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#### Abstract

Summary. This paper concerns the nonlinear filtering problem of calculating "estimates" $E\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \mid y_{s}, s \leqq t\right]$ where $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ is a Markov process with infinitesimal generator $A$ and $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ is an observation process given by $d y_{t}=h\left(x_{t}\right) d t$ $+d w_{t}$ where $\left\{w_{t}\right\}$ is a Brownian motion. If $h\left(x_{t}\right)$ is a semimartingale then an unnormalized version of this estimate can be expressed in terms of a semigroup $T_{s, t}^{y}$ obtained by a certain $y$-dependent multiplicative functional transformation of the signal process $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$. The objective of this paper is to investigate this transformation and in particular to show that under very general conditions its extended generator is $A_{t}^{y} f=e^{y(t) h}\left(A-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}\right)\left(e^{-y(t) h} f\right)$.


## Introduction

Let $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ be a Markov process, $h$ a bounded real-valued function and $\left\{w_{t}\right\}$ a standard Brownian motion independent of $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$. Now define

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} h\left(x_{s}\right) d s+w_{t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The real-valued ${ }^{1}$ process $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ is to be thought of as a "noisy observation" of the "signal" $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$, and the objective is to "estimate" functionals of the signal, i.e. compute quantities of the form $E\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \mid y_{s}, s \leqq t\right]$. Further, this computation should be done recursively, i.e. in terms of a statistic $\left\{\pi_{t}\right\}$ which can be updated using only new observations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{t+s}=\alpha\left(t, s, \pi_{t},\left\{y_{t+u}, 0 \leqq u \leqq s\right\}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from which estimates can be calculated in a "pointwise" fashion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \mid y_{s}, s \leqq t\right]=\beta\left(t, f, y_{t}, \pi_{t}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Generally, $\pi_{t}$ will be closely related to the conditional distribution of $x_{t}$ given $\left\{y_{s}, s \leqq t\right\}$. Indeed, the main result of filtering theory as presented for example in Liptser and Shiryaev's book [9] is a nonlinear measure-valued stochastic differential equation [9, theorem 8.1] whose solution is this conditional distribution.

Our starting point in this paper is the so-called Kallianpur-Striebel formula [7]. [17], equation (6) below. To introduce this let us describe the probabilistic set-up in more detail. The Lévy system of $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ plays an essential role in what follows and our basic hypotheses are those of Watanabe [16], under which the Lévy system is well-defined. We use the original formulation of the Lévy system as introduced in [16] rather than the later, more streamlined version of, for example, Benveniste and Jacod [1] because we do not really need the extra generality this provides whereas we do make explicit use of the associated stochastic calculus developed in [16] and by Kunita and Watanabe in [8].

Let $S$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space with countable base, with Borel $\sigma$-field $\mathscr{S}$, and let $\mathscr{B}(S)$ and $\mathscr{H}(S)$ denote respectively the set of bounded measurable functions $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the set of positive measures on $(S, \mathscr{S})$. For $f \in \mathscr{B}(S), \mu \in \mathscr{M}(S)$ we write

$$
\langle f, \mu\rangle=\int_{S} f(x) \mu(d x)
$$

Now let $W$ be the set of right-continuous $S$-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, with coordinate functions $\left\{x_{t}, t \geqq 0\right\}$, and suppose that $\left\{P_{x}, x \in S\right\}$ is a family of probability measures on $W$ such that $\mathfrak{x}=\left\{x_{t}, P_{x}\right\}$ is a Hunt process satisfying Meyer's hypothesis $(L)^{2}$ and having a lifetime $\zeta=\infty$ a.s. $\left(P_{x}\right)$. The semigroup of operators $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geqq 0}$ on $\mathscr{B}(S)$ associated with $\mathfrak{z}$ is defined by

$$
T_{t} f(x)=E_{x}\left[f\left(x_{t}\right)\right]
$$

Additionally, $\mathfrak{x}$ is supposed to have an initial probability distribution $\pi \in \mathscr{M}(S)$.
$(A, \mathfrak{D}(A))$ will denote the extended generator of $\mathfrak{x}[6,(13.45)]$ : a function $f \in \mathscr{B}(S)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ if there exists $A f \in \mathscr{B}(S)$ such that $\left\{M_{i}^{f}\right\}$ is a local martingale $\left(P_{x}\right)$ for every $x \in S$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}^{f}=f\left(x_{t}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} A f\left(x_{s}\right) d s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$is the space of real-valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$with coordinate functions $\left\{y_{t}, t \geqq 0\right\}$ and $\mu_{w}$ denotes Wiener measure on $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$(with $\mu_{w}$ $\left.\left(y_{0}=0\right)=1\right)$. Our basic probability space is then $\Omega=W \times C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$equipped with the product measure $P^{0}=P_{\pi} * \mu_{w}$. Thus, under $P^{0}, \mathfrak{x}$ and $\mathfrak{y}=\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ are independent processes and $\mathfrak{y}$ is a standard Brownian motion. We denote $\mathscr{Y}_{t}=\sigma\left\{y_{s}, s \leqq t\right\}$.

We shall consider the filtering problem over a finite time interval [0, T]. Fix a function $h \in \mathscr{B}(S)$ and for $t \in[0, T]$ define

$$
L_{t}=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} h\left(x_{s}\right) d y_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h^{2}\left(x_{s}\right) d s\right)
$$

[^1]It is well-known that $E^{0} L_{t}=1$ for all $t$ and that the formula

$$
\frac{d P}{d P^{0}}=L_{T}
$$

defines a measure $P$ under which
(i) the distributions of $x$ are the same as under $P^{0}$
(ii) the process $\left\{w_{t}\right\}$ defined by (1) is a standard Brownian motion
(iii) $\left\{w_{t}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ are independent.

