\left[c^{t+1}\right] \cup_{0}\left[\widetilde{c^{t+1}}\right]\right)\right] \cap \mathcal{O}_{x}$.
Proof. Call the set on the right-hand side $D^{\prime}$. Then $D^{\prime}$ is closed as a finite union of cylinders, and since

$$
\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \ldots\right)=x=c^{t+1} \times\left(b^{t+2} \times \cdots\right)
$$

consists of a sum of $c^{t}$ and $\widetilde{c^{t}}$, we have that $T^{i n_{t}} \omega \in D^{\prime}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus $D_{0} \subseteq D^{\prime}$. To prove $D^{\prime} \subseteq D_{0}$ it suffices to prove that

$$
\left(0\left[c^{t+1}\right] \cup_{0}\left[\widetilde{c^{t+1}}\right]\right) \cap \mathcal{O}_{x} \cong D_{0},
$$

since $T^{i n_{t}} D_{0} \subseteq D_{0}(i \in \mathbf{N})$. Suppose that $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{x} \cap\left[c^{t+1}\right]$ (the case where $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{x}$ $\cap_{0}\left[\widetilde{c^{t+1}}\right]$ is handled analogously), and let $k_{i} \in \mathbf{N}$ be a sequence such that $T^{k_{t}} \omega \rightarrow \eta$. Since ${ }_{0}\left[c^{t+1}\right]$ is open, we may assume that $T^{k_{i}} \omega \in{ }_{0}\left[c^{t+1}\right]$ for all $i$. Now $b^{t+1}$ contains the blocks (00) and (11) and therefore either the block (001) or the block (110). It follows that either $c^{t}+c^{t}+\widetilde{c^{t}}$ or $\widetilde{c^{t}}+\widetilde{c^{t}}+c^{t}$ occurs in $c^{t+1}$. But each of the blocks $c^{t}+c^{t}, c^{t}+\widetilde{c^{t}}, \widetilde{c^{t}}+c^{t}, \widetilde{c^{t}}+\widetilde{c^{t}}$ occur in each of the blocks $c^{t}+c^{t}+\widetilde{c^{t}}$, $\widetilde{c^{t}}+\widetilde{c^{t}}+c^{t}$ at most one time, namely either at the beginning or at the end, because no block is equal to its mirror image. Since $T^{k_{k}} \omega \in_{0}\left[c^{t+1}\right]$ and since $\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \ldots\right)=c^{t} \times\left(b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots\right)$, we conclude that $k_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod n_{t}$ for all $i$. This implies that $T^{k_{s}} \omega \in D_{0}$ and hence $\eta \in D_{0}$.

Note that we have also proved that if $k_{i} \in \mathbf{N}$ is a sequence such that $T^{k_{i}} \omega$ converges to a point in $D_{0}$, then $k_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod n_{t}$ for sufficiently large $i$. In particular, if $T^{k} \omega \in D_{0}$, then $k \equiv 0 \bmod n_{t}$, a fact we shall need in the proof of the next lemma.

Lemma 6. $\left\{D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n_{t}-1}\right\}$ is a partition of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ into open and closed subsets of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T D_{k-1}=D_{k} \quad\left(1 \leqq k<n_{t}\right) \\
& T D_{n_{t}-1}=D_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $T$ is a homeomorphism and since

$$
T^{k} D_{0}=D_{k} \quad\left(1 \leqq k<n_{t}\right)
$$

Lemma 5 shows indeed that the sets $D_{0}, \ldots, D_{n_{t}-1}$ are open and closed. Also

$$
\bigcup_{k=0}^{n_{t}-1} D_{k} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{x},
$$

and since the union on the left is closed and contains $T^{i} \omega(j \in \mathbf{N})$, we have

$$
\bigcup_{k=0}^{n_{t}-1} D_{k}=\mathcal{O}_{x} .
$$

Now if $D_{0} \cap D_{k} \neq \emptyset$ for some $k$, then, since $D_{0} \cap D_{k}$ is open, there exists an $i \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $T^{k+i n_{t}} \omega \in D_{0}$. The remark after the proof of Lemma 5 shows that $k=0$. Hence $D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n_{t}-1}$ are pairwise disjoint. Finally, since $T D_{n_{t}-1}$ $\subseteq D_{0}$ and since $T$ is a homeomorphism, $T D_{n_{t}-1}=D_{0}$.

