. Review article

Granulocytic colony-stimulating factors in the management of patients with acute myeloid leukemia

H. Dombret

Service Clinique des Maladies du Sang, Hôpital Saint-Louis, 1, avenue Claude Vellefaux, F-75010 Paris, France

Received April 12, 1996 / Accepted April 15, 1996

Key words: Acute myeloid leukemia - Granulocyte colony-stimulation factor - Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor

Abstract

In the early stages of the development of granulocytic colony-stimulating factors (G-CSF and GM-CSF) in oncology and hematology, myeloid malignancies were considered to be a contraindication to their use. In fact, myeloid leukemic cells bear specific receptors for G-CSF and GM-CSF and these CSFs induce an *in vitro* proliferation in primary blast cells of most patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML). In addition, autocrine or paracrine loops of stimulation have been demonstrated in some cases. Despite these theoretical risks of blast proliferation, G-CSF and GM-CSF have been extensively tested in patients with AML or myelodysplastic syndromes. Major objectives were the correction of acquired or chemotherapy-induced neutropenia, but also the reinforcement of the antileukemic efficacy of cytotoxic agents. Recently, G-CSF has also been used to mobilize hematopoietic progenitors in the peripheral blood. Major results of several double-blind clinical trials are the demonstration of the safety of CSF administration in these patients, since no risk of *in vivo* blast cell regrowth has been observed, and their efficacy to shorten the duration of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. However, no significant reduction in the treatment-related mortality and no survival improvement were afforded by the use of these CSFs. From another point of view, the search for AML-specific CSF-receptor or CSF-receptor associated molecule abnormalities represents a new promising area to try to understand the mechanisms of leukemogenesis.

In the early stages of the development of granulocytic colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) in hematology, myeloid malignancies were considered to be a contraindication to their use owing to the risk of inducing the proliferation of malignant myeloid cells.

Paradoxically, despite this theoretical risk, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) and granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) have been extensively tested in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or acute myeloid leukemia (AML).

Two factors, directly related to the nature of these diseases and the toxicity of the drugs employed to treat the patients, may explain this rapid development. First, the period of neutropenia induced by intensive courses of induction and consolidation in AML patients may be as long as four to six weeks and responsible for a significant number of deaths from infection, especially in patients of advanced age. Secondly, the stimulation of malignant cell growth by these CSFs, which could be seen as a limiting factor, can also be considered as a characteristic facilitating combined therapies designed to improve the antileukemic efficacy of cytotoxic agents.

The aim of this review is to provide an updated summary of results obtained with G-CSF and GM-CSF in AML clinical trials.

AML cells and granulocytic colony-stimulating factors: pre-clinical studies

CSF-induced cell proliferation

AML cells express high affinity surface receptors for G-CSF and GM-CSF. The quantity and affinity of these receptors on the surface of primary leukemic cells from most AML patients are similar to those of receptors found on normal myeloid progenitors [4, 17, 18, 23, 45, 60, 61, 65, 68, 70].

The responsiveness of AML cells from different patients to CSFs has been reported extensively, either with respect to a single type of CSF or with different combinations [27].

Proliferative responsiveness was evaluated using ³H-TdR incorporation in a liquid suspension culture [69], and by blast cell colony formation after short-term and long-term cultures [14, 43, 59, 69, 71, 86]. In summary, these reports produced the following observations:

- The spontaneous *in vitro* proliferation of AML blasts and their growth response to each CSF exhibit high case-to-case variations.

- The number and affinity of receptors for granulocytic CSFs on the AML cell surface is not *per se* predictive of proliferation in the presence of exogenous CSFs.

- AML cell response to GM-CSF appears to be more frequent than a response to G-CSF [59, 69, 86]. *In vitro* exposure of these cells to GM-CSF gives rise to proliferation in about 75% of the cases.

- There is no clear relationship between *in vitro* proliferative responsiveness and AML subtypes in the French-American-British classification or AML cell surface phenotypes.

In addition, primary AML cells express and secrete active CSFs and cytokines including G-CSF, GM-CSF, macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), interleukin-1b (IL-1beta), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF-alpha) [55]. This expression is generally increased after the *in vitro* treatment of AML cells with IL-1beta or TNF-alpha [52].

However, whether an autocrine loop of stimulation has a role in the development of leukemia remains an open question. The presence and level of autocrine stimulation is variable in AML patients.

Four groups have been identified by the Nottingham group, based upon their proliferative *in vitro* characteristics [14, 71]: 1) cells which fail to proliferate spontaneously or in response to CSFs; 2) cells which proliferate only in the presence of exogenous CSFs; 3 and 4) cells which show an autonomous proliferation, that can be enhanced by exogenous CSFs in Group 3 cells, but not in Group 4 cells which proliferate in a CSF-independent manner. The clinical relevance of this classification for disease prognosis and outcome is yet to be determined.

Finally, the presence and level of autonomous growth has been correlated with the ability of AML blasts to produce GM-CSF and IL-1beta. However, this IL-1beta dependent loop of stimulation may be regulated by paracrine production of IL-1beta by more differentiated cells.

CSF-induced cell differentiation and apoptosis

Several observations suggested that some AML patients may benefit from granulocytic CSF therapy because of an *in vivo* CSF-induced differentiation effect and/or through an *in vivo* CSF-induced apoptosis of the leukemic cells:

- In certain murine or human AML cell lines and clones, maturation towards terminally differentiated myeloid cells, without a capacity for self-renewal, can be induced by interleukin-3 (IL-3), GM-CSF, and G-CSF [5, 49, 50, 56].

- Longer survival was reported among SJL mice with myeloid leukemia treated by multiple courses of cyclophosphamide followed by G-CSF as compared to control mice treated without G-CSF [52].