Thus the filtering problem consists of calculating $E\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \mid \mathscr{Y}_{t}\right]$ for a suitably large class of $f \in \mathscr{B}(S)$, where $E$ denotes integration with respect to measure $P$. This can be expressed in terms of integration with respect to $P^{0}$ by the following standard formula

$$
E\left[f(x) \mid \mathscr{y}_{t}\right]=\frac{E^{0}\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) L_{t} \mid \mathscr{Y}_{t}\right]}{E^{0}\left[L_{t} \mid \mathscr{Y}_{t}\right]}
$$

Denote by $\sigma_{t}(f)$ the numerator of this expression. It suffices to calculate $\sigma_{t}(f)$ for $f \in \mathscr{B}(S)$ because then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \mid y_{t}\right]=\sigma_{t}(f) / \sigma_{t}(1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \in \mathscr{B}(S)$ is the constant function taking the value 1 for all $x \in S$. Since, under measure $P^{0}, x$ and $\mathfrak{y}$ are independent, the conditional expectation operator $E^{0}\left[\cdot \mid \mathscr{Y}_{t}\right]$ amounts to "integrating out" the $x$-dependence and thus $\sigma_{t}(f)$ can be expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}(f)=\int_{W} f\left(x_{t}\right) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} h\left(x_{s}\right) d y_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h^{2}\left(x_{s}\right) d s\right) P_{\pi}(d x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This heuristic reasoning was justified in [7], and (6) is known as the KallianpurStriebel formula. Now suppose that $z_{t}=h\left(x_{t}\right)$ is a semimartingale. Then the joint variation process $\langle y, z\rangle_{t}=0$ in view of the independence of $\mathfrak{x}$ and $\mathfrak{y}$, and hence by the change of variables formula [6, (2.52)],

$$
\int_{0}^{t} h\left(x_{s}\right) d y_{s}=y_{t} h\left(x_{t}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} y_{s} d h\left(x_{s}\right)
$$

Thus the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}(f, y)=\int_{W} f\left(x_{t}\right) \exp \left(y(t) h\left(x_{t}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} y(s) d h\left(x_{s}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h^{2}\left(x_{s}\right) d s\right) P_{\pi}(d x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a functional $\sigma_{t}: \mathscr{B}(S) \times C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) $\sigma_{t}(f, y)$ is well-defined for all $y \in C[0, T]$ (not just on a subset of Wiener measure 1)
(ii) $\sigma_{t}(f, y) / \sigma_{t}(1, y)$ is a version of the conditional expectation $E\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \mid \mathscr{O}_{t}\right]$.

It is shown by Clark [2] and Kushner [9] that $\sigma_{t}(f, y)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous in $y$ and that this fact has important implications in terms of "robust estimation".

From now on $y=\{y(s), 0 \leqq s \leqq T\}$ will be an arbitrary, but fixed, continuous function. We shall have no further use for the factor $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$of $\Omega$ and henceforth the "probability space" is $\left(W,\left\{P_{x}\right\}\right)$, the canonical space for $¥$.

For $0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{t}^{s}=\exp \left(-\int_{s}^{t} y(u) d h\left(x_{u}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} h^{2}\left(x_{u}\right) d u\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\alpha_{t}^{5}$ is a multiplicative functional (m.f.) of $x$. (It is non-standard in that it is not homogeneous and does not satisfy $E_{x, s}\left[\alpha_{t}^{s}\right] \leqq 1$, the latter because $\sigma_{t}(f)$ is an unnormalized conditional expectation). The following formula thus defines a two-parameter semigroup of operators on $\mathscr{B}(S)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s, t}^{y} f(x)=E_{s, x}\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \alpha_{t}^{s}\right] . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (6)-(9) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}(f)=\sigma_{t}(f, y)=\left\langle T_{0, t}^{y}\left(e^{y(t) h} f\right), \pi\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula provides the starting point for the present paper. The idea behind our approach is that (10) leads to a recursive filter in a form in which no stochastic integration is involved. Write (10) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}(f)=\left\langle e^{y(t) h} f, \pi_{t}^{y}\right\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\pi_{t}^{y}=U_{t, 0}^{y} \pi
$$

and $U_{t, s}^{y}$ is the semigroup adjoint to $T_{s, t}^{y}$ defined by

$$
\left\langle f, U_{t, s}^{y} \mu\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{s, t}^{y} f, \mu\right\rangle
$$

Now formally $\pi_{i}^{y}$ is the solution of the forward equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \pi_{t}^{y}=\left(\bar{A}_{t}^{y}\right)^{*} \pi_{t}^{y}, \quad \pi_{0}^{y}=\pi \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{A}_{t}^{y}$ is the generator of $T_{s . t}^{y}$, and this gives us a recursive filter in that (12) corresponds to the "updating equation" (2) while the "pointwise computation" (3) is given by (5) and (11).