Theorem 5. For each $t \in \mathbf{N}, \zeta=\exp \left(2 \pi i / n_{t}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of $T$ corresponding to a continuous eigenfunction belonging to $\mathscr{T}_{x}$.

Proof. Let $t$ be fixed and construct the sets $D_{0}, \ldots, D_{n_{t}-1}$ as above. Set

$$
f_{t}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n_{t}-1} \zeta^{k} \mathbf{1}_{D_{k}} .
$$

Then $f_{t}$ is a continuous eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\zeta$, and since $\mathscr{O}_{x}$ is mirrorinvariant and $\widetilde{D}_{k}=D_{k}$ (Lemma 5), we obtain $f_{t} \in \mathscr{D}_{x}$.

Theorem 6. T has pure point spectrum on $\mathscr{B}_{x}$ and the eigenvalue group of $T$ on $\mathscr{D}_{x}$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{G}_{x}=\left\{\exp \left(2 \pi k i / n_{t}\right) \mid t \in \mathbf{N}, 0 \leqq k<n_{t}\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathscr{D}$ denote the closed subspace of $\mathscr{L}_{x}^{2}$ spanned by the functions $f_{t}^{k}$ for $t \in \mathbf{N}$ and $0 \leqq k<n_{t}$, the functions $f_{t}$ being those defined in the proof of Theorem 5. It suffices to show that $\mathscr{D}_{x}=\mathscr{D}$. According to Theorem 5, $\mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathscr{D}_{x}$. For fixed $t$,

$$
\mathrm{I}_{D_{0}}=\frac{1}{n_{t}^{-}} \sum_{k=0}^{n_{t}-1} f_{t}^{k}
$$

so that $1_{D_{k}} \in \mathscr{D}\left(0 \leqq k<n_{t}\right)$. For any block $b \in B$, we set

$$
E_{b}:={ }_{0}[b] \cup_{0}[\tilde{b}]
$$

Since $T$ is an isometry on the $T$-invariant subspace $\mathscr{D}_{x}$ of $\mathscr{L}_{x}^{2}$ and since the set

$$
\left\{T^{k} 1_{E_{0}} \mid b \in B, k \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}
$$

spans $\mathscr{D}_{x}$, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{l}_{E_{b}} \in \mathscr{D}$ for $b \in B$.
Now let $b$ be fixed and choose $t$ such that

$$
\left|m_{x}\left(E_{b}\right)-\left[r_{b}\left(c^{t}\right)+r_{\tilde{b}}\left(c^{t}\right)\right]\right|<\varepsilon
$$

This is possible because $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is strictly ergodic and therefore

$$
r_{b}\left(c^{t}\right)+r_{b}\left(c^{t}\right) \rightarrow m_{x}\left(E_{b}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow \infty
$$

If $b$ occurs in $c^{t}$ or $\tilde{c^{t}}$ at $k$, then $0 \leqq k<n_{t}-n(b)$, and according to Lemma 5 , we have $T^{k} D_{0}=D_{k} \subseteq \varrho_{b}$. Let $E_{b}^{r}$ be the union of all $D_{k}$ such that $b$ occurs in $c^{t}$ or $\widetilde{c^{t}}$ at $k$. Because of Lemma 6, $m_{x}\left(D_{k}\right)=\mathbf{1} / n_{t}$ for $0 \leqq k<n_{t}$, and thus

$$
m_{x}\left(E_{b}^{\prime}\right)=r_{b}\left(c^{t}\right)+r_{\tilde{b}}\left(c^{t}\right)
$$

But $E_{b}^{\prime} \cong E_{b}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{E^{\prime}{ }_{b} \in \mathscr{D}}$. It follows that

$$
\int\left(\mathbf{1}_{E_{b}}-\mathbf{1}_{E_{b}{ }_{b}}\right) d n_{x}=\left|m_{x}\left(E_{b}\right)-\left[r_{b}\left(c^{t}\right)+r_{\tilde{b}}\left(c^{t}\right)\right]\right|<\varepsilon
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, $\mathrm{l}_{E_{t} \in \mathscr{D}}$.
It remains to be noted that Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 are true when we remove the restriction, made at the beginning of this paragraph, that each block of length two occurs in each $b^{i}(i \in \mathbf{N})$. This is true because the process of grouping the $b^{i}$ does not change the group $\mathscr{G}_{x}$ itself, but only the generators.