- Prolonged survival associated with the induction of apoptosis in the leukemic cells was also observed after G-CSF therapy in C3H/He mice inoculated with AML cells [7, 8].

- It has also been reported that apoptosis may occur in growth factor-dependent AML clones maintained in culture by addition of exogenous growth factors, as well as in normal myeloid precursors, after the withdrawal of exogenous growth factors [51].

Unfortunately, the terminal maturation resulting in non-dividing differentiated cells may not be initiated in most human primary AML cells, even when CSFs are used in combination or with all-trans retinoic acid [52]. There have only been a few reports of complete or partial remissions induced by G-CSF or GM-CSF used as the sole antileukemic agent in AML patients [3, 81, 42]. Nevertheless, two AML subtypes might be particularly sensitive to a CSF-induced differentiation signal:

- A possible *in vivo* differentiation of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) cells by G-CSF therapy was reported for one APL patient treated with G-CSF alone [84]. In addition, the differentiation of APL cells induced by all-trans retinoic acid is accelerated in the presence of G-CSF *in vitro*.

- *In vitro*, G-CSF induces the granulocytic differentiation of AML cells carrying the t(8;21) chromosomal translocation [82]. Enhancement of the spontaneous granulocytic maturation of these leukemic cells by G-CSF therapy has also been observed *in vivo*, in AML patients carrying the t(8;21) translocation [31].

Rationale for the use of combined CSF, CSF/cytotoxic, or CSF/inhibitor therapy

Preclinical data demonstrated synergistic effects of various hematopoietic growth factors including stem cell factor (SCF), IL-3, GM-CSF, G-CSF, IL-1beta, IL-6, and erythropoietin (EPO) on primitive hematopoietic progenitors [58]. Similar synergistic effects have been reported using G-CSF + GM-CSF, IL-3 + GM-CSF, IL-3 + G-CSF, or IL-3 + G-CSF + GM-CSF combinations on AML cells [69, 86]. These combinations of growth factors may be of potential value in future clinical trials.

To date, the most widely tested combination in AML patients has been the simultaneous administration of CSF and cytosine arabinoside (Ara-C), in order to improve the antileukemic activity of Ara-C alone. Cytosine arabinoside is one of the principal cytotoxic drugs employed in AML therapy. This and other agents are effective in actively cycling cells. However, certain leukemic precursors belong to a non-cycling leukemic population and may escape the cell death induced by these drugs.

Although patient-to-patient heterogeneity is high, a relatively low percentage of leukemic blasts is found in the S or G2 + M phases of the cell cycle (about 5 to 10%). The recruitment of quiescent leukemic cells into the cell cycle by granulocytic CSFs may thus enhance Ara-C cytotoxicity against AML cells. Such an effect was observed *in vitro* with G-CSF, GM-CSF, and IL-3, and was stronger in AML cells from newly diagnosed patients than in those from relapsed or refractory patients [79-80].

Enhancement of Ara-C cytotoxicity induced by G-CSF or GM-CSF ± IL-3 administration appeared to be lower in normal myeloid progenitors as compared to leukemic precursors [10, 79].

As there is no clear correlation between the CSF-induced increase in the proliferative activity of leukemic precursors and the enhancement of Ara-C cytotoxicity, other mechanisms must be involved. It has been shown that GM-CSF also increases the level of the intracellular Ara-CTP metabolite in most AML cases and stimulates the activity of DNA polymerases, which are essential for DNA nucleotide incorporation [91]. Several antileukemic drugs, including nitrogen mustards, cisplatin, topoisomerase II inhibitors, mitoxantrone, Ara-C and fludarabine, have been reported to induce apoptosis in malignant cells. Among these drugs, Ara-C and fludarabine have been shown to cause apoptosis in AML cells [37, 83]. Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that G-CSF may potentiate Ara-C or Ara-C + fludarabine-induced AML cell apoptosis [11, 83]. A similar effect has also been reported with the GM-CSF/IL-3 fusion protein [12].

On the other hand, enhancement of the myelopoietic response to GM-CSF administered after cytosine arabinoside (Ara-C) chemotherapy was observed in mice which had previously received a negative hematopoietic regulator which protected the stem cell compartment during chemotherapy [13].

Clinical applications

Given these preclinical data, granulocytic CSFs were initially administered after chemotherapy in high-risk AML patients only, because of the potential for *in vivo* stimulation of residual AML cells. The principal objectives were to reduce the duration of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia, the incidence of severe infections and early mortality following the induction course of chemotherapy.

Investigators rapidly realized that it might also be possible to test these growth factors as direct antileukemic agents: by providing a proliferative stimulus for leukemic cells, resulting in their recruitment into the cell cycle prior to the initiation of chemotherapy regimens containing Ara-C; and by providing a differentiating stimulus and/or inducing apoptosis of leukemic cells.

On the other hand, G-CSF and GM-CSF may be used in AML patients after achieving a complete remission (CR) for peripheral blood progenitor cell (PBPC) mobilization prior to therapeutic intensification and autotransplantation.

Correction of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia

In 1990, Ohno et al published the results of the first Japanese randomized, controlled study of G-CSF administered after the completion of induction chemotherapy in a heterogeneous population of patients with refractory or relapsed acute leukemia [62]. Each patient received an individualized, response-oriented induction course of mitoxantrone, etoposide, and behenoylcytosine arabinoside. Mitoxantrone and occasionally etoposide doses were increased in cases of persistent blast cells in the bone marrow examination on Day 8, Day 10, and sometimes Day 12. Only patients achieving a severely hypoplastic bone marrow after chemotherapy were randomized to receive G-CSF or placebo. Even though patients treated with G-CSF received higher doses of chemotherapy than those treated with placebo, their neutrophil counts recovered significantly earlier to a level higher than 500/mm³ or 1000/mm³. The duration of neutropenia was decreased by about one week. The incidence of documented infections was significantly lower in the G-CSF group. There was no difference between the two treatment groups in terms of leukemic regrowth with G-CSF/placebo therapy. Furthermore, there was a trend towards a higher CR rate in the G-CSF group (50% compared to 36% in the placebo group; P = 0.16). Remission durations were similar in both groups. The safety of GM-CSF administration after induction therapy has also been reported in poor-prognosis AML patients by the MD Anderson Group [32].