Our interest is in substantiating this program and hence in investigating the infinitesimal characteristics of the semigroup $T_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}}^{y}$. In view of (4), the m.f. $\alpha_{t}^{s}$ of (8) is well-defined (i.e. $h\left(x_{t}\right)$ is a semimartingale) if $h \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$; our main result is Theorem (33) which states that under this condition and some other mild assumptions the extended generator of $T_{s, t}^{y}$ is

$$
A_{t}^{y} f=e^{y(t) h}\left(A-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}\right)\left(e^{-y(t) h} f\right) .
$$

An explicit formula (35) for this is also given. In order that this result be useful we need to know that $T_{s, t}^{y}$ is actually determined by $A_{t}^{y}$, and we next investigate the case where $x$ is governed by a Lévy generator in the sense of Stroock [14].

We show using the results of [14] that the operator $A_{t}^{y}$ then corresponds to a well-posed martingale problem and hence determines $T_{s, t}^{y}$. A special case of this has been investigated in greater detail by Pardoux [13]; see Remark (44) below.

If $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ is a diffusion process with boundary conditions then the condition $h \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ is unduly restrictive. In the concluding section we show that the m.f. transformation is nevertheless well defined and that its effect is to introduce $y$ dependent perturbations both into the operator coefficients and into the boundary conditions.

## The Lévy System and the Local Characteristics of $M^{f}$

For $f \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ the process $M_{t}^{f}$ defined by (4) is both an additive functional and a locally square-integrable martingale. We can decompose $M^{f}$ into a sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}^{j}=M_{t}^{f c}+M_{t}^{f d} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M^{f c}$ is a local martingale with continuous paths and $M^{f d}$ is orthogonal to the stable subspace of continuous martingales.

In order to elucidate the structure of $M^{f}$ more explicitly we need to consider the Lévy system of $x$. This was introduced by Watanabe [16] and further accounts can be found in Kunita and Watanabe [8], Meyer [12] and Jacod [6]. Under the conditions stated in the Introduction there exist a continuous additive functional $\phi_{t}^{0}$ and a kernel $n(F, x)$ (i.e. $n(., x)$ is a positive measure on $(S, \mathscr{F})$ for each $x \in S$ and $n(F,$.$) is a measurable function for each F \in \mathscr{P}$ ) characterized by the fact that for every positive measurable function $\beta: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\beta(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in S$,

$$
E_{x} \sum_{\substack{s \leq t \\ x_{s} \pm x_{s-}}} \beta\left(x_{s}, x_{s-}\right)=E_{x} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S} \beta\left(z, x_{s-}\right) n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0}
$$

Let $\rho$ be a metric on $S$, fix $\varepsilon>0$ and for $F \in \mathscr{S}$ define

$$
p_{\varepsilon}(t, F)=\sum_{s \leqq t} I_{\left(\rho\left(x_{s}, x_{s-}\right)>\varepsilon\right)} I_{\left(x_{s} \in F\right)} .
$$

There exist sets $F$ such that $E_{x} p_{\varepsilon}(t, F)<\infty$ and for such sets the compensator of $p_{\varepsilon}(t, F)$ is

$$
\tilde{p}_{\varepsilon}(t, F)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{F} I_{\left(\rho\left(z, x_{s-}\right)>\varepsilon\right)} n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0}
$$

i.e. $q_{\varepsilon}(t, F)$ is a martingale, where

$$
q_{\varepsilon}(t, F)=p_{\varepsilon}(t, F)-\tilde{p}_{\varepsilon}(t, F)
$$

Let $\mathfrak{F}_{Q}$ denote the set of measurable functions $\beta: S \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times W \rightarrow R$ such that $\beta(z, .$,$) is measurable with respect to the predictable \sigma$-field on $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times W$ for each $z \in S$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{x} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{s} \beta^{2}(z, s, \mathfrak{x}) n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0}<\infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$ and $t>0 . \mathscr{F}_{Q}^{1 \mathrm{loc}}$ then denotes those functions $\beta$ such that $\beta I_{\left(s \leqq \tau_{n}\right)} \in \mathcal{F}_{Q}$ for each $n$, for some sequence $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ of stopping times such that $\tau_{n} \uparrow \infty$ a.s. With each $\beta \in \mathscr{F}_{Q}^{\text {loc }}$ it is possible to associate uniquely a locally square integrable martingale, denoted $Q^{\beta}$ or

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{S} \beta(z, s, x) q(d z, d s)
$$

in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t}^{\beta}=q_{\varepsilon}(t, F) \quad \text { if } \beta(z, t, x)=\chi_{\varepsilon}^{F}\left(z, x_{t-}\right) \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\chi_{\varepsilon}^{F}(z, x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } z \in F \text { and } \rho(z, x)>\varepsilon \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{a \beta}+Q^{a^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}=a Q^{\beta}+a^{\prime} Q^{\beta^{\prime}} \quad \text { for } a, a^{\prime} \in R, \beta, \beta^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii)

$$
\left\langle Q^{\beta}, Q^{\beta^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{S} \beta(z, s, x) \beta^{\prime}(z, s, x) n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0}
$$

We then have the following representation theorem for the space $\mathfrak{M}_{\text {loc }}^{d}$ of locally square-integrable martingales orthogonal to all continuous martingales.
(15) Theorem [8, Proposition 5.2].

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{d}=\left\{Q^{\beta}: \beta \in \mathscr{F}_{Q}^{\text {loc }}\right\} .
$$

Watanabe [16, Theorem 3.1] shows that those elements of $\mathfrak{M}^{d}$ which are additive functionals correspond to $\beta \in \mathscr{F}_{Q}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(z, t, x)=\tilde{\beta}\left(z, x_{s-}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $\tilde{\beta}: S \times S \rightarrow R$ such that $\tilde{\beta}(z, z)=0$ for all $z \in S$ and (14) is satisfied. Let $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Q}$ denote the set of such functions, with a corresponding definition for $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{Q}^{\text {loc }}$.