## § 5. The Continuous Spectrum of $\mathbf{0}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$

As in the preceding paragraph, let $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots$ be a fixed Morse sequence; $c^{t}=b^{0} \times \cdots \times b^{t}(t \in \mathbf{N}) ; n_{t}=n\left(c^{t}\right) ; m_{x}$ the unique $T$-invariant probability measure on $\mathcal{O}_{x}$. Our goal is now to determine a necessary and sufficient condition for $T$ to have continuous spectrum on the subspace $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ of $\mathscr{L}_{x}^{2}$.

First of all, we prove a general theorem on powers of strictly ergodic transformations which we shall need later; although the proof is simple, this theorem does not seem to have appeared in the literature yet.

Theorem 7. Suppose that $\Omega_{0}$ is a strictly (uniquely) ergodic set under the homeomorphism $T_{0}$; denote by $m_{0}$ the unique $T_{0}$-invariant probability measure on $\Omega_{0}$. Then for any $n \geqq 2, \Omega_{0}$ is strictly (uniquely) ergodic under $T_{0}^{n}$ if and only if $\Omega_{0}$ is ergodic under $T_{0}^{n}$ with respect to $m_{0}$.

Proof. Since $T_{0}^{n} m_{0}=m_{0}$, strict (unique) ergodicity implies $m_{0}$-ergodicity. Now suppose that $T_{0}^{n}$ is $m_{0}$-ergodic on $\Omega_{0}$, and let $v$ be a probability measure on $\Omega_{0}$ with $T_{0}^{n} \nu=v$ and such that $T_{0}^{n}$ is $\boldsymbol{v}$-ergodic. We want to show that $\boldsymbol{v}=m_{0}$. Set

$$
\nu_{0}:=\frac{\nu+T_{0} \nu+\cdots+T_{0}^{n-1} v}{n} .
$$

Then $T_{0} \nu_{0}=\nu_{0}$, and since $\Omega_{0}$ is strictly (uniquely) ergodic under $T_{0}$, we have $\nu_{0}=m_{0}$. This implies that $v$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $m_{0}=\nu_{0}$; since $T_{0}^{n}$ is both $\nu$-ergodic and $m_{0}$-ergodic, and since different ergodic measures are mutually singular, we conclude that $v=m_{0}$; i.e. $\Omega_{0}$ is uniquely ergodic under $T_{0}^{n}$. Now suppose that $\Omega_{0}$ is strictly ergodic under $T_{0}$; in the presence of unique ergodicity, strict ergodicity is equivalent with the statement that all open sets have positive measure (see e.g. Охтовy [10]). But this remains true, no matter whether we are considering $T_{0}$ or $T_{0}^{n}$; thus $\Omega_{0}$ is strictly ergodic under $T_{0}^{n}$. $ل$

We now set $\lambda_{t}=n\left(b^{t}\right)(t \in \mathbf{N})$; obviously $\lambda_{t}$ and $n_{t}$ are connected by

$$
n_{t}=\prod_{t^{\prime}=0}^{t} \lambda_{t} \quad(t \in \mathbf{N})
$$

Oddly enough, a necessary condition that $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ have some point spectrum is that almost all $\lambda_{t}$ be odd; this is shown by the following lemma.

