

Can We Monitor Heart Attack In The Troponin Era: Evidence From A Population-Based Cohort Study

METHODS: ADDITIONAL DETAIL

Selection of validation sample

For each episode of care, we determined whether each biomarker test result was positive, negative, equivocal or missing, based on the diagnostic thresholds of each hospital laboratory in each year. The thresholds were lower in 2003 than in 1998 and were different in each hospital, with some hospitals changing assays and thresholds during either year. A positive troponin result was one that was above its upper level of normal, whilst a positive CK or CK-MB was a result of >2 times its upper level of normal. If different biomarker tests were performed we gave preference to troponin then CK-MB then CK when determining the overall biomarker result for that episode of care. Episodes of care were stratified into validation population sub-groups based on the hierarchical diagnosis, admission type (booked or emergency from the HMDC) and overall biomarker result, and a random sample selected from each stratum for validation (see Additional File 1, Table S1).

Classification of biomarkers, ECGs and symptoms using AHA definitions

Using AHA definitions [1], multiple biomarker results were classified overall as diagnostic, equivocal, normal or missing, with normal indicating that all biomarker results were negative. As the AHA definitions are not completely clear on how to handle various scenarios of biomarker tests, our classification algorithm was based on our own interpretation. For example, we recorded biomarkers as diagnostic instead of equivocal in cases where there was a single positive troponin test and an adequate set of CK tests that were also positive, even though troponin ranks higher than CK as an individual test.

ECGs were coded by a single trained coder using the Minnesota coding system [2], and classified as evolving diagnostic, positive, non-specific or normal/other ECG finding according to the AHA definitions for ECGs [1]. Symptoms were classified either as: (i) present if the medical notes recorded typical cardiac ischaemic pain (chest, arm, jaw), or congestive heart failure or cardiogenic shock as acute complications of the cardiac event, or (ii) absent if only atypical symptoms (nausea, pallor, sweating, collapse, breathlessness) or no symptoms were stated in the notes [1].

References

1. Luepker RV, Apple FS, Christenson RH, *et al.* Case definitions for acute coronary heart disease in epidemiology and clinical research studies: a statement from the AHA Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; AHA Statistics Committee; World Heart Federation Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Epidemiology and Prevention; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. *Circulation* 2003;**108**:2543-9.
2. Prineas RJ, Crow RS, Blackburn H. The Minnesota code manual of electrocardiographic findings: standards and procedures for measurement and classification. Boston, Massachusetts: Wright-PSG, 1982.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table S1 Sampling scheme and sampling fractions for selection of validation sample of non-fatal cases in 1998 and 2003 based on type of admission, hierarchical cardiovascular discharge diagnosis and cardiac biomarker results

Validation sample groups (strata)	Sampling fraction (%)	Final count
1998 (population 3522; sample 1456)		
non-fatal MI (with or without biomarker) ^a	49.79	707
non-fatal UAP (no or -ve biomarker) ^a	32.77	602
non-fatal UAP (+ve biomarker) ^a	56.90	99
other angina (+ve biomarker) ^a	50.00	5
other cardiac diagnoses (+ve biomarker, emergency) ^b	53.09	43
2003 (population 3297; sample 1108)		
non-fatal MI (with or without biomarker) ^a	19.95	315
non-fatal UAP (no test, -ve or equivocal biomarker) ^a	23.82	289
non-fatal UAP (+ve biomarker) ^a	99.64	279
other cardiac diagnoses (+ve biomarker, emergency) ^b	97.60	225

-ve biomarker: negative (normal) biomarker test; +ve biomarker: positive biomarker; equivocal biomarker: result lies in the middle range of a 3-tier system (normal, equivocal, positive) that some hospitals used in 2003 to indicate minor myocardial damage; UAP: unstable angina pectoris.

^a Includes booked and emergency admissions.

^b Other cardiac diagnoses are those from other angina to chest pain (see Table 1 in main text).

Table S2 Distribution of AHA classification of myocardial infarction for 1998 and 2003 non-fatal population estimates based on symptoms, biomarkers and ECG changes

1998	Cardiac symptoms or signs present				Cardiac symptoms or signs absent				Row Total
	Biomarker classification				Biomarker classification				
	Diagnostic	Equivocal	Missing	Normal	Diagnostic	Equivocal	Missing	Normal	
Evolving diagnostic	194	40	0	31	42	4	0	12	323
Positive	182	30	0	68	54	14	0	8	356
Non-specific	247	76	11	388	105 ^a	19	13	82	941
Normal/Other	317	136	37	962	118 ^b	59	76	197	1902
Column total	940	282	48	1449	319	96	89	299	3522

2003	Cardiac symptoms or signs present				Cardiac symptoms or signs absent				Row Total
	Biomarker classification				Biomarker classification				
	Diagnostic	Equivocal	Missing	Normal	Diagnostic	Equivocal	Missing	Normal	
Evolving diagnostic	130	87	0	17	44	25	0	9	312
Positive	160	115	0	54	71	0	0	4	404
Non-specific	374	76	8	332	194 ^c	30	5	60	1079
Normal/Other	367	67	6	654	240 ^d	24	45	99	1502
Column total	1031	345	14	1057	549	79	50	172	3297

Definite MI
 Probable MI
 Possible MI
 Not MI

AHA: American Heart Association; MI: myocardial infarction.

Cell counts represent the distribution of AHA classification of MI (Definite, Probable, Possible, Not MI) as shown in Table 1 of Luepker et al [1].

Number of cases downgraded to Possible MI due to absence of diagnostic troponin test: ^a 34 of 105 cases, ^b 32 of 118 cases, ^c 36 of 194 cases, and ^d 28 of 240 cases.