In 1991, Büchner et al reported the results of a comparative study of GM-CSF following induction therapy in thirty elderly or relapsed AML patients [15]. GM-CSF was only administered in patients with an aplastic bone marrow after the completion of chemotherapy. A historical control group of similar patients who had not received GM-CSF was used for comparison. The duration of neutropenia was reduced by 6 to 9 days in patients treated with GM-CSF. The early death rate was significantly reduced (14 vs 39%) and there was a trend towards more complete remissions in the GM-CSF group (50 vs 32%). Remission durations were identical in both groups. Two patients experienced marked leukemic regrowth with GM-CSF therapy; however, this was totally reversible in one of them once GM-CSF had been discontinued. Since these early promising reports, several large prospective, randomized, controlled trials have assessed the efficacy of G-CSF [30, 36, 40, 48, 16, 39, 53, 54, 73, 77, 90, 92] in newly diagnosed AML patients (Tables 1 and 2). Some of these studies only randomized older patients [30, 36, 53, 73, 77, 90].

| Reference Year | CSF | Modalities of CSF administration | N evaluable patients | Median age (proto <i>c</i> ol range) 49 years (15-75) | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| Büchner (16) 1994 | <i>E. col</i> i-derived GM-CSF | before, during and after courses 1 to 5 | 96 | | |
| Zittoun (92) 1994 | E. cali-derived GM-CSF | various, after the 1st course (4 treatment arms*) | 102 | NA (15-60) | |
| Witz (90) | <i>E. coli</i> -derived | during and after | 232 | 67 years | |
| 1995 | GM-CSF | the 1st course | | (55-75) | |
| Heil (39) | E. coli-derived | before, during | 80 | 50 years | |
| 1995-1 | GM-CSF | and after courses 2 to 4 | | (15-75) | |
| Stone (77) | <i>E. col</i> i-derived | after | 388 | 69 years | |
| 1995 | GM-CSF | the 1 st course | | (>60) | |
| Löwenberg (53) | E. coli-derived | during and after | 316 | 68 years | |
| 1995-1 | GM-CSF | courses 1 and 2 | | (>60) | |
| Löwenberg [54] 1995-2 | E. cali-derived GM-CSF | various, after courses 1 to 3 (4 treatment arms*) | 253 | 42 years (18-60) | |
| Rowe (73) | Yeast-derived | after | 117 | 64 years | |
| 1995 | GM-CSF | courses 1 and 2 | | (55-70) | |
| Heil (40) | Non-glycosylated | after | 521 | NA | |
| 1995-2 | G-CSF | courses 1, 2, and ± 3 | | (>15) | |
| Godwin (36) | Non-glycosylated | after | 193 | 66 years | |
| 1995 | G-CSF | courses 1 and 2 | | (>55) | |
| Dombret [30] | Glycosylated | after | 173 | 71 years | |
| 1995 | G-CSF | the 1 st course | | (>65) | |
| Link (48) 1996 | Gly <i>c</i> osylated G-CSF | after 1 to 4 courses | 103 | NA | |

Table 1

Randomized trials with granulo cytic colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) in patients with a cute myelogenous leukemia: trials and patients characteristics

* These EORTC-GIMEMA and HOVON-4A trials comprised four groups of randomization (no GM-CSF, GM-CSF after chemotherapy, GM-CSF before and during chemotherapy, GM-CSF before, during and after chemotherapy). The comparisons between the two groups without GM-CSF after the chemotherapy and the two groups with GM-CSF after the chemotherapy are indicated.

NA, not available

| Reference Year | Duration of neutropenia | Incidence of infections | Mortality | CR rate | DFS, EFS | Survival |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Büchner (16) 1994 | 1equced, p | NA | similar | similar (81 % vs 84 %) | no change | NA |
| Zittoun (92) 1994 | NA | similar | similar | decreased (47% vs 75%) | decreased ^e | NA |
| Witz (90) 1995 | reduced | similar | similar | similar (62% vs 61%) | increased | similar |
| Heil (39) 1995-1 | similar ^b | similar | similar | similar (81 % vs 79%) | similar | similar |
| Stone (77) 1995 | reduced | NA | similar | similar (51 % vs 54 %) | NA | similar |
| Löwenberg (53) 1995-1 | reduced | similar | similar | similar (56% vs 55%) | similar | similar |
| Löwenberg [54] 1995-2 | reduced | NA | similar | similar (77% vs 77%) | similar | similar |
| Rowe (73) 1995 | reduced | decreased ⁴ | similar | similar (60% vs 44%) | similar | NA |
| Heil(40) 1995-2 | reduced | NA | similar | similar (69% vs 68%) | similar | similar |
| Godwin (36) 1995 | reduced | similar | NA | similar (42% vs 49%) | NA | similar |
| Dombret [30] 1995 | reduced | similar | similar | increased (70% vs 47%) | similar | similar |
| Link (48) 1996 | reduced | similar | similar | similar (62.5% vs 47%) | NA | NA |

Table 2

Randomized trials with granulocytic colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia: results

* The reduction in the duration of neutropenia was observed after the first course of chemotherapy only

^b A prolongation in the duration of thrombocytopenia was observed in these two studies including multiple courses of chemotherapy with GM-CSF

^c The increase in DFS was mainly observed in 55-65 year old patients

^d A reduction in the incidence of grade 4/5 infections only was observed

* Only median comparisons are available, showing longer median DFS and median survival in the GM-CSF group CR, complete remission; DFS, disease-free survival; EFS, event-free survival; NA, not available

<u>Certain investigators restricted CSF administration to patients with a documented aplastic bone marrow after</u> <u>the induction course [36, 73]</u>, as used in early Japanese and German reports. In contrast, the growth factor was administered not only after the completion of chemotherapy, but also during and occasionally before the chemotherapy in some studies using GM-CSF [16, 39, 53, 90, 92].