Let us now return to the local martingale $M^{f d}$ introduced in (4), (13) above. It is also an additive functional and hence has a stochastic integral representation with integrand in $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{Q}^{\text {loc }}$. We can identify this integrand precisely.
(17) Lemma. Let $f \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{f d}=Q^{B f} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B f \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{Q}^{\text {loc }}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B f(x, z)=f(x)-f(z) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This fact is essentially established in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [16]. There, the argument is given for $f$ of the form $f=\mathscr{F}_{\lambda} g\left(g \in \mathscr{B}(S), \lambda>0, \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda}\right.$ the resolvent operator) i.e. for $f$ in the domain of the strong generator of $x$, but the same argument applies for $f \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{~A})$. In outline, it is as follows. Let $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ be a
sequence of localizing times, fix $n$ and define

$$
U_{\varepsilon}^{F}(z, x)=(f(z)-f(z)) \chi_{\varepsilon}^{F}(z, x)
$$

for any set $F$ such that $E_{x} p_{\varepsilon}\left(t \wedge \tau_{n}, F\right)<\infty$. Then $Q^{U_{\bar{E}}^{F}}$ is a martingale whose jumps of size $>\varepsilon$ into $F$ coincide with those of $\left\{M_{i \wedge \tau_{n}}^{f}\right\}$, and one can show that $Q_{t}^{U E^{\Sigma}} \rightarrow M_{i \wedge \tau_{n}}^{f}$ as $F \uparrow S, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$. The result follows.

The next result is just a restatement of the fact that $B f \in \mathscr{F}_{Q}^{\text {loc }}$.
(20) Corollary. If $f \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ and $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of localizing times, then

$$
E_{x} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{n}}\left(f(z)-f\left(x_{s-}\right)\right)^{2} n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0}<\infty
$$

for all $n, x \in S, t>0$.
Equations (18), (19) above give a representation for $M^{f d}$ in the form of a stochastic integral. As regards the continuous part, we need the quadratic variation $\left\langle M^{f c}, M^{g c}\right\rangle_{t}$ and this can be calculated explicitly for those functions $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ such that the product $f g \in \mathfrak{D}(A)(f g(x)=f(x) g(x))$. First, some notation.
(21) Notation. Suppose $G$ is any operator acting on a domain $\mathcal{D}(G)$ of realvalued functions and that $f, g, f g \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$. Then we denote

$$
\Delta_{G}^{f g}=G(f g)-f G g-g G f
$$

A simple calculation given by Jacod [6, Proposition 13.42] shows that if $f, g$, $f g \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ then

$$
\left\langle M^{f}, M^{g}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \Delta_{A}^{f g}\left(x_{s}\right) d s
$$

A similar line of reasoning gives the following result.
(22) Lemma. Suppose f, $g, f g \in \mathbb{D}(A)$. Then

$$
\left\langle M^{f c}, M^{s c}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \Delta_{A}^{f g}\left(x_{s}\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{S} \Delta_{B}^{f g}\left(z, x_{s-}\right) n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0} .
$$

Proof. From (4) and Lemma (17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f\left(x_{s}\right)=A f\left(x_{s}\right) d s+d M_{s}^{f c}+d Q_{s}^{B f} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f g\left(x_{s}\right)=A(f g)\left(x_{s}\right) d s+d M_{s}^{f g} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now calculate the product $f\left(x_{t}\right) g\left(x_{t}\right)$ using (23), the similar expression for $d g\left(x_{s}\right)$ and the differential formula of stochastic calculus. It is convenient, here and below, to use this in the explicit form given by Kunita and Watanabe [8, Theorem 5.1] (which will be valid, since, in the notation of [8], each $f^{(i)}$ will always be bounded) rather than in the general semimartingale form $[6$,

Theorem 2.52]. We obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
f g\left(x_{t}\right)-f g\left(x_{0}\right)= & \int_{0}^{t} g\left(x_{s}\right) d M_{s}^{f c}+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(x_{s}\right) d M_{s}^{g c}  \tag{25}\\
& +Q^{B(f g)}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{S} \Delta_{B}^{f g}\left(z, x_{s-}\right) n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}(g A f+f A g)\left(x_{s}\right) d s+\left\langle M^{f c}, M^{g c}\right\rangle_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

The result follows from (24), (25), using the uniqueness of the special semimartingale decomposition of $f g\left(x_{t}\right)$.
(26) Corollary. Suppose in addition that $\phi_{t}^{0}=t$. Then
where

$$
\left\langle M^{f c}, M^{g c}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} D f g\left(x_{s}\right) d s
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D f g(x)=\Delta_{A}^{f g}(x)-\int_{S} \Delta_{B}^{f g}(z, x) n(d z, x) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The Case $h \in \mathbb{D}(A)$

If $h \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ then the multiplicative functional $\alpha_{t}^{s}$ of (8) is well-defined. It can be factored as follows:
(28) Theorem.

$$
\alpha_{t}^{s}=\gamma_{t}^{s} \delta_{t}^{s}
$$

where $\gamma, \delta$ are multiplicative functionals with the following properties:
(i) $\gamma_{t}^{s}$ is a local martingale and satisfies the equation (we write $\gamma_{t}$ for $\gamma_{t}^{0}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t}=1-\int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{s-} y(s) d M_{s}^{h c}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{S} \gamma_{s-}\left(e^{-y B h}-1\right) q(d z, d s) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\delta_{t}^{s}$ is a continuous process of bounded variation and is given explicitly by