Lemma 7. If $\left\{t \mid \lambda_{t}\right.$ even $\}$ is infinite, then Thas continuous spectrum on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$.
Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathscr{C}_{x}$ is an eigenfunction of $T$ with eigenvalue $\theta$ :

$$
T f=\theta t
$$

Then $f^{2} \in \mathscr{D}_{x}$ and $T f^{2}=\theta^{2} f^{2}$ or $\theta^{2} \in \mathscr{G}_{x}, \mathscr{G}_{x}$ being the group of eigenvalues of $T$ on $\mathscr{D}_{x}$ as defined in Theorem 6. Now multiplication of $f$ by an arbitrary eigenfunction $g$ of $\mathscr{D}_{x}$ produces another eigenfunction of $\mathscr{C}_{x}$; choosing $g$ suitably and replacing $f$ by $f g$, we may assume that

$$
\theta=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{2 n_{t}}\right)
$$

for suitable $t \in \mathbf{N}\left(\theta=1\right.$ is out of question since $T$ is ergodic on $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ and the constants do not belong to $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ ). But if $t^{\prime}>t$ is chosen such that $\lambda_{t^{\prime}}$ is even, then

$$
\theta=\left[\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{n_{t^{\prime}}}\right)\right]^{\lambda_{t+1} \lambda_{t+2} \cdots \lambda_{t^{\prime}-1}\left(\lambda_{t^{\prime}} / 2\right)} \in \mathscr{G}_{x}
$$

is a contradiction to the simplicity of the eigenvalue $\theta$ (which is a consequence of the ergodicity of $T$ ).

We remark that if $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ possesses any point spectrum, then the above proof shows that for some $t \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\theta=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{2 n_{t}}\right)
$$

is an eigenvalue of $T$ on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$, and then $\lambda_{t^{\prime}}$ is odd for all $t^{\prime}>t$. Let us take a look at the Morse sequence

$$
y=b^{t+1} \times b^{t+2} \times \cdots
$$

and the subset

$$
D_{0}=\overline{\left\{T^{i n_{t}} \omega \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\right\}}
$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ defined in $\S 3, \omega$ being a point of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ with $x=\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \ldots\right)$. The transformation $T^{n_{t}}$ maps $D_{0}$ into $D_{0}$, and if $f$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ with $T f=\theta f$, then the restriction $f_{0}$ of $f$ to the subset $D_{0}$ fulfills $T^{n_{t}} f_{0}=-f_{0}$. We define a mapping $\psi: \mathcal{O}_{y} \rightarrow D_{0}$ by setting, for $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{y}$,

$$
[\psi(\eta)]\left(i n_{t}, n_{t}-1\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
c^{t} & \text { if } & \eta_{i}=0 \\
c^{t} & \text { if } & \eta_{i}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

What this mapping does is to replace the zeroes in $\eta$ by copies of $c^{t}$ and the ones in $\eta$ by blocks $\widetilde{c^{t}}$.

Lemma 8. $\psi$ is a measure-preserving homeomorphism between the strictly ergodic set $\mathcal{O}_{y}$ with its unique probability measure and the set $D_{0}$ with the normalized measure given by the restriction of $n_{t} m_{x}$ to $D_{0}$; the transformation $T$ on $\mathcal{O}_{y}$ is carried by $\psi$ into the transformation $T^{n t}$ on $D_{0} ; \psi$ commutes with mirroring.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and we leave the details to the reader. $-\downarrow$
The above lemma, in addition to showing that the subset $D_{0}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is strictly ergodic under $T^{n_{t}}$, has reduced our problem of finding out when $T$ has continuous spectrum on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ to the case where all $n\left(b^{i}\right)$ are odd; indeed, as remarked above, if $f$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathscr{C}_{x}$, then $f_{0}$ is an eigenfunction of ( $D_{0}, T^{n_{t}}$ ) with eigenvalue $-1, \psi$ carries $f_{0}$ into an eigenfunction on $\left(O_{y}, T\right)$ with eigenvalue -1 , and this function belongs to $\mathscr{C}_{y}$ since $\psi$ preserves mirroring, moreover all $n\left(b^{t^{\prime}}\right)$ are odd for $t^{\prime}>t$. Conversely, given a $t$ such that $n\left(b^{\left.t^{\prime}\right)}\right.$ is odd for $t^{\prime}>t$ and an eigenfunction belonging to $\mathscr{C}_{y}$ with eigenvalue -1 , then the process is easily reversed to construct an eigenfunction $f \in \mathscr{C}_{x}$ with eigenvalue $\theta$. Therefore we restrict our attention to sequences for which all $n\left(b^{i}\right)$ are odd.