Growth factor administration was sometimes repeated during consolidation therapy [16, 36, 40, 48, 53, 54, 73], or even limited to consolidation therapy [39].

Results (<u>Table 2</u>) have been described as disappointing, since no clear reduction in chemotherapy-related mortality was observed and overall survival was not significantly improved [<u>38</u>]. Furthermore, remissions continued to be of very short duration in elderly AML patients [<u>30, 77</u>].

Several important observations should, however, be noted and encourage further studies:

1) The period of neutropenia induced by the induction course was consistently reduced after the induction course, sometimes by as much as a week, although not after the consolidation courses [16, 39, 73]. On the contrary, an increase in the duration of thrombocytopenia was even observed after consolidation courses in the two studies with GM-CSF administered before, during, and after multiple courses of chemotherapy [16,

<u>39</u>].

2) No significant induction of leukemic regrowth was observed with either G-CSF or GM-CSF. Complete remission rates were similar or higher in the CSF-randomized groups than in the control groups in all studies but one, which was reported by the EORTC-GIMEMA Cooperative Group [92]. In that study, GM-CSF administration after the completion of induction chemotherapy and continued until myeloid recovery, was associated with lower CR rates and event-free survival (EFS), which was not due to a higher chemotherapy-related death rate.

3) A highly significant increase in the CR rate was observed in the first trial using glycosylated G-CSF [30]. This increase did not result from a reduction in treatment-related mortality from infections, but rather from a lower incidence of resistant patients. Furthermore, the benefit of G-CSF administration was mainly observed in AML patients with poor prognostic characteristics, such as unfavorable cytogenetics or marrow blasts persisting after the completion of induction chemotherapy [30]. Interestingly, the first interim analysis of a second trial using the same CSF in similar settings also showed a trend towards a higher CR rate in the G-CSF group [48].

This observation suggests that G-CSF may contribute to the antileukemic effect of chemotherapy. The possible mechanisms remain unclear but include a growth advantage for the normal hematopoietic elements [42], a differentiation effect, an induction of residual leukemic cell apoptosis, or a cytokine-mediated effect. 4) A trend towards longer disease-free survival or overall survival was observed in some studies [16, 73, 90], including those with multiple CSF/chemotherapy courses [16, 73].

In addition, an interesting randomized placebo-controlled study from the Japanese Adult Leukemia Study Group using macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) in AML patients has been reported recently [64]. Macrophage colony-stimulating factor not only stimulates the production of mature monocyte-macrophages as well as their antibacterial and antifungal functions, but also induces the secretion of G-CSF, GM-CSF, IL-6, and IL-8 by stimulated cells. Human urinary M-CSF or placebo was administered after three courses of consolidation chemotherapy in 198 AML patients in first CR. Although no difference in DFS was observed between the two treatment groups, M-CSF significantly reduced the incidence and duration of febrile neutropenia and shortened the time required to complete the three courses of therapy.

Granulocytic colony-stimulating factor administration has also been recently reported after intensive chemotherapy in patients with MDS, AML evolving from prior MDS, and therapy-related AML [2, 6, 22, 34, 35, 87, 76].

High CR rates were observed [43, 22], but randomized trials are required to clearly evaluate the role of CSFs in this high-risk patient population, since similar CR rates have also been reported without the addition of any CSF [24, 25, 26, 57, 74].

One randomized study using GM-CSF before, during, and after sequential chemotherapy with intermediate dose Ara-C and mitoxantrone is ongoing in Germany [41]. Preliminary results of another randomized study have been recently reported showing a higher CR rate in the G-CSF group as compared to the placebo group (61% vs 48%, p=0.31) in 60 evaluable patients [66].

Increase in the response to cytotoxic therapy

A different approach has been adopted by several investigators in an attempt to improve the results of conventional chemotherapy. The *in vitro* sensitivity of AML cells to granulocytic CSFs has been used to test the hypothesis that GM-CSF administered before the initiation of the chemotherapy may recruit leukemic cells into the cell cycle and thereby maximize leukemic cell kill by cell cycle-active cytotoxic agents. In all Phase I/II trials, GM-CSF administration was started one or more days before chemotherapy and then discontinued during [47] or on completion of chemotherapy [21, 33], or even later [6, 9, 85].

Certain investigators combined GM-CSF administration and timed-sequential chemotherapy [1, 19, 29]. The use of timed-sequential therapy was based on the hypothesis that initial cytoreduction stimulates the growth and sensitivity of residual leukemic cells to cycle-active agents. The observed change in the *in vivo* growth of residual cells was correlated with a clinical response to therapy [44].

Overall, these studies produced the following results:

- An *in vivo* recruitment of AML cells into the drug-sensitive phases of the cell cycle was demonstrated using several methods, including the evaluation of CFU-L growth, ³H-TdR incorporation, Ara-CTP formation, and flow cytometric DNA/RNA, DNA/BrdU, or DNA/Ki67 content. An increase in the percentage of cells in S-phase

was clearly observed in some cases.

- Normal hematopoietic progenitors were probably not damaged by this combined therapy, since no increase in the duration of therapy-induced aplasia was observed.