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \delta_{t}= & \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{2} y^{2}(s) d\left\langle M^{h c}\right\rangle_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{S}\left(e^{-y B h}-1+y B h\right) n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0}  \tag{30}\\
& -\int_{0}^{t} y(s) A h\left(x_{s}\right) d s-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h^{2}\left(x_{s}\right) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This is a similar decomposition to that given by Kunita and Watanabe [8, Theorem 6.1]. In their formulation $\delta$ was monotone decreasing; this occurs if $E_{x, s} \alpha_{t}^{s} \leqq 1$ which, as remarked earlier, is not generally the case here. From (4), (8), (18) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \alpha_{t} & =-\int_{0}^{t} y(s) d h\left(x_{s}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h^{2}\left(x_{s}\right) d s \\
& =-\int_{0}^{t} y(s) A h\left(x_{s}\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t} y(s) d M^{h c}-Q^{y B h}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h^{2}\left(x_{s}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
y B h(t, z, x)=y(t) B h\left(z, x_{t-}\right)
$$

Now define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \gamma_{t}= & -\int_{0}^{t} y(s) d M_{s}^{h c}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} y^{2}(s) d\left\langle M^{h c}\right\rangle_{s} \\
& -Q^{y B h}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{S}\left(e^{-y B h}-1+y B h\right) n\left(d z, x_{s-}\right) d \phi_{s}^{o} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\int_{0}^{t \wedge} \int_{S}\left|e^{-y B h}-1+y B h\right| n\left(d z, x_{s^{-}}\right) d \phi_{s}^{0}<\infty
$$

from Corollary (20), since by the mean value theorem

$$
e^{-y B h}-1+y B h=\frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_{s}} y^{2}(s)\left(f(z)-f\left(x_{s-}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

for some $\theta_{s} \in[0,-y B h(s)]$, and $B h$ is bounded. Applying the differential formula, we find that $\gamma_{t}$ satisfies (29) and hence is a local martingale. Defining $\delta_{t}^{s}=\alpha_{t}^{s}\left(\gamma_{t}^{s}\right)^{-1}$ gives (30). This completes the proof.

We now wish to calculate the "generator" of $T_{\mathrm{s}, t}^{y}$. Since, however, this is not a Markovian semigroup, we cannot define its extended generator in precisely the way stated in the Introduction. The appropriate definition is as follows.
(31) Definition. Let $\eta_{t}^{s}$ be a multiplicative functional of $\mathfrak{x}$ (not necessarily normalized) and $V_{s, t}$ be the corresponding semigroup: $V_{s, t} f(x)=E_{x, s}\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \eta_{t}^{s}\right]$. Let $\mathscr{B}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times S\right)$ denote those functions in $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times S\right)$ which are $C^{1}$ in the first variable for each $x \in S$. Then $(J, \mathfrak{D}(J))$ is the extended generator of $V_{s, t}$ if for each $f \in \mathfrak{D}(J) \subset \mathscr{B}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times S\right)$ there exists $J f \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times S\right)$ such that $\left\{N_{s, t}^{f}, t \geqq s\right\}$ is a local martingale, where

$$
N_{s, t}^{f}=\eta_{t}^{s} f\left(t, x_{t}\right)-f\left(s, x_{s}\right)-\int_{s}^{t} \eta_{u}^{s}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+J\right) f\left(u, x_{u}\right) d u
$$

This definition clearly coincides with that given in the Introduction if $V$ is Markovian and attention is restricted to time-invariant functions $f($ i.e. $f \in \mathscr{B}(S)$ ). In fact attention can, and will be, restricted to $f \in \mathscr{B}(S)$ below except in the final section dealing with boundary conditions, where the time variation must be brought in.

The factorization (28) splits the multiplicative functional transformation (9) into two stages. The second of these, corresponding to $\delta$, just adds a "potential" term to the generator, so it remains to consider the effect of $\gamma$. Let

$$
T_{s, t}^{\gamma} f(x)=E_{x . s}\left[f\left(x_{t}\right) \gamma_{t}^{s}\right],
$$