Lemma 9. Let $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots$ be a Morse sequence, $n\left(b^{i}\right)$ being odd for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $T$ has continuous spectrum on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ if and only if $T^{2}$ is ergodic on $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ with respect to the probability measure $m_{x}$.

Proof. According to the remarks after Lemma 7, if $T$ has an eigenvalue on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$, then for some $t \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\theta=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{2 n_{t}}\right)
$$

is an eigenvalue. Since $n_{t}$ is odd, $\theta^{n_{t}}=-1$ is also a $\mathscr{C}_{x}$-eigenvalue and $T^{2}$ cannot in this case be ergodic. Suppose conversely that $T^{2}$ is not ergodic. Then there
exists a $T^{2}$-invariant measurable partition $\left\{A, A^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ such that $m_{x}(A)>0$, $m_{x}\left(A^{\prime}\right)>0$. But $A \cap T A$ and $A^{\prime} \cap T A^{\prime}$ are $T$-invariant and $T$ is ergodic; therefore $m_{x}(A \cap T A)=m_{x}\left(A^{\prime} \cap T A^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $A^{\prime}=T A$. The function $f=1_{A}-1_{A}$, is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue $-\mathbf{1}$ and must belong to $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ because of the orthogonality of eigenfunctions and because $-1 \notin \mathscr{G}_{x}$ (all $n_{t}$ are odd).

Our next task is to determine a necessary and sufficient condition for the strict ergodicity of $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ under the transformation $T^{2}$, all $n\left(b^{i}\right)$ being odd. The method used is analogous to the one for determining the strict ergodicity of $\vartheta_{x}$ under $T$, and we content ourselves to give a sketch of the proof of the following theorem, referring the reader to Lemmas 2.3 and Theorem 3.

Denote now for a block $b=\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right) \in B$ the relative frequencies of even zeroes and ones, odd zeroes and ones, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{0}(b):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\
k \text { even }}}^{n-1} \tilde{b}_{k}, \quad e_{1}(b):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\
k \text { even } \\
n-1}}^{n-1} b_{k}, \\
& 0_{0}(b):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\
k \text { odd }}}^{n-\tilde{b}_{k},} \quad 0_{1}(b):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\
k \text { odd }}}^{n-1} b_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and set

$$
w(b):=\min \left\{e_{0}(b)+0_{1}(b), e_{1}(b)+0_{0}(b)\right\}
$$

Theorem 8. Let $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots b e$ a Morse sequence, $n\left(b^{i}\right)$ being odd for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is strictly ergodic under $T^{2}$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} w\left(b^{t}\right)
$$

diverges.
Sketch of proof. Corresponding to the function $s_{0}$ defined in $\S 2$ to measure the balance of zeroes and ones in blocks, we define here

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}(b):=|1-2 w(b)| .
$$

Then it is also easy to show that

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}(b \times c)=s_{0}^{\prime}(b) s_{0}^{\prime}(c)
$$

Now suppose that $\sum w(b t)$ diverges. Then according to the product convergence criterion,

$$
s_{0}\left(b^{0} \times \cdots \times b^{t}\right)=\prod_{j=0}^{i} s_{0}\left(b^{j}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

which implies that

$$
\lim _{i}\left[e_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)+0_{1}\left(c^{t}\right)\right]=\lim _{t}\left[e_{1}\left(c^{t}\right)+0_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Putting this together with the known convergence of

$$
e_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)+0_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)=r_{0}\left(c^{t}\right), \quad 0_{0}\left(c^{t}\right)+0_{1}\left(c^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 n_{t}}, \quad \text { etc. }
$$

one obtains easily the convergence of each of $e_{0}\left(c^{t}\right), e_{1}\left(c^{t}\right), 0_{0}\left(c^{t}\right), 0_{1}\left(c^{t}\right)$ to $\frac{1}{4}$. By
an approximation argument similar to the one used in Lemma 3, it is easy to see that the relative frequencies of odd and even occurrences of any fixed block in $x$ exist uniformly and are equal to half of the $m_{x}$-measure of the corresponding cylinder. In this manner the set $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ can be shown to be strictly ergodic under the transformation $T^{2}$ (actually only the ergodicity is necessary because of Theorem 7).