- Decreases in CR rate and survival were reported when GM-CSF was administered for a long period prior to chemotherapy initiation [33], thus suggesting that caution should be exercised when applying this recruitment concept. This adverse outcome appeared to be less frequent with G-CSF [42].

Since these earlier reports, several randomized Phase III trials have been initiated to evaluate the effect of this CSF-induced recruitment of AML cells into the cell cycle. Some of these trials are still ongoing. Unfortunately, CSF administration has been continued after the completion of the chemotherapy in most studies [16, 39, 53, 63], so that few studies have evaluated the concept of recruitment as a single endpoint. To date, no significant difference in CR rate between treatment groups with or without attempted AML cell recruitment has been seen in two EORTC and HOVON-SAKK trials using GM-CSF administered according to a two-by-two randomization plan in newly-diagnosed AML patients [92, 54]. A French multicenter randomized trial is currently underway to assess GM-CSF administered between Days 4 and 8 only of a timed-sequential chemotherapy schedule in patients with resistant and relapsed AML.

PBPC autologous transplantation in AML patients

As in other malignancies, PBPC reinfusions have been used in AML patients after high-dose intensification therapy [46, 75], especially in older patients [20]. More recently, growth factor-primed PBPCs, shown to ensure earlier engraftment than marrow progenitor cells, have been used for autologous transplantation, with or without marrow progenitors, in AML patients and in patients with high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes [22, 28, 26, 88].

As unmanipulated CSF-primed PBPC may be contaminated by leukemic cells [67], major studies are needed to assess the risk of post-transplant AML relapse following PBPC reinfusion as well as the role of cell selection or purging in this setting.

Conclusion

The principal positive results obtained through the use of granulocytic growth factors in the treatment of AML are the safety of their use with respect to the risk of malignant clone stimulation and the reduction in the duration of neutropenia induced by the cytotoxic agents employed for treatment. However, no clear results have been obtained to date concerning any improvement in the survival of AML patients.

One research option could be to define patient subgroups most likely to benefit from the administration of CSFs. In fact, it is possible that the discordant results obtained in published randomized trials are due to differences in the profile of patients treated.

A second research option could be to test either the combined use of several growth factors or the sequential use of hematopoiesis-inhibiting factors and CSFs. Such combinations might achieve higher reductions in the duration of chemotherapy-induced neutropenias and consequently an improvement in rates of mortality from infections.

References

1. Archimbaud E, Fenaux P, Reiffers J, et al (1993) Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor in association to timed-sequential chemotherapy with Mitoxantrone, Etoposide, and Cytarabine for refractory acute myelogenous leukemia. Leukemia 7 : 372-377

2. Baer MR, Christiansen NP, Frankel SR, et al (1993) High-dose cytarabine, idarubicin, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor remission induction therapy for previously untreated de novo and secondary adult acute myeloid leukemia. Semin Oncol 20 [Suppl 8] : 6-12

3. Bassan R, Rambaldi A, Amaru R, et al (1994) Unexpected remission of acute myeloid leukaemia after GM-CF. Br J Haematol 87 : 835-838 4. Begley CG, Metcalf D, Nicola MA (1987) Primary human myeloid leukemia cells: comparative responsiveness to proliferative stimulation by GM-CSF or G-CSF and membrane expression of CSF receptors. Leukemia 1 : 1-8

5. Begley CG, Metcalf D, Nicola NA (1987) Purified colony stimulating factors (G-CSF and GM-CSF) induce differentiation in human HL60 leukemic cells with suppression of clonogenicity. Int J Cancer 39 : 99-105

6. Bernell P, Kimby E, Hast R (1994) Recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in combination with standard induction chemotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia evolving from myelodysplastic syndromes: a pilot study. Leukemia 8 : 1631-1639

7. Bessho M, Susaki K, Hirashima K, et al (1989) Prolonged survival of mice with myeloid leukemia by subcutaneous injection of recombinant human G-CSF. Leuk Res 13 : 1001-1007

8. Bessho M, Yoshida S, Sakate K, et al (1994) Suppression of the development of murine myeloid leukemia with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor by inducing apoptosis of leukemic cells. Leukemia 8 : 1185-1190

9. Bettelheim P, Valent P, Andreff M, et al (1991) Recombinant human Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor in combination with standard induction chemotherapy in *de novo* acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 77 : 700-711

10. Bhalla K, Holladay C, Arlin Z, et al (1991) Treatment with interleukin-3 plus granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor improves the selectivity of Ara-C *in vitro* against acute myeloid leukemia blasts. Blood 78 : 2674-2679

11. Bhalla K, Ibrado AM, Holladay C, et al (1992) Effect of G-CSF on Ara-C induced programmed cell death or apoptosis in human myeloid leukemic cells. Proc Am Ass Cancer Res 33 : 263

12. Bhalla K, Tang C, Ibrado AM, et al (1992) Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor/interleukin-3 fusion protein (pIXY 321) enhances high-dose Ara-C-induced programmed cell death or apoptosis in human myeloid leukemia cells. Blood 8 : 2883-2890

13. Bogden AE, Moreau JP, Gamba-Vitalo C, et al (1995) Seraspenide (AcSDKP), a negative growth regulator, protects the stem cell compartment during chemotherapy enhancing the myelopoietic response to GM-CSF. Exp Hematol (in press)

14. Bradbury D, Rogers S, Reilly IAG, et al (1992) Role of autocrine and paracrine production of granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin-1b in the autonomous growth of acute myeloblastic leukaemia cells - Studies using purified CD34-positive cells. Leukemia 6 : 562-566

15. Büchner T, Hiddeman W, Koenigsmann M, et al (1991) Recombinant human Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor after chemotherapy in patients with acute myeloid leukemia at higher age or after relapse. Blood 78 : 1190-1197