Now use the Kunita-Watanabe differential formula to compute the product $f\left(x_{t}\right) \gamma_{t}^{s}$ from (4) and (29), for $f \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$. This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{t}\right) \gamma_{t}^{s}= & f\left(x_{s}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} \gamma_{u-}^{s} A f\left(x_{u}\right) d u-\int_{s}^{t} \gamma_{u-}^{s} y(u) d\left\langle M^{f c}, M^{h c}\right\rangle_{u}  \tag{32}\\
& +\int_{s}^{t} \gamma_{u-}^{s} \int_{S} B f\left(z, x_{u_{-}}\right)\left(e^{-y(u) B h\left(z . x_{u-}\right)}-1\right) n\left(d z, x_{u_{-}}\right) d \phi_{u}^{0}+N_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{t}$ is a local martingale. We can now formulate the main result concerning the extended generator $A_{t}^{y}$ of the semigroup $T_{s, t}^{y}$
(33) Theorem. Suppose $\mathfrak{x}$ is a Hunt process as defined in the Introduction, and
(i) There is a kernel $n(.,$.$) such that (n(.,), t$.$) is a Lévy system for \mathfrak{x}$ (i.e. it is possible to take $\phi_{t}^{0}=t$ )
(ii) $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}(A)$ is a set such that $h \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $h f \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Then, for any $y \in C[0, T]$ and $t \in[0, T], \mathfrak{D}\left(A_{t}^{y}\right) \supset \mathfrak{D}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}^{y} f(x)=e^{y(t) h(x)} A\left(e^{-y(t) h} f\right)(x)-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}(x) f(x) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in \mathfrak{D} . A_{t}^{y}$ is given explicitly by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{t}^{y} f(x)= & A f(x)-y(t) D h f(x)+\int_{S} B f(z, x)\left(e^{-y(t) B h(z, x)}-1\right) n(d z, x)  \tag{35}\\
& +\left[\frac{1}{2} y^{2}(t) D h h(x)-y(t) A h(x)-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}(x)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{S}\left(e^{-y(t) B h(z, x)}-1+y(t) B h(z, x)\right) n(d z, x)\right] f(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $D$ is defined by (21), (27) and B by (19).
Proof. Under conditions (i) and (ii), Corollary (26) applies and (32) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{t}\right) \gamma_{t}^{s}= & f\left(x_{s}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} \gamma_{t}^{s}\left[A f\left(x_{u}\right)-y(u) D h f\left(x_{u}\right)\right.  \tag{36}\\
& \left.+\int_{S} B f\left(x_{u}\right)\left(e^{-y(u) B h\left(z, x_{u}\right)}-1\right) n\left(d z, x_{u}\right)\right] d u+N_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

This identifies the extended generator $A^{\gamma}$ of $T^{\gamma}$.
Similarly, under the stated conditions the expression (30) for $\delta$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{t}^{s}= & \exp \left[\int _ { s } ^ { t } \left(\frac{1}{2} y^{2}(u) D h h\left(x_{u^{-}}\right)-y(u) A h\left(x_{u^{-}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}\left(x_{u_{-}}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{37}\\
& \left.\left.+\int_{S}\left(e^{-y(u) B h}-1+B h\right) n\left(d z, x_{u_{-}}\right)\right) d u\right]
\end{align*}
$$

This is a Feynman-Kac type transformation the effect of which is, by standard calculations, to add a potential term to $A^{\gamma}$. Thus combining (36) and (37) gives the expression (35) for $A_{t}^{y}$. Next, notice that throughout this calculation $y$ is an arbitrary function from $C[0, T]$ but the result depends only on $y(t)$. Hence $A_{t}^{\bar{y}}=A_{t}^{\bar{y}}$ where $\bar{y}(s)=y(t)$ for all $s \in[0, T]$. But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{t}^{s}(\bar{y})=\exp \left[-y(t)\left(h\left(x_{t}\right)-h\left(x_{s}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} h^{2}\left(x_{u}\right) d u\right] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{x, s}\left(f\left(x_{t}\right) \exp \left[-y(t)\left(h\left(x_{t}\right)-h\left(x_{s}\right)\right)\right]\right)  \tag{39}\\
=e^{y(t) h(x)} T_{t-s}\left(e^{-y(t) h} f\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The expression (34) follows from (38) and (39).

## Lévy Generators

In order to use the preceding results to construct recursive filtering algorithms along the lines suggested in the Introduction, we need to be assured that the set D of functions on which the extended generator $A_{s}^{y}$ is defined is sufficiently large to determine the semi-group $T_{\mathrm{s}, t}^{y}$. This is the case, in particular, when $\boldsymbol{x}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ valued process governed by a Lévy generator [14]. Denote $\mathfrak{D}=C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and for $f \in \mathcal{D}$ define an operator $\tilde{A}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{A} f(x) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i . j} a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i} m_{i}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}  \tag{40}\\
& +\int_{R^{d}}\left(f(x+z)-f(x)-\frac{z^{\prime} \nabla f(x)}{1+|z|^{2}}\right) M(d z ; x)
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $a_{i j}(.) . m_{i}($.$) are bounded and continuous for all i, j$
(ii) $\left[a_{i j}(x)\right] \geqq \eta I$ for some $\eta>0$ not depending on $x$
(iii) $M(d z ; x)$ is a $\sigma$-finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}-\{0\}$ such that

$$
\int_{B} \frac{|z|^{2}}{1+|z|^{2}} M(d z ; x)
$$

is bounded and continuous for all Borel sets $B$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$.
Under these conditions, the martingale problem associated with $\hat{A}$ acting on functions in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is well posed and the corresponding Markov process $\mathfrak{x}$ is strongly Feller; see [14, Theorem 4.3]. Thus if $A$ denotes the extended generator of $x$ then $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}(A)$ and $A=\hat{A}$ on $\mathfrak{D}$. From Theorem 4.3 of [16] (or by a similar argument given by Meyer [11, pp. 159-160] it is easy to see that the Levy system of $\mathfrak{x}$ is $\phi_{t}^{0}=t, n(F, x)=M(F-x ; x)$. Thus the conditions of Theorem (33) are satisfied if $h \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. From (27) we find, using an obvious notation, that

$$
D h f=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{j}}-(B h B f) \circ n
$$

and hence that the extended generator of $T_{s . t}^{y}$ is given for $f \in \mathfrak{D}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{t}^{y} f=A f-y(t) \sum_{i, j} a_{i j} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} & +B f\left(e^{y(t) B h}-1-y(t) B h\right) \circ n  \tag{42}\\
& +\psi(t, x) f
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(t, x)= & \frac{1}{2} y^{2}(t)\left(\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{j}}-(B h)^{2} \circ n\right) \\
& -y(t) A h+\left(e^{-y(t) B h}-1+y(t) B h\right) \circ n-\frac{1}{2} h^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\psi(t, x)$ is bounded and continuous.
(43) Theorem. The martingale problem associated with (42) is well posed.

