

Acute painful lumbosacral paresthesia after intrathecal rituximab

J. E. C. Bromberg · J. K. Doorduijn ·
J. W. Baars · G. W. van Imhoff · R. Enting ·
M. J. van den Bent

Received: 18 May 2011/Revised: 11 July 2011/Accepted: 14 July 2011/Published online: 10 August 2011
© The Author(s) 2011. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Dear Sirs,

Central nervous system (CNS) recurrence of systemic aggressive B-cell lymphoma carries poor prognosis with median survival of 2–4 months and less than 10% 1-year survival after treatment with standard-dose chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy [1, 2]. Aiming to improve on these treatment results, we designed a phase II study to evaluate an intensive protocol including myeloablative treatment in CNS recurrence of systemic B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL). This study (HOVON 80, Netherlands Trial Register, no. 1757) is an ongoing phase II study on the feasibility and efficacy of R-DHAP + HD-MTX (dexamethasone 40 mg days 1–4, cisplatin 100 mg/m² day 1, cytarabine 2 × 2 g/m² day 2, rituximab 375 mg/m²

day 5, methotrexate 3 g/m² day 15), followed by autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with recurrent aggressive B-cell lymphoma with CNS localization. Rituximab is a chimeric anti-CD-20 antibody which has radically changed prospects for patients with systemic B-cell lymphoma [3, 4]. However, after intravenous administration it does not penetrate the blood–brain barrier well, and it has been postulated that intrathecal administration may improve results of treatment of leptomeningeal lymphoma [5, 6]. We therefore incorporated intrathecal rituximab into the protocol.

The patients we report herein were all treated according to protocol with systemic R-DHAP-MTX as described above, for three 28-day cycles. Additionally, intrathecal rituximab, containing no preservatives and without concurrent other agents, was administered via lumbar puncture after premedication with paracetamol 1,000 mg on days –1, 4, 8, 11, and 21 in the first cycle, four times in the second cycle, and three times in the third cycle. The first administration in each patient consisted of 10 mg rituximab; in subsequent administrations the dose was increased to 25 mg provided no toxicity had occurred. This dosing was based on prior publications [7, 8]. None of our patients experienced side-effects after the first intrathecal administration of rituximab, except for a minor sensation of pressure in the sacral area in one patient. However, after the first administration of 25 mg rituximab, 2 of the first 12 treated patients reported extremely painful paresthesia in the buttocks, legs, and feet immediately after administration, lasting 30–60 min. There were no neurologic deficits at the time, nor on follow-up, but blood pressure increased temporarily. After these adverse events the protocol was amended to dilution of rituximab in 0.9% saline to 5 mg/ml and additional premedication with antihistamines. The subsequently treated, 13th, patient suffered identical symptoms despite

J. E. C. Bromberg (✉) · M. J. van den Bent
Department of Neuro-Oncology, Daniel den Hoed Cancer
Center, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam,
PO Box 5201, 3008 AE Rotterdam, The Netherlands
e-mail: j.bromberg@erasmusmc.nl

J. K. Doorduijn
Department of Hematology, Daniel den Hoed Cancer Center,
Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam,
PO Box 5201, 3008 AE Rotterdam, The Netherlands

J. W. Baars
Department of Medical Oncology, The Netherlands Cancer
Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Amsterdam,
The Netherlands

G. W. van Imhoff
Department of Hematology, University Medical Center
Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

R. Enting
Department of Neuro-Oncology, University Medical Center
Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of affected patients

Patient	1	2	3
Age (years)	49	48	58
CSF cellularity before i.t. rituximab	$16 \times 10^6/l$	$0.7 \times 10^6/l$	$8.7 \times 10^6/l$
CSF lymphoma by cytology and/or flow cytometry	+	–	Not available/unevaluable
CSF cellularity after i.t. rituximab (4 days)	$5 \times 10^6/l$	$1.7 \times 10^6/l$	Not done
Best result of treatment	CRu	CR	CRu
Survival after AE (months)	8	>26	5.5

CRu Complete response unconfirmed, CR complete response, CSF cerebrospinal fluid, AE adverse event

the adapted protocol. Clinical characteristics of the patients are given in Table 1. The pain resolved completely in all patients within a few hours. However, they all refused further treatment with intrathecal rituximab, and further intrathecal therapy was changed to methotrexate, which was administered uneventfully. The rituximab dose in the protocol was subsequently reduced to 10 mg per administration, diluted as described above and combined with 4 mg intrathecal dexamethasone. Twelve additional patients were thus treated, and no further incidents of painful radiculopathy have occurred.

In a phase I study investigating intraventricular/intrathecal rituximab, the maximum tolerated dose was found to be 25 mg; at 50 mg, grade III hypertension was the dose-limiting toxicity and one of two patients thus treated additionally experienced transient diplopia, nausea, and vomiting [7]. A painful radiculopathy was described after intrathecal administration of 25 mg via lumbar puncture in one patient; the majority, however, had been treated intraventricularly. Antonini described one patient treated with 40 mg intrathecal rituximab in whom transient headache, cramps, back pain, and leg weakness occurred [9]. Schulz described six patients treated with 10–40 mg undiluted intra-CSF rituximab for CNS lymphoma, two of them via lumbar puncture; one of them suffered transient severe back pain and paraparesis during the first intrathecal administration of rituximab (25 mg) [8]. In this patient a high tumor load was present in the CSF and the authors assumed a tumor lysis syndrome. However, in none of our patients was high CSF cellularity present, making tumor lysis an unlikely explanation.