16. Büchner T, Hiddeman W, Wörmann B, et al (1994) GM-CSF multiple course priming and long-term administration in newly diagnosed AML. Hematologic and therapeutic effects (abstract). Blood 84 : [Suppl 1] : 27a

17. Budel LM, Touw IP, Delwel R, et al (1989) Interleukin-3 and granulocyte-monocyte colony stimulating factor receptors on human acute myelocytic leukemia cells and relationship to the proliferative response. Blood 74 : 565-571

18. Budel LM, Touw IP, Delwel R, et al (1989) Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor receptors in human acute myelocytic leukemia. Blood 74 : 2668-2673

19. Burke PJ, Wendel KA, Nicholls PD, et al (1990) A Phase I trial of granulocyte-macrophage stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and humoral stimulating activity (HSA) as biomodulators of timed sequential therapy (TST) of leukemia (AML) (abstract). Blood 76 [Suppl 1] : 258a

20. Cahn JY, Labopin M, Mandelli F, et al (1995) Autologous bone marrow transplantation for first remission acute myeloblastic leukemia in patients older than 50 years: a retrospective analysis of the European Bone Marrow Transplant Group. Blood 85: 575-579

21. Cannistra SA, DiCarlo J, Groshek P, et al (1991) Simultaneous administration of Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor and Cytosine Arabinoside for the treatment of relapsed acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 5 : 230-238

22. Chaibi P, Gardin C, de Revel T, et al (1995) Intensive chemotherapy with idarubicin, cytosine arabinoside, and G-CSF in patients with secondary and therapy-related acute myelogenous leukemia. Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : in press

23. de Gentile A, Schlageter MH, Krawice I, et al (1992) Données actuelles sur les récepteurs du GM-CSF dans les leucémies aiguës myéloïdes. Bull Cancer 79 : 123-131

24. De Witte T, Muus P, De Pauw B, Haanen C (1990) Intensive antileukemic treatment of patients younger than 65 years with myelodysplastic syndromes and secondary acute myelogenous leukemia. Cancer 66 : 831-837

25. De Witte T, Muus P, Peetermans M, et al (1992) A pilot study of intensive remission induction chemotherapy for bad-prognosis myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and acute myelogenous leukemia secondary (sAML) to MDS of more than 6 months duration (abstract). Blood 80 [Suppl 1] : 209a

26. De Witte T, Suciu S, Boogaerts M, et al (1995) Intensive remission-induction chemotherapy followed by autologous or allogenic stem cell transplantation (SCT) for high risk MDS and AML secondary to MDS (sAML) in patients < 60 years. A joint study of the EORTC LCG and the EBMT (abstract). Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 618a

27. Demetri GD, Griffin JD (1989) Hemopoietins and Leukemia. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 3 : 535-553

28. Demirer T, Buckner CD, Appelbaum FR, et al (1994) Rapid engraftment after autologous transplantation utilizing marrow and recombinant granulocyte-colony stimulating factor mobilized peripheral blood stem cells in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia (abstract). Blood 84 [Suppl 1] : 92a

29. Dombret H, Chomienne C, Castaigne S, Degos L (1991) Combined treatment with recombinant human Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor (rhGM-CSF) and timed-sequential chemotherapy for adult acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in relapse. Haematologica 76 [Suppl 4] : 127

30. Dombret H, Chastang C, Fenaux P, et al (1995) A controlled study of recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in elderly patients after treatment for acute myelogenous leukemia. New Eng J Med 332 : 1678-1683

31. Dombret H, Daniel MT, Chaibi P, et al (1995) *In vivo* administration of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) enhances the granulocytic maturation of leukemic cells in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and the t(8;21) translocation. Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : in press

32. Estey EH, Dixon D, Kantarjian HM, et al (1990) Treatment of poor-prognosis, newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia with Ara-C and recombinant human Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor. Blood 75 : 1766-1769

33. Estey E, Thall PF, Kantarjian H, et al (1992) Treatment of newly diagnosed acute myelogenous leukemia with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating Factor (GM-CSF) before and during continuous-infusion high-dose ara-C + Daunorubicin: Comparison to patients treated without GM-CSF. Blood 79 : 2246-2255

34. Estey EH, Kantarjian HM, O'Brien S, et al (1995) High remission rate, short remission duration in patients with refractory anemia with excess blasts (RAEB) in transformation (RAEB-t) given acute myelogenous leukemia (AML)-type chemotherapy in combination with granulocyte-CSF (G-CSF). Cytokines Mol Therapy 1 : 21-28

35. Ganser A, Heil G, Kolbe K, et al (1993) Aggressive chemotherapy combined with G-CSF and maintenance therapy with interleukin-2 for patients with advanced myelodysplastic syndrome, subacute or secondary acute myeloid leukemia - initial results. Ann Hematol 66 : 123-125

36. Godwin JE, Kopecki KJ, Head DR, et al (1995) A double-blind placebo controlled trial of G-CSF in elderly patients with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia. A southwest oncology group study. Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 434a

37. Gunji H, Kharbanda S, Kufe D (1991) Induction of internucleosomal DNA fragmentation in human myeloid leukemia cells by 1-b-D arabinofuranosylcytosine. Cancer Res 51 : 741-747

38. Hambling TJ (1995) Disappointments in treating acute leukemia in the elderly. New Eng J Med 332 : 1712-1713

39. Heil G, Chadid L, Hoelzer D, et al (1995) GM-CSF in the therapy of de-novo AML patients: an update of a double-blind randomized, placebo controlled trial (abstract). Ann Hematol 70 [Suppl 2] : A133

40. Heil G, Hoelzer D, Sanz MA, et al (1995) Results of a randomised, double-blind placebo controlled Phase III study of filgrastim in remission induction and early consolidation therapy for adults with de-novo acute myeloid leukemia (abstract). Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 267a