Proof. This is an application of Stroock's results [14] and the details will be found in [3]. One shows that $\tilde{A}_{t}^{y}=A_{t}^{y}-\psi$ is a Levy generator satisfying conditions similar to (41) and hence that the martingale problem associated with $\tilde{A}_{t}^{y}$ is well-posed. One now constructs the measure corresponding to $A_{t}^{y}$ by Feyn-man-Kac transformation as before, and it is easy to see that the uniqueness is not destroyed by this transformation.
(44) Remark. Consider the diffusion case ( $M=0$ ). Then, from (42), $A_{t}^{y}$ is a diffusion-type operator whose second-order part is the same as that of $A$. Thus essentially the same conditions required for existence of a solution to the forward equation for $A$ also assure existence of a solution to the forward equation for $A_{t}^{y}$. This has been studied by Pardoux [13] who states conditions under which the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d q}{d t}=\left(A_{t}^{y}\right)^{*} q(t), \quad q(0)=p_{0} \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution in $L_{2}\left([0, T], H^{1}\right) \cap C\left([0, T], L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$. Here $p_{0}$ is the density of the initial distribution $\pi$. It then follows from the preceding results that

$$
\sigma_{t}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{a}} f(x) e^{y(t) h(x)} q(t, x) d x
$$

and hence that the conditional density of $x_{t}$ given $y_{t}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}^{y}(x)=\left[\int_{R^{d}} e^{y(t) h(z)} q(t, z) d z\right]^{-1} e^{y(t) h(x)} q(t, x) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (45), (46) constitute a recursive filter in the sense of (1), (2) and thus the programme for recursive filtering outlined in the Introduction is competely substantiated in this case.

## Reflecting Diffusions

The results outlined above in Remark (44) extend to diffusions with boundary conditions, with the interesting feature that the observation sample path $y$ now appears in the boundary conditions specifying $\mathfrak{D}\left(A_{i}^{y}\right)$ as well as in the coefficients of $A_{i}^{y}$. Here we consider a class of reflecting diffusions, using results of Stroock and Varadhan [16] and Friedman [5]. Of course the results of preceding sections apply directly if $h \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$, but it is highly artificial to assume that $h$ satisfies the relevant boundary conditions, nor is this necessary for our basic stipulation that $h\left(x_{t}\right)$ be a semimartingale.

Let $G$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ defined by $G=\{x: \phi(x)>0\}$ for some $C^{2}$ function $\phi$, with boundary $\partial G=\{x: \phi(x)=0\}$. We denote $\bar{G}=G \cup \partial G . \tilde{v}(x)$ is the inward normal at $x \in \partial G$. The operator $\tilde{A}$ is given by (40) where $M=0$ and $a_{i j}(\cdot)$, $m($.$) are defined on \bar{G}$ and satisfy (41) (i), (ii). The conormal vector field $v$ is now
defined by

$$
v_{i}(x)=\sum_{j} a_{i j}(x) \tilde{v}_{j}(x), \quad x \in \partial G
$$

According to [15], under these conditions there is, for each $x \in \bar{G}$, one and only one probability measure $P_{x}$ on $C([0, T] ; \bar{G})$ such that $P_{x}\left[x_{0}=x\right]=1$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{t}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{A} f\left(x_{s}\right) d s \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $P_{x}$-submartingale for all smooth functions $f$ satisfying ${ }^{3}$

$$
v(x) \nabla f(x) \geqq 0 \quad x \in \partial G .
$$

The corresponding process $x=\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ is a strong Markov process. Further, there exists a local time, i.e. an increasing continuous additive functional $\left\{\mathfrak{f}_{t}\right\}$, increasing only when $x_{t} \in \partial G$, such that for any function $f \in C^{2}(\bar{G})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}^{f}=f\left(x_{t}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{A} f\left(x_{s}\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t} v \nabla f\left(x_{s}\right) d \mathfrak{\digamma}_{s} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a continuous martingale. Calculations as in the proof of Lemma (22) show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle M^{f}, M^{g}\right\rangle_{T}=\int_{0}^{I} D f g\left(x_{s}\right) d s \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D f g(x)=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{j}} .
$$

Now suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \in C^{2}(\bar{G}) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $h\left(x_{t}\right)$ is (from (48)) a semimartingale, so that the m.f. $\alpha_{t}^{s}$ of (8) is welldefined. Using (48) and (49), we find that $\alpha_{t}=\alpha_{t}^{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \alpha_{t}^{s}=\alpha_{t}^{s}\left(\frac{1}{2} y^{2}(t) D h h-y(t) \tilde{A} h-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}\right) d t-\alpha_{t}^{s} y(t) \nu \nabla h d \tilde{f}_{t}-\alpha_{t}^{s} y(t) d M_{i}^{h} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $y \in C[0, T]$, let $\mathfrak{D}^{y}$ be the set of real-valued continuous functions $f$ on $\Xi=[0, T] \times \bar{G}$ such that $f$ is $C^{1}$ in $t$ and $C^{2}$ in $x$ in the interior of $\Xi$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \nabla f(t, x)=[\nu \nabla h(x) y(t)] f(t, x) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in] 0, T\left[\times \partial G\right.$. Now calculate $f\left(t, x_{t}\right) \alpha_{t}^{s}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}^{y}$, using (48) and (51). This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(t, x_{t}\right) \alpha_{t}^{s}= & f\left(s, x_{s}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} \alpha_{u}^{s}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}+A_{u}^{y} f\right)\left(u, x_{u}\right) d u  \tag{53}\\
& +\int_{s}^{t} \alpha_{u}^{s}\left(d M_{u}^{f}-f\left(u, x_{s}\right) y(u) d M_{u}^{h}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