Conversely, if $\sum w\left(b^{t}\right)$ converges, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t} \prod_{j=0}^{t} s_{0}^{\prime}\left(b^{j}\right)>0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since each $s_{0}^{\prime}\left(b^{i}\right)>0$ (none of the $w\left(b^{i}\right)$ are equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ because the $n\left(b^{i}\right)$ are all odd). However, if $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ were strictly ergodic under $T^{2}$, then $e_{0}\left(c^{t}\right), e_{1}\left(c^{t}\right), 0_{0}\left(c^{t}\right), 0_{1}\left(c^{t}\right)$ would all have to converge to $\frac{1}{4}$, and this contradicts (4).

We collect the results of this paragraph in the following theorem; note that Theorem 7 is needed to put Lemma 9 and Theorem 8 together. Also, according to the remarks after Lemma 8, the condition in Theorem 8 for $T$ to have continuous spectrum on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ is correct if finitely many $n\left(b^{t}\right)$ are even.

Theorem 9. Let $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots$ be a Morse sequence and set $\lambda_{t}=n\left(b^{t}\right)$ $(t \in \mathbf{N}) . T$ possesses continuous spectrum on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ if and only if either

1) there exist infinitely many even $\lambda_{t}$, or
2) $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w\left(b^{t}\right)$ diverges.

A Morse sequence for which one of these conditions is satisfied is called continuous.

## § 6. Entropy

In this paragraph we prove that if $x$ is a Morse sequence, then the entropy of $T$ on the strictly ergodic set $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is zero. Let $x$ be a Morse sequence and denote by $B_{x}^{n}$ the set of all blocks of length $n$ which occur in $x$.

Lemma 10. For each $t$, the number of blocks in $B_{x}^{n_{t}}$ is less than $4 n_{t}$.
Proof. Since $x$ is an infinite sum of the blocks $c^{t}$ and $\widetilde{c^{t}}$ (of length $n_{t}$ ), any block of length $n_{t}$ occurring in $x$ must occur in one of the four blocks $c^{t}+c^{t}, c^{t}+\widetilde{c^{t}}$, $\widetilde{c^{t}}+c^{t}, \widetilde{c^{t}}+c^{t}$; the maximal number of blocks of length $n_{t}$ in these four blocks is less than $4 n_{t}$.

Theorem 10. If $x$ is a Morse sequence, then $T$ has entropy zero on the strictly ergodic set $\mathcal{O}_{x}$.

Proof. The partition of $\Omega$ into the cylinders ${ }_{0}[0]$ and ${ }_{0}[1]$ generates the $\sigma$-field $\mathscr{B}$ of $\Omega$. Thus the entropy of $T$ on $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is given by the mean entropy of this partition. But according to Lemma 10, the number of cylinders of length $n_{t}$ with positive $m_{x}$-measure is less than $4 n_{t}$, since a cylinder must have $m_{x}$-measure zero if its corresponding block does not occur at all in $x$. We conclude that the mean entropy of the said partition is less than

$$
\frac{\log 4 n_{t}}{n_{t}}
$$

for each $t$, and this expression converges to zero as $t$ goes to infinity.

## § 7. Examples and Problems

1. Our first example is the one which led us to consider more general sequences, the original Morse sequence. Set $b^{0}=b^{1}=\cdots=(01)$; then $r_{0}\left(b^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2}, n\left(b^{t}\right)=2$ ( $t \in \mathbf{N}$ ), and $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times \cdots$ is in our terminology a continuous Morse sequence, its eigenvalue group being the group of all $2^{k}$-th roots of unity. These results were announced without proof by Kakutani in [4]; it was also stated in [4] that the spectrum of $T$ on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ for this sequence is singular with respect to Lebesgue spectrum, and other sequences were given for which these results are also true. In our terminology, those sequences may be constructed by varying the blocks $\mathrm{b}^{i}$, setting them either equal to ( 00 ) or ( 01 ) according to another fixed sequence of zeroes and ones, which could be given for instance by the binary representation of a number from the unit interval.
2. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be an arbitrary infinite subgroup of the group of all (complex) roots of unity. Then there exists a strictly ergodic subset of $\Omega$ with partly continuous spectrum whose eigenvalue group is exactly $\mathscr{G}$. To see this, choose a sequence $2 \leqq n_{0}<n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots$ of integers such that $n_{t}$ divides $n_{t+1}(t \in \mathbf{N})$ and such that $\mathscr{G}$ is generated by $\left\{\exp \left(2 \pi i / n_{t}\right) \mid t \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ (note that $\mathscr{G}$ is countable). Then set