41. Hiddemann W, Büchner T, Wörmann B, et al (1995) Intensive therapy of high risk myelodysplastic syndromes with sequential intermediate dose cytosine arabinoside and mitoxantrone with or without GM-CSF (abstract). Ann Hematol 70 [Suppl 2] : A109

42. Jakubowski A, Gordon M, Tafuri A, et al (1995) A pilot study of the biologic and therapeutic effects of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (filgrastim) in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia. Leukemia 9 : 1799-1804

43. Jinnai I (1990) *In vitro* growth response to G-CSF and GM-CSF by bone marrow cells of patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia Res 14 : 227-240

44. Karp JE, Donehower RC, Enterline JP, et al (1989) *In vivo* cell growth and pharmacologic determinants of clinical response in acute myelogenous leukemia. Blood 73 : 24-30

45. Kelleher CA, Wong GG, Clark SC, et al (1988) Binding of iodinated recombinant human GM-CSF to the blast cells of acute myeloblastic leukemia. Leukemia 2 : 211-215

46. Korbling M, Fliedner TM, Holle R, et al (1991) Autologous blood stem cell (ABSCT) versus purged bone marrow transplantation (pABMT) in standard risk AML: influence of source and cell composition of the autograft on hemopoietic reconstitution and disease-free survival. Bone Marrow Transplant 7 : 343-349

47. Lacombe F, Dumain P, Puntus M, et al (1990) Clinical and biological results in AML patients treated with GM-CSF and intensive chemotherapy (abstract). Blood 76 [Suppl 1] : 292a

48. Link H, Wandt H, Schönrock-Nabulsi P, et al (1996) G-CSF after chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Interim analysis of a placebo controlled trial. Second meeting of the European Haematology Association, Paris, France. Br J Haematol 93 [Suppl 2] : 224

49. Lotem J, Sachs L (1981) *In vivo* inhibition of the development of myeloid leukemia by injection of macrophage- and granulocyte-inducing protein. Int J Cancer 28 : 375-380

50. Lotem J, Sachs L (1988) *In vivo* control of differentiation of myeloid leukemic cells by recombinant granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin 3. Blood 71: 375-382

51. Lotem J, Cragoe EJ, Sachs L (1991) Rescue from programmed cell death in leukemic and normal myeloid cells. Blood 78 : 953-960

52. Löwenberg B, Touw IP (1993) Hematopoietic growth factors and their receptors in acute leukemia. Blood 81:281-292

53. Löwenberg B, Suciu S, Zittoun R, et al (1995) GM-CSF during as well as after induction chemotherapy (CT) in elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML). The EORTC-HOVON Phase III trial (AML11) (abstract). Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 433a

54. Löwenberg B, Boogaerts MA, Vellenga E, et al (1995) Various modalities of use of GM-CSF in treatment of acute myelogenous leukemia (AML). A HOVON-SAKK randomised study (HOVON-4A) (abstract). Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 512a

55. Lübbert M, Herrmann F, Koeffler HP (1991) Expression and regulation of myeloid-specific genes in normal and leukemic myeloid cells. Blood 77 : 909-924

56. Metcalf D (1979) Clonal analysis of the action of GM-CSF on the proliferation and differentiation of myelomonocytic leukemic cells. Int J Cancer 24 : 616-623

57. Michels SD, Saumur J, Arthur DC, et al (1989) Refractory anemia with excess of blasts in transformation hematological and clinical study of 52 patients. Cancer 64 : 2340-2346

58. Moore MAS (1991) The future of cytokine combination therapy. Cancer 67: 2718-2726

59. Motoji T, Takanashi M, Fuchinoue M, et al (1989) Effect of recombinant GM-CSF and recombinant G-CSF on colony formation of blast progenitors in acute myeloblastic leukemia. Exp Hematol 17 : 56-60

60. Motoji T, Watanabe M, Uzumaki H, et al (1991) Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) receptors on acute myeloblastic leukemia cells and their relationship with the myeloproliferative response to G-CSF in clonogenic assay. Br J Haematol 77 : 54-59

61. Murohashi J, Thoda S, Suzuki T, et al (1989) Specific binding of radioiodinated human GM-CSF to the blast cell of acute myeloblastic leukemia. Leukemia Res 13 : 599-604

62. Ohno R, Tomonaga M, Kobayashi T, et al (1990) Effect of Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor after intensive induction chemotherapy in relapsed or refractory acute leukemia. N Eng J Med 323 : 871-877

63. Ohno R, Naoe T, Kanamaru A, et al (1994) A double-blind controlled study of granulocyte colonystimulating factor started two days before induction chemotherapy in refractory acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 83 : 2086-2092

64. Ohno R, Miyawaki S, Hateke K, et al (1995) Macrophage -colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) reduces the incidence and duration of febrile neutropenia and shortens the period required to finish three courses of

intensive consolidation therapy in acute myeloid leukemia (AML): a double-blind controlled study (abstract). Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 266a

65. Onetto-Pothier N, Aumont N, Haman A, et al (1990) Characterization of granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor receptor on the blast cells of acute myeloblastic leukemia. Blood 75 : 59-66

66. Ossenkoppele GJ, Verhoef GEG, van der Holt B, et al (1995) Randomised Phase II study on the value of G-CSF (filgrastim) in combination with standard induction chemotherapy in myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) (abstract). Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 338a

67. Owen RG, Johnson RJ, Rawstron AC, et al (1996) Detection of contaminating clonal cells in PBSC harvests. PCR analysis in 53 cases. Fourth international symposium on blood cell transplantation, Adelaide, South Australia

68. Park LS, Waldron PE, Friend D, et al (1989) Interleukin-3, GM-CSF, and G-CSF receptor expression on cell lines and primary leukemia cells: receptor heterogeneity and relationship to growth factor responsiveness. Blood 74 : 56-65