3 Juxtaposition denotes inner product, so that $v \nabla f=\sum_{i} v_{i}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(x)$
where $A_{t}^{y}$ is given by (42) with $M=0$. According to Definition (31) this says that $A_{t}^{y}$ is the extended generator of $T_{s, y}^{y}$, acting on $\mathfrak{D}^{y}$, and is enough to establish the connection between the filtering problem and the following parabolic equation with mixed boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} u(s, x)+A_{s}^{y} u(s, x) & =0, & & (s, x) \in[0, t[\times G \\
u(t, x) & =g(x), & & x \in \bar{G}  \tag{54}\\
v(x) u_{x}(s, x)+b(s, x) u(s, x) & =0, & & (s, x) \in[0, t[\times \partial G .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $b(s, x)=-y(s) v(x) \nabla h(x)$.
(55) Theorem. Suppose the coefficients of $A$ satisfy conditions (41) (i), (ii) and in addition $a_{i j}$ is continuously differentiable, for each $i, j$. Then (54) has a unique continuously differentiable solution u for any $g \in C^{2}(\bar{G})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, x)=T_{0, t}^{y} g(x) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}}^{y}$ is defined by (9) above.
Proof. Equation (54) is, in the terminology of Friedman [5], a second initialboundary problem and the existence and uniqueness follow, under the stated conditions, from Theorem 5.2 (and corollaries) of [5]. Now, $u(s, x)$ satisfies (52) and hence from formula (53) we see that

$$
u(0, x)=E_{x}\left[\alpha_{t}^{0} g\left(x_{t}\right)\right]
$$

But this is equivalent to (56).
(57) Remark. Suppose the initial distribution $\pi$ has a density function $p_{0}$. Then from (10) and (56)

$$
\sigma_{t}(f)=\int_{G} u(0, x) p_{0}(x) d x
$$

where $u$ is the solution of (54) with $g(x)=e^{y(t) h(x)} f(x)$. Under additional smoothness conditions on the coefficients of $A$ we can derive the corresponding forward equation, as in Remark (44) above.

Acknowledgements. I am indebted to a referee for some very helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper. I would also like to thank Professor S.K. Mitter for extensive discussions of nonlinear filtering theory and for his hospitality at MIT where some of this work was carried out.

## References

1. Benveniste, A., Jacod, J.: Systèmes de Lévy des processus de Markov. Invent. Math. 21, 183-198 (1973)
2. Clark, J.M.C.: The design of robust approximations to the stochastic differential equations of nonlinear filtering, in Communication Systems and Random Process Theory, ed. J.K. Skwirzynski. NATO Advanced Study Institute Series. Alphen aan den Rijn: Sijthoff and Noordhoff 1978
3. Davis, M.H.A.: A pathwise solution of the equations of nonlinear filtering; to appear in Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.
4. Davis, M.H.A.: Pathwise solutions and multiplicative functionals in nonlinear filtering. Proc. 18th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, 1979
5. Friedman, A.: Partial differential equations of parabolic type. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall 1964
6. Jacod, J.: Calcul Stochastique et Problèmes de Martingales. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 714. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1979
7. Kallianpur, G., Striebel, C.: Estimation of stochastic processes: arbitrary system process with additive white noise observation errors. Ann. Math. Statist. 39. 785-801 (1968)
8. Kunita, H., Watanabe, S.: On square integrable martingales. Nagoya Math. J. 30, 209-245 (1967)
9. Kushner, H.J.: A robust discrete state approximation to the optimal nonlinear filter for a diffusion. Stochastics 3, 75-83 (1979)
10. Liptser, R.S., Shiryaev, A.N.: Statistics of Random Processes I. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1977
11. Meyer: P.A.: Integrales stochastiques IV, in Séminaire de Probabilités I. Lectures Notes in Mathematics 39. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1967
12. Meyer, P.A.: Transformation des processus de Markov, in Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de SaintFlour III. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 390, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1974
13. Pardoux, E.: Backward and forward stochastic partial differential equations associated with a nonlinear filtering problem. Proc. 18th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, 1979
14. Stroock, D.W.: Diffusion processes associated with Lévy generators. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 32, 209-244 (1975)
15. Stroock, D.W., Varadhan, S.R.S.: Diffusion processes with boundary conditions. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 24, 147-226 (1971)
16. Watanabe, S.: On discontinuous additive functionals and Lévy measures of Markov process. Japan J. Math. 34, 53-70 (1964)
17. Zakai, M.: On the optimal filtering of diffusion processes. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 11, 230-243 (1969)

Received January 28, 1980; in revised form July 29, 1980


[^0]:    * Work partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (Contract ET-76-C-01-2295) at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology
    1 For notational simplicity; the results below extend easily to the case of vector observations

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. $x$ is a homogeneous strong Markov process with quasi-left-continuous paths. and there exists a measure $v$ on $(S, \mathscr{P})$ such that every $\lambda$-excessive function which is 0 a.e. $(d v)$ is identically zero, for any $\lambda>0$