$$
\lambda_{0}:=n_{0}, \quad \lambda_{t}:=\frac{n_{t}}{n_{t-1}}(t>0)
$$

and choose blocks $b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots$ with $n\left(b^{t}\right)=\lambda_{t}(t \in \mathbf{N})$ and such that $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times b^{2} \times \cdots$ is a continuous Morse sequence. For instance, it would suffice to set the first [ $\left.\lambda_{t}{ }^{2}\right]$ components of $b^{t}$ equal to zero and the rest equal to one. Then Theorem 3 shows that $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is strictly ergodic, Theorem 9 says that $T$ has continuous spectrum on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$, and Theorem 6 provides $\mathscr{G}_{x}=\mathscr{G}$.
3. Set $b^{0}=b^{1}=\cdots=(001)$; then $r_{0}\left(b^{t}\right)=\frac{3}{8}, w\left(b^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{3}$, and thus the conditions are fulfilled for $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times \cdots$ to be a continuous Morse sequence. The eigenvalue group $\mathscr{G}_{x}$ of $x$ is the group of all $3^{k}$-th roots of unity.
4. Suppose now that $b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots$ are chosen such that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \min \left(r_{0}\left(b^{k}\right), r_{1}\left(b^{k}\right)\right)<\infty .
$$

This is easily attained; for instance set $n\left(b^{k}\right)=2^{k+1}$ and $b^{k}=(00 \ldots 001)$. The above sum then reduces to

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}=\mathbf{1}
$$

It follows that $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times \cdots$ is not a Morse sequence. Lemma 2 implies that $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is a minimal set, but Theorem 3 shows that $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is not strictly ergodic. In this manner we can construct a multitude of minimal sets which are not strictly ergodic. The first example of a minimal but not strictly ergodic set was given by A. Markov (see [9], [10]); it cannot be constructed in the above manner.
5. For some continuous Morse sequences $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times \cdots$ we have been able to show the singularity of the spectrum of $T$ on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$, e.g. 1. and 3 . above. The proofs unfortunately make use of recursion formulas for relative frequencies derivable because of $b^{0}=b^{1}=\cdots$; the idea for this method was divulged to the
author by Kakutani. It would be interesting to determine whether the spectrum of $T$ on $\mathscr{C}_{x}$ is singular for every continuous Morse sequence.
6. The problem of isomorphy between strictly ergodic systems of the type discussed here is connected with the problem in 5 . as well as with the problem of representation of a given (continuous) Morse sequence $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times \cdots$ as a product of other blocks than the $b^{i}$. How can an isomorphy statement of the type given in [4] be generalized and proved ?
7. Set $b^{0}=b^{1}=\cdots=(010)$; then $x=b^{0} \times b^{1} \times \cdots=(0,1,0,1, \ldots)$ is a periodic sequence of period 2 . This example induced us to prove Lemma 1, which says roughly that this is the "only type" of periodicity which can occur if the $b^{i}$ are not finally all zeroes. Looking at 2. above and trying to find a strictly ergodic system with a finite eigenvalue group, we have only been able to produce examples of a periodic nature. Is it possible to construct a strictly ergodic system with a finite eigenvalue group? with continuous spectrum? What is the eigenvalue group of the strictly ergodic system given in [3]? Is there a connection between this problem and entropy?
8. One can consider other spaces than $\Omega$; the idea of considering a state space of $n$ elements (instead of 2) is inviting, and produces more assymmetry in that there are more permutations of the state space than in the case of two elements, where the only permutations are the identity and mirroring.
9. It is practically trivial that the strictly ergodic sets given here do not exhaust the strictly ergodic subsets of $\Omega$ - it is only a beginning on the classification of these subsets.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Such sequences are called continuous Morse sequences.