69. Pébusque MJ, Faÿ C, Lafage M, et al (1989) Recombinant human IL-3 and G-CSF act synergistically in stimulating the growth of acute myeloid leukemia cells. Leukemia 3 : 200-205

70. Piao YF, Okabe T (1990) Receptor binding of human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor to the blast cells of myeloid leukemia. Cancer Res 50 : 1671-1674

71. Reilly IAG, Kozlowski R, Russell NH (1989) Heterogenous mechanisms of autocrine growth of AML blasts. Br J Haematol 72 : 363-369

72. Rose C, Wattel E, Bastion Y, et al (1994) Treatment with very low-dose GM-CSF in myelodysplastic syndromes with neutropenia. A report on 28 cases. Leukemia 8 : 1458-1452

73. Rowe JM, Andersen JW, Mazza JJ, et al (1995) A randomized placebo-controlled Phase III study of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in adult patients (>55 to 70 years of age) with acute myelogenous leukemia: A study of the eastern cooperative oncology group (E1490). Blood 86 : 457-462

74. Ruutu T, Hänninen A, Järventie G, et al (1994) Intensive treatment of poor prognosis myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and acute myeloid leukemia subsequent to MDS with idarubicin and cytarabine (abstract). Br J Haematol 87 [Suppl 1] : 19

75. Sanz MA, de la Rubia J, Sanz GF, et al (1993) Busulfan plus cyclophosphamide followed by autologous blood stem -cell transplantation for patients with acute myeloblastic leukemia in first complete remission: a report from a single institution. J Clin Oncol 11 : 1661-1667

76. Steinmetz HT, Staib P, Glasmacher A, et al (1996) Phase II study of idarubicin, fludarabine, Ara-C, and G-CSF (IDA-FLAG) for treatment of refractory, relapsed or secondary acute myeloid leukemia. Second meeting of the European Haematology Association, Paris, France. Br J Haematol 93 [Suppl 2] : 219

77. Stone RM, Berg DT, George SL, et al (1995) Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor after initial chemotherapy for elderly patients with primary acute myelogenous leukemia. New Engl J Med 332 : 1671-1677

78. Tamura M, Nomura H, Ono M, et al (1991) Long survival of leukemic mice by repeated combination treatment of cyclophosphamide and recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. Leukemia 5 : 1043-1049

79. Te Boekhorst P, Löwenberg B, Vlastuin M, et al (1993) Enhanced chemosensitivity of clonogenic blasts from patients with acute myeloid leukemia by G-CSF, IL-3 or GM-CSF stimulation. Leukemia 7 : 1191-1198

80. Te Boekhorst PAW, Löwenberg B, Sonneveld P (1994) Hematopoietic growth factor stimulation and cytarabine cytotoxicity in vitro: effects in untreated and relapsed or primary refractory acute myeloid leukemia cells. Leukemia 8 : 1480-1486

81. Toki H, Matsumoto S, Okabe K, Shimokawa T (1989) Remission in hypoplastic acute myeloid leukemia induced by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. Lancet i : 1389-1390

82. Touw I, Donath J, Pouwels K, et al (1991) Acute myeloid leukemias with chromosomal abnormalities involving the 21q22 region identified by their *in vitro* responsiveness to interleukin-5. Leukemia 5 : 687-692

83. Tozi P, Visani G, Ottaviani E, et al (1994) Fludarabine + ARA-C + G-CSF: cytotoxic effect and induction of apoptosis on fresh acute myeloid leukemia cells. Leukemia 8 : 2076-2082

84. Vaickus L, Villalona-Calero MA, Caligiuri T (1993) Acute progranulocytic leukemia (APL): possible *in vivo* differentiation by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF). Leukemia 7 : 1680-1681

85. Valent P, Sillaber C, Geissler K, et al (1992) Treatment of *de novo* acute myelogenous leukemia with recombinant granulocyte macrophage-colony-stimulating factor in combination with standard induction chemotherapy: effect of granulocyte macrophage-colony-stimulating factor on white blood cell counts. Med Pediatr Oncol [Suppl 2] : 18-22

86. Vellenga E, Ostapovicz D, O'Rourke B, Griffin JD (1987) Effects of recombinant IL-3, GM-CSF, and G-CSF on proliferation of clonogenic cells in short-term and long-term cultures. Leukemia 1 : 584-589

87. Visani G, Tosi P, Zinzani PL, et al (1994) FLAG (Fludarabine + High-Dose Cytarabine + G-CSF): an effective and tolerable protocol for the treatment of 'poor risk' acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 8 : 1842-1846

88. Wattel E, Solary E, Caillot D, et al (1995) Intensive chemotherapy (CT) with or without quinine followed by autologous BMT in myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and secondary leukemias (SL). Preliminary results of a randomized trial (abstract). Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 804a

89. Weinblatt ME, Scimeca P, James-Herry A, Sahdev I, Kochen J (1995) Transformation of congenital neutropenia into monosomy 7 and acute nonlymphoblastic leukemia in a child treated with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. J Pediatr 126 : 263-265

90. Witz F, Harousseau JL, Sadoun A, et al (1995) GM-CSF during and after remission induction treatment for elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (abstract). Blood 86 [Suppl 1] : 512a

91. Wörmann B, Reuter C, Zühlsdorf M, et al (1994) Experimental basis for the use of recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Semin Oncol 21 [Suppl 16] : 39-43

92. Zittoun R, Mandelli F, de Witte T, et al (1994) Recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor (GM-CSF) during induction treatment of acute myelogenous leukemia (AML). A randomized trial from EORTC-GIMEMA leukemia cooperative groups (abstract). Blood 84 [Suppl 1] : 231a