Both acute and subacute toxicities have been observed after intrathecal treatment with chemotherapeutic agents [10–13]. For methotrexate as well as (sustained-release) cytarabine an acute, reversible aseptic meningitis with fever, headache, backache, nausea, and vomiting has been described. Symptoms begin several hours after administration and can be prevented with oral or intrathecal dexamethasone in the majority of patients [10, 11]. An inflammatory reaction is a likely cause for the aseptic meningitis after methotrexate and cytarabine, but in

rituximab the occurrence during or immediately after administration make an inflammatory reaction unlikely. We postulate a direct interaction with spinal nervous structures (radices?) as the most likely explanation for this adverse reaction to rituximab.

This very painful, though completely transient, adverse effect of intrathecal rituximab precludes intrathecal administration of higher doses via lumbar puncture. It has not been described after intraventricular administration, despite the fact that considerably more patients have been treated with rituximab via this route.

Acknowledgments The rituximab used in the study was provided free of charge by Roche. Financial support for the HOVON 80 study was obtained from the Dutch Cancer Society, project no. 2006-11. J.K.D. reports receiving travel grants from Roche.

Conflicts of interest Dr. Bromberg reports no conflicts of interest other than the above. Dr. Baars reports no conflicts of interest. Dr. van Imhoff reports no conflicts of interest. Dr. Enting reports no conflicts of interest. Dr. van den Bent has performed consultancy services for Roche.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

1. Bollen EL, Brouwer RE, Hamers S, Hermans J, Kluin M, Sankatsing SU, Tjak RV, Charvat MV, Kluin-Nelemans JC (1997) Central nervous system relapse in non-Hodgkin lymphoma. A single-center study of 532 patients. *Arch Neurol* 54:854–859
2. Recht L, Straus DJ, Cirrincione C, Thaler HT, Posner JB (1988) Central nervous system metastases from non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: treatment and prophylaxis. *Am J Med* 84:425–435
3. Pfreundschuh M, Schubert J, Ziepert M, Schmits R, Mohren M, Lengfelder E, Reiser M, Nickenig C, Clemens M, Peter N, Bokemeyer C, Eimermacher H, Ho A, Hoffmann M, Mertelsmann R, Trumper L, Balleisen L, Liersch R, Metzner B, Hartmann F, Glass B, Poeschel V, Schmitz N, Ruebe C, Feller AC, Loeffler M (2008) Six versus eight cycles of bi-weekly CHOP-14 with or without rituximab in elderly patients with aggressive CD20 + B-cell lymphomas: a randomised controlled trial (RICOVER-60). *Lancet Oncol* 9:105–116

4. Pfreundschuh M, Trumper L, Osterborg A, Pettengell R, Trneny M, Imrie K, Ma D, Gill D, Walewski J, Zinzani PL, Stahel R, Kvaloy S, Shpilberg O, Jaeger U, Hansen M, Lehtinen T, Lopez-Guillermo A, Corrado C, Scheliga A, Milpied N, Mendila M, Rashford M, Kuhnt E, Loeffler M (2006) CHOP-like chemotherapy plus rituximab versus CHOP-like chemotherapy alone in young patients with good-prognosis diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma: a randomised controlled trial by the MabThera International Trial (MInT) Group. *Lancet Oncol* 7:379–391
5. Rubenstein JL, Combs D, Rosenberg J, Levy A, McDermott M, Damon L, Ignoffo R, Aldape K, Shen A, Lee D, Grillo-Lopez A, Shuman MA (2003) Rituximab therapy for CNS lymphomas: targeting the leptomeningeal compartment. *Blood* 101:466–468
6. Shah GD, Yahalom J, Correa DD, Lai RK, Raizer JJ, Schiff D, LaRocca R, Grant B, Deangelis LM, Abrey LE (2007) Combined immunochemotherapy with reduced whole-brain radiotherapy for newly diagnosed primary CNS lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 25:4730–4735
7. Rubenstein JL, Fridlyand J, Abrey L, Shen A, Karch J, Wang E, Issa S, Damon L, Prados M, McDermott M, O'Brien J, Haqq C, Shuman M (2007) Phase I study of intraventricular administration of rituximab in patients with recurrent CNS and intraocular lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 25:1350–1356
8. Schulz H, Pels H, Schmidt-Wolf I, Zeelen U, Germing U, Engert A (2004) Intraventricular treatment of relapsed central nervous system lymphoma with the anti-CD20 antibody rituximab. *Haematologica* 89:753–754
9. Antonini G, Cox MC, Montefusco E, Ferrari A, Conte E, Morino S, Latino P, Trasimeni G, Monarca B (2007) Intrathecal anti-CD20 antibody: an effective and safe treatment for leptomeningeal lymphoma. *J Neurooncol* 81:197–199
10. Nelson RW, Frank JT (1981) Intrathecal methotrexate-induced neurotoxicities. *Am J Hosp Pharm* 38:65–68
11. Kim S, Chatelut E, Kim JC, Howell SB, Cates C, Kormanik PA, Chamberlain MC (1993) Extended CSF cytarabine exposure following intrathecal administration of DTC 101. *J Clin Oncol* 11:2186–2193
12. Jabbour E, O'Brien S, Kantarjian H, Garcia-Manero G, Ferrajoli A, Ravandi F, Cabanillas M, Thomas DA (2007) Neurologic complications associated with intrathecal liposomal cytarabine given prophylactically in combination with high-dose methotrexate and cytarabine to patients with acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood* 109:3214–3218
13. Ostermann K, Pels H, Kowoll A, Kuhnhen J, Schlegel U (2011) Neurologic complications after intrathecal liposomal cytarabine in combination with systemic polychemotherapy in primary CNS lymphoma. *J Neurooncol* 103:635